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| **Reported by (Name):** | **Per H. Halvorsen** |
| **Organization:** | **American College of Radiology** |
| **Position Title:** | **Councilor, Liaison to Committee on Standards & Accreditation** |
| **Activity:** | **Attendance at ACR 2018 Annual Meeting** |
| **Meeting Dates:** | **May 20-22, 2018** |
| **Meeting Location:** | **Washington, DC** |
| **Payment $:** | **$** |
| **Reasons for Attending or not Attending** | **Attended to represent the AAPM’s interests during the ACR’s annual leadership and policy-setting forum.** |
| **Issues from Previous Meetings or Year:** | **None requiring follow-up.** |
| **General Description of Activities of the Organization and/or Meeting:** | **Attended the Medical Physics Caucus on Sunday where the Resolutions relevant to medical physics were discussed. The joint ACR-AAPM Practice Parameter on Expert Witness was approved through an expedited process prior to the opening of the meeting. Only one other collaborative document (ACR-AAPM-SPR Practice Parameter for Diagnostic Reference Levels in Imaging) was on the Council agenda, and no members of the medical physics caucus expressed concerns about this document. We agreed to recommend a minor edit to the extension of the ACR Policy on Development of Technical Standards and Practice Parameters, to change “patient doses” to “patient dose estimates” in the section on imaging doses. This edit was introduced in open Council session on Monday, and I indicated AAPM’s concurrence. The Reference Committee accepted the edit, and it was adopted by the Council in its final vote on Tuesday.** |
| **Issues for AAPM:** | **No concerns – the ACR remains supportive of the AAPM’s role and interests.** |
| **Budget Request ($):** | **$1,500 annual budget allowance to cover 2-3 days attendance, as deemed appropriate.** |