

AbstractID: 3821 Title: Comparison between proton and neutron dose distributions from single-scattering and dual-scattering systems in ocular proton therapy

**Purpose:** Typically, ocular proton treatment nozzles use a single-scattering (SS) flattening filter design to achieve lateral spreading of a raw pencil-beam to create a flat field. This approach has the advantage of simplicity but at the cost of proton efficiency,  $\eta$ . It is expected, though never demonstrated, that a more efficient, dual-scattering (DS) flattening filter design may possess several advantages.

**Methods and Materials:** A proton nozzle with the SS filter design was modeled in Monte Carlo (MC) radiation transport software. Simulations of this nozzle provided in-field proton absorbed dose distributions in water,  $D$ , and the neutron dose equivalent values,  $H$ , outside of the field, which were subsequently benchmarked to published measurements. Then, a proposed nozzle was modeled that uses a DS filter design. Simulations of the SS and DS nozzles were conducted to investigate differences in several figures-of-merit including the distal 80%-20% falloff  $l_{D80-20}$  and neutron dose equivalence per therapy Gray ( $H/D$ ) distributions. Other figures-of-merit presented will include the field uniformity  $U$ , therapeutic dose rate  $\dot{D}$ , and the 80-20% lateral penumbral width  $l_{L80-20}$ .

**Results:** The simulations and measurements of the proton absorbed dose distributions from the SS nozzle agreed to within 2% or 0.1 mm. The shape of the measured and simulated  $H/D$  values as a function of distance from isocenter perpendicular to the beam agreed within 3%. The distal falloff width was expectedly narrower from the DS nozzle by 2.5 mm. The simulations revealed that the DS design yields substantially lower  $H/D$  values (between 0.3-0.6 times the SS values). This is partially attributed to the DS design's increased peak dose per proton.

**Conclusion:** The DS flattening filter design may offer clinical advantages when compared to the SS filter design, including a sharper distal falloff,  $\dot{D}$ , and decreased  $H/D$  values.