The purpose of this presentation is to discuss key issues in IMRT treatments for head and neck cancers. Experience has matured over the past several years in this regard. The main focus will be on the details related to the planning process such as, immbolization, imaging and setup management, treatment planning, and plan evaluation. An emphasis will be given to describing one institution's implementation of a head and neck IMRT program with a lesser emphasis on a review of the relevant literature. The conformal nature of IMRT dose distributions requires additional consideration on the degree of immbolization and expected reproducibility of setup. Custom neck molds, masking systems and additional shoulder constraints are required to maximize reproducibility of the head, chin, and clavicals (supraclavicular nodes). Even with these constraints, daily variability can be expected and the treatment plan should account for those effects. New localization tools such as on-board kV planar imaging and cone-beam CT are becoming available to aid in localization and patient set-up. The use of these new techniques will be described. Target and normal tissue segmentation are very important in the planning process and must be considered in detail by the physicist. Various imaging modalities are frequently used. Contrast enhanced CT and MRI-CT fusion is useful for primary tumor segmentation. Fused ¹⁸FDG PET-CT images can be used to identify positive neck nodes but lack anatomic definition and are not always useful for defining the primary tumor. Before treatment planning begins, a quick but pertinent conversation with the treating physician is necessary to clearly understand the dose/volume tolerances of normal tissues and other patient-specific issues (e.g., previous treatment, chemotherapy, or already compromised tissues). This dialogue with the physician helps to limit the iterations of optimization and dose evaluation to efficiently arrive at the best IMRT plan. Once treatment planning begins there are many more techniques at the physicist's disposal to develop the best treatment plan compared to conventional planning. Each of these will be discussed. Evaluating IMRT plans is a determination of tradeoffs. An important principle regarding target coverage is the trade-off between dose conformity and dose heterogeneity across the target. If the plan emphasis is conformity to the target, then one should accept increased dose heterogeneity and vice versa. It is absolutely essential to be realistic in the expectations of IMRT and be prepared to accept some dose to critical structures (but keeping them below tolerance) in order to get better target coverage than a conventional plan would provide. Detailed slice-by-slice evaluation of isodose coverage for the location and magnitude of hot and cold spots is essential during plan evaluation. Before starting treatment, a set-up verification step is typically helpful, during which the immobilization system and isocenter location are checked. Orthogonal DRR images of the isocenter(s) location can be reproduced by simulator images for better visualization of bony landmarks. Kilovoltage and cone-beam CT imaging techniques are more prevalent in the treatment room for this purpose. For on treatment set-up verification, utilizing digital images and associated software tools can help to accurately identify isocenter translations and patient rotations (head or shoulder tilt).

Educational Objectives:

- 1. Understand issues related to patient immobilization.
- 2. Identify normal tissues and know their dose/volume constraints.
- 3. Describe several planning techniques to achieve the best dose distribution.