

**AbstractID: 3763 Title: Comparison of Monte Carlo and Convolution/Superposition Calculation Methods: Quantification of the Dose Prediction Errors Arising from Tissue Heterogeneities.**

**Purpose:** To investigate the extent of the dose prediction error (DPE) due to tissue heterogeneities in superposition/convolution (SC) based dose calculations by comparisons with Monte Carlo (MC) calculations for head-and-neck IMRT treatment plans.

**Materials and Methods:** A retrospective investigation is performed for ten Head-and-Neck IMRT patients. Dose calculations are performed with SC and MC algorithms. For both algorithms, the intensity modulation generated by the dynamic multi-leaf collimator (DMLC) is incorporated into the dose calculation via a transmission matrix generated by determining the ratio of incident and transmitted energy fluence through the DMLC using a MC algorithm. Plans were compared based upon the criteria used during the IMRT optimization: GTV D<sub>98</sub>, CTV D<sub>95</sub>, Nodal volume D<sub>90</sub>, Cord D<sub>02</sub>, and Parotid D<sub>50</sub>. As the same transmission matrix is used for both methods and the SC and MC algorithms subsequent dose differences are attributed to handling of the tissue heterogeneities by the SC algorithm.

**Results:** The GTV D<sub>98</sub> and CTV D<sub>95</sub> local doses agree within  $\pm 3.2\%$  for the SC and MC calculations. Differences are within  $\pm 1.8\%$  for the D<sub>90</sub> of the nodal target volume. The cord and the brainstem D<sub>02</sub> doses differ by  $< \pm 3.5\%$  and  $< \pm 2.5\%$  of the local dose respectively. The Parotid D<sub>50</sub> shows the greatest variations, with local differences up to 5.8%. The observed deviations do not show systematic under- or over-estimate of the dose by SC.

**Conclusions:** When identical transmission matrices are used, the DPE of the SC method, using the MC method as a references, is  $< \pm 3.2\%$  for the target structures. For the critical structures, DPEs as high as 6% of the local dose were observed, which corresponds to  $< 3\%$  if normalized to the prescription dose. (Supported by NIH-1R01CA98524)