AbstractID:8792Title :Asse ssmentofIntrafrac tionalMotionforSpinalRadiosu rgery PatientsbyMultipleOnboa rdMeg avoltageCTScansduring Treatment

Purpose: T o assess the intrafractional patient motion during single -fraction spinal radiosurgery using multiple CT scans acquired with onboard me gavoltage CT (MVCT) during treatment.

Methodand Materials: Nineteenpatients underwentsingle -fraction(12 - 24Gy) spinal radiosurgery on aT omoTherapy HiArtradiothera pyunit withonboa rdMVCT. Because of the large fraction al dose, the tre atment was divided into 3 or more sub -fractions. Patients were immobilized with BlueBAGTM BodyFIX[®] except for C -spine patients who were im mobilized with fac e-and-shoulder masks. To a ccurately a lign the p atient, an initial M VCT was acquired after patient was setup to skin marks. Image regist ration between MVCT and planning CT was perform ed using an auto -registration algorithm followed by manual f ine tuning to calculate the couch shift s. Immediately after the alignment, a verification M VCT scan was acquired. The couch was further shifted according to verific ation C T be fore the first s ub-fraction was delivere d. Subsequently, MVCT was reacquired and the patie nt re aligned after each sub-fraction. A to tal of 67 MVCT-guided intrafrationalalig nments(ex cludinginitialalignments) were evaluated for the 19 patients. Couch shifts were analyzed to obtain the information o f patient intrafractionalm ovements.

Results: The mean \pm standar d de viation for the magnitude (and dis placement which is expressed in parenthe ses) of the shift was $1.0 \pm 0.9 \text{ mm} (0.16 \pm 1.31 \text{ mm})$ in the lat eral direction, $1.2\pm1.1 \text{ mm} (0.31\pm1.62 \text{ mm})$ in the anteroposterior direction, $1.6\pm1.2 \text{ mm} (0.44\pm1.97 \text{ mm})$ in the superoinfe riordirection, and 2.5 ± 1.4 for the resultant vector. The respective maximum shift s were 5.0 mm, 5.4 mm, 5.7 mm and 5.9 mm. M ajority (73%) of the realignments requ ired shifts le ss than 3.0 mm. Image resolution and registration errors may have contributed to the observed intrafractional motions.

Conclusion: Patient intrafractional motion should be considered in treatment planning for spinal radiosurgery pa tients. More intensive intrafractional monitoring and better immobilization device a renece ssary to reduce intra frational patient motion .