

Purpose: PET-based treatment response assessment typically measures the change in SUV_{max}, which is adversely affected by noise. SUV_{peak} has been recommended as a more robust alternative, but currently its associated region-of-interest (ROI_{peak}) is not uniquely defined. We investigated the impact of different ROI_{peak} definitions on quantification of SUV_{peak} and tumor response.

Methods: Seventeen patients with solid malignancies were treated with an anti-proliferative, molecular targeted agent. Using the cellular proliferation marker [F-18]FLT, whole-body PET/CT scans were acquired at baseline and during treatment. Lesions with highest FLT uptake (~2/patient) were segmented on PET images and tumor response was assessed via the relative change in SUV_{peak}. For each tumor, 24 different SUV_{peak} and response values were determined by changing ROI_{peak} shape, size, and location. Within each tumor, variation of the 24 values was measured using range, coefficient of variation (CV) for SUV_{peak}, and standard deviation (SD) for response. For each ROI_{peak} definition, population average SUV_{peak} and response were determined over all tumors.

Results: Substantial variation in both SUV_{peak} and response resulted from changing the ROI_{peak} definition. Intra-tumor SUV_{peak} variation (CV: 17%) and response variation (SD: 9%) ranged as far as 50% from the mean. Population average SUV_{peak} variation (CV: 14%) ranged as far as 30% from the mean but population average tumor response variation (SD: 2%) ranged only 3% from the mean. Size of ROI_{peak} caused more variation in SUV_{peak} and response than location or shape of ROI_{peak}.

Conclusion: Quantification of individual tumor response using SUV_{peak} is highly sensitive to ROI_{peak} definition, which can significantly impact the use of SUV_{peak} for treatment response assessment. However, population average response is robust to ROI_{peak} definition. Standardization of SUV_{peak} is crucial for consistent assessment of treatment response. Clinical trials are necessary to compare the efficacy of SUV_{peak} and SUV_{max} for quantification of response to therapy.