AbstractID: 13598 Title: Evaluating AAPM TG-43 in-water HDR 192Ir brachytherapy reference dosimetry: A comparison study.

Purpose: To investigate the accuracy of AAPM TG-43 in HDR ¹⁹²Ir brachytherapy in water reference dosimetry by comparing the protocol against ionometric and Gafchromic film calibration procedures introduced as well as a water calorimetry-based primary standard.

Methods and Materials: Dose to water D_{water} was measured directly in water using an Exradin A1SL farmer-type chamber and EBT-1 Gafchromic films. The chamber had a NIST-traceable ⁶⁰Co calibration factor while the films were calibrated under 6 MV photons. Accurate Monte Carlo modeling and simulation of the chamber (egs++) and EBT Gafchromic films (DOSRZnrc) were performed to convert calibration factors of the two detectors from their respective conditions into ¹⁹²Ir brachytherapy. The D_{water} results were compared to measurements made using a Standard Imaging well-type chamber following AAPM TG-43 protocol and water calorimetry primary standard measurements.

Results: By calculating the ratio of dose-to-water to dose-to-gas for the A1SL chamber under reference ⁶⁰Co conditions and ¹⁹²Ir setup conditions, the ionization measurements in ¹⁹²Ir were converted to dose to water. The Monte Carlo calculations in film dosimetry revealed that if the intrinsic energy dependence of the film is negligible, a sensitometric curve obtained with 6 MV can be used in ¹⁹²Ir measurements, with the energy dependence correction being 0.9971 (1 =0.1%). The overall one-sigma uncertainty on ionization chamber, Gafchromic film, and water calorimetry dose rate measurement amounts to 1.44%, 1.78%, and 1.96%, respectively. The indirect D_{water} measurements from TG-43 agreed to within 1.4% with ionometric measurements, 0.3% with Gafchromic measurements, and 0.6% with Calorimetric absolute dose measurements.

Conclusions: Accurate ionometric and Gafchromic film based calibration protocols are introduced. For ¹⁹²Ir brachytherapy, the 1-sigma uncertainty of TG-43 reference dosimetry was found to be better than 1.4%.