## AbstractID:8735Title :Predictingca librationcurvesforK odakXVