Summary of ASTRO Safety White Papers and the RadOnc Safety Stakeholders' Initiative

Benedick Fraass

ASTRO Safety White Papers

- With a week of the first NY Times article about RT errors, ASTRO Board asked the Multidisplinary QA Subcommittee to organize short fast white papers on safety for the following:
 - IMRT: Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy
 - IGRT: Image-Guided Radiation Therapy
 - SBRT: Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy
 - HDR: High Dose Rate Brachytherapy
 - Peer Review

ASTRO Safety White Papers

- Writing groups include MDs, PhDs, RTTs, CMDs
- Goal: a comprehensive overview of all issues relevant to safe patient treatments
- Small groups to work quickly
- 2 sets of reviews:
 - Expert review from individuals (and other orgs)
 - Public posting comments
- Eventual formal approval by ASTRO, and endorsement by AAPM, ACR, AAMD, ASRT, ABS

IMRT White Paper

Practical Radiation Oncology (2011) 1, 190-195



Special Article

Safety considerations for IMRT: Executive summary

Jean M. Moran PhD^a,*, Melanie Dempsey MS^b, Avraham Eisbruch MD^a, Benedick A. Fraass PhD^c, James M. Galvin DSc^d, Geoffrey S. Ibbott PhD^e, Lawrence B. Marks MD^f

SBRT White Paper

Practical Radiation Oncology (2012) 2, 2-9



Special Article

Quality and safety considerations in stereotactic radiosurgery and stereotactic body radiation therapy: Executive summary

Timothy D. Solberg PhD ^a,*, James M. Balter PhD ^b, Stanley H. Benedict PhD ^c, Benedick A. Fraass PhD ^d, Brian Kavanagh MD ^e, Curtis Miyamoto MD ^f, Todd Pawlicki PhD ^g, Louis Potters MD ^h, Yoshiya Yamada MD ⁱ

IGRT White Paper

Assuring Safety and Quality in Image-guided Delivery of Radiation Therapy

D Jaffray, K Langen, G Mageras, L Dawson, D Yan, R Adams, AJ Mundt, BA Fraass

Posted for public comment - now May 28 – to ASTRO Board

HDR White Paper

Status of Guidance for Safety, Quality
Management and Practice for High Dose-Rate
Brachytherapy

Bruce Thomadsen, Beth A. Erickson, Patricia Eifel, Joe Hsu, Rakesh Patel, Daniel Petereit, Benedick Fraass, Mark Rivard

Post for public comment – in a couple weeks
May 28 – to Board
Already approved by ABS

Peer Review White Paper

Enhancing the Role of Case-Oriented Peer Review to Improve Quality and Safety in Radiation Oncology

LB Marks, R Adams, T Pawlicki, A Blumberg, D Hoopes, M Brundage, BA Fraass

Post for public comment – in a couple weeks? May 28 – to Board

Do we need more white papers?

My vote for the next white paper is Treatment Management Systems.

Safety Stakeholder's Initiative

- FDA Public Meeting, June 2010
- Industry presentation to AAPM Therapy Physics Committee, July 2010
- B. Fraass collected suggestions for safety initiatives. Aug-Sept. 2010

 Learn to keep your mouth closed!
- Learn to keep your mouth closed!

 1st Safety Stakeholders' Meeting (ASTRO, Nov. 2, 2010) organized by Vastagh and Fraass.

 Issues of continuing importance identified.
- 2nd Safety Stakeholders' Meeting (AAPM, Aug. 2, 2011)
- 3rd Safety Stakeholders' Meeting (ASTRO, Oct. 4, 2011)

User-Requested Topics for First Meeting

- Speed of Vendor Responses to Problems
- Vendor Responsibilities (QA, Training...)
- Testing and QA Guidelines
- New Safety-related Tools
- Error Messages, Warnings
- Feedback from Vendors to Users
- How should this process continue?

Safety Stakeholder's Initiative: an Ad-Hoc Self-Governing Effort

Benedick A. Fraass Cedars-Sinai

ASTRO co-chair

Ellen Yorke Memorial Sloan Kettering

AAPM Co-chair

Rajinder Dhada Elekta

Industry Co-Chair

Stephen Vastagh MITA

secretary

Safety Stakeholder's Initiative

Initial goal: talk!

Then, organize working groups to address issues:

- Try to identify problems which can be addressed
- Try to reach consensus on solution(s)
- Publish (journals, web)

Goal: try to avoid all the potholes in having everyone work together using a grass-roots bottom up collaboration

Safety Stakeholder's Initiative

Volunteer Working Groups

- 1. Error Messages
- 2. QA
- 3. Training
- 4. Nomenclature
- 5. Usability

Error Messages WG

Art Olch, USC, co-chair Christina Negrut, Accuray, co-chair

Werner Baer, Seimens
Ulrich Beifuss, Brainlab
Julie Clift, Varian
Scott Hadley, U. of Michigan
Miklas Hardenborg,
Nucletron
Colleen Heelan, Elekta
Annmarie Ison, Elekta
Stan Mansfield, Varian
Tim McGregor, Elekta

Julie Misfeldt, Varian
Michael O'Hara, FDA
Jim Schewe, Philips
George Sherouse, Vassar
Bros. Hosp.
Alf Siochi, U. of Iowa

ERROR MESSAGES Content Usability Guidelines

The two main goals of this document are: 1) to present in a concise manner the best practices and design guidelines for good message dialogs, and 2) to illustrate these design guidelines with specific examples from the field of radiation oncology. Unless specifically called out, these guidelines apply to all categories of error messages identified by the Terminology subcommittee (e.g. critical error, warning, system status, informational, routine interlock messages).

