

## AbstractID: 6479 Title: Functional Representation of Tissue Phantom Ratios for small Photon Fields

### **Purpose:**

For small photon fields tabulated TPR data are not readily available. Aim of this work was to find a functional representation valid at all depths  $d$  and for fields as small as 4 mm side length  $s$ . Such functions generate high quality beam data as input to a treatment planning system, or for calculating monitor units and dose to any point in water for the full range of  $s$  and  $d$  required clinically.

### **Method and Materials:**

For beams of 6 and 10 MV collimated with the Elekta Beam Modulator, TPR was measured in water with a shielded silicon detector. Field sizes were from  $4 \times 4 \text{mm}^2$  to  $160 \times 210 \text{mm}^2$ . For each field size the TPR was fitted to

$$\text{TPR} = (D_s + (1 - D_s)(1 - \beta^d)) \alpha \exp(-\mu(1 - \eta d) d)$$
. The first factor describes the build up, with  $D_s$  being the surface dose and  $\beta$  a build up gradient.  $\alpha$  is a normalization factor, introduced to normalize TPRs to 1 at a depth of 10 cm. The exponential, describes the declining part of the curve, where  $\mu$  is a pseudo attenuation coefficient and  $\eta$  a beam hardening coefficient. The field size dependence of the parameters was analyzed separately and appropriate functions were fitted to these data. The surface dose  $D_s$  was set to the respective mean values, while  $\beta(s)$  was fitted to a power function and  $\mu(s)$  and  $\eta(s)$  to exponentials.

### **Results:**

The measured TPR data could be fitted very well with the proposed function. Owing to the increasing amount of scattered photons  $\mu$  decreases with field size. The beam hardening  $\eta$  turned out to be negative except for field sizes below 1 cm.

### **Conclusion:**

The proposed model predicted TPRs generally better than with 1 % accuracy at all field sizes and depths. Its implementation improved the quality of monitor unit calculations.