Cristina Negrut, Accuray (Lead)
Niklas Hardenborg, Nucletron
Jim Schewe, Philips
Julie Clift, Varian
Olch, Arthur, Children's Hospital Los Angeles
Nzhde Agazaryan, UCLA School of Medicine
Denise Monks, Beth Israel Deaconess
Alf Siochi, University of Iowa

QA Work Group: "Steps for Developing QA Procedures for New Radiation Oncology Technologies"

Clinical Chair: Jim Galvin (Thomas Jefferson) Industry Chair: Alan Cohen (Accuray)

Ellen Yorke (MSKCC), Eric Klein (Wash Univ), Bruce Curran (RI/Brown U), Geoffrey Dalbow (Cirvco), Sonja Dieterich (Stanford), Jose Luis Dumont (Elekta), Eric Ford (Johns Hopkins), Paco Hernandez (Siemens), Todd Holmes (Varian), Craig Hust (Elekta), Chuck Lindley (IBA), Moyed Miften (U. Colorado), Mark Pepelea (Philips), Kellie Russell (Nucletron), Christof Schadt (BrainLab)

QA WG

Primary Aim

Guarantee Patient Safety for New RT Products by Providing QA Methodologies and Test Equipment at the Time of New Product Release

Secondary Aims

Protect Manufacturers' Proprietary Information

Respect Manufacturer's Timelines

Professional Societies to Provide Expert Review and

Feedback on QA Procedures to Manufacturers

Professional Societies to Provide Information to Users for

Possible Expansion or Amendment of Manufacturer

Recommended QA Procedures

Safety Stakeholders: Training Working Group

Joel Goldwein, Elekta, Co-chair Jean Moran, U. of Michigan, Co-chair

Al Blumberg, ACR
Bruce Curran, Rhode Island
Hospital
Michelle Etzel, ASRT
Kim Gehrin, Elekta
Paco Hernandez, Siemens
Jon Hollon, Varian
Andrea Jesson, Siemens
Jennifer Johnson, MDACC

Stan Mansfield, Varian
Derek Olender, Accuray
Patrick Ploc, Philips
Kellie Russell, Elekta
Jasmine Schirmer, Brainlab
Christof Schadt, Brainlab

Training WG: What's the Issue?

- Training for new equipment and processes, was a major issue identified by users and vendors at the June 2010 FDA meeting
- Everyone is dissatisfied with the way training works
 - Users: Vendors don't train well
 - Vendors: Users don't pay attention or even come to training

Harmonized Nomenclature WG

User Co-Chair: Ellen Yorke, MKSCC

Industry Co-Chair: Peter Hoban, Accuray

Thalia Mills, FDA
Walter Bosch, Wash Univ. St.
Louis
Marissa Johnson, UT
Southwestern
Catherine Large, Philips
Anja Leibl, Brainlab
Stan Mansfield, Barian
Lara Marco, Az CA Specialists

Mike Mills, U. of Kentucky Nicholas Rowlands, Elekta Kellie Russel, Nucletron Alf Siochi, U. of Iowa Johannes Stahl, Siemens Stephen Vastagh, MITA Ping Xia, Cleveland Clinic Ellen Yorke, MSKCC

RT System Usability

Clinical Co-Chair: Radhe Mohan, MD Anderson

Industry Co-Chair: Geoffrey Dalbow, CIVCO

Todd Pawlicki UC San Diego

Geoff Ibbott MD Anderson

Bruce Curran Rhode Island Hospital

Lawrence Marks UNC

Gig Mageras Memorial Sloan Kettering

Randy Holt ICAD

Jeff Simon Sun Nuclear

Julie Clift Varian

Alf Siochi University of Iowa

Usability Working Group

Usability WG Members

- Radhe Mohan M D Anderson (Chair)
- Sean Frigo Philips
- Geoffrey Dalbow CIVCO (Chair)
- Bruce Curran, Brown University
- Geoffrey Ibbott M D Anderson.*
- Todd Pawlicki UCSD
- Andrew Brenner Siemens
- Joel Goldwein Elekta
- Niklas Hardenborg Nucletron

- Paco Hernandez Siemens
- Annmarie Ison Elekta
- Gig Mageras MSKCC
- Stan.Mansfield Varian
- Tim.Mcgregor Elekta
- C. Negrut Accuray
- Carsten Raupach Brainlab
- Christof Shad Brainlab
- Stephen Vastagh MITA-NEMA
- Filip Vojan Varian

Working Definitions Usability WG

- Usability is the ease of use and <u>learnability</u> of a human-made object.
- Can apply to software app, machine, process, or anything a human interacts with.
- Includes principles behind an object's perceived efficiency or ease of use.
- Studies the clarity with which the humans interact with a machine or program.
- Is connected to safety in the sense that products that are easier to learn and easier to use are <u>less</u> <u>prone to error</u> or can be designed to <u>expose errors</u> <u>or near misses</u>. .

Usability Sub Groups

Usability WG

- Hardware Medical accelerators
- Brachytherapy Devices
- Software Treatment Planning
- R&V Systems
 - they meant Treatment ManagementSystems

Safety Stakeholders: Document Review Process

- WG approves document by consensus, individual authors listed (i.e., not from institutions, from individuals as part of the WG)
- Review by all Safety Stakeholders
- Revision by WG
- Released after vote by Safety Stakeholders (majority)

Safety Stakeholders How Do Documents Get Released?

- Main release is posting on Stakeholders' website
- We hope organizations (and individuals) will support the documents – by posting their support on the website
- Documents will be sent to organizations asking for support – after release by Stakeholders
- Propose use of MITA to support website, links to other organizations websites (and vice versa)
- Documents will be versioned, and updated often (we hope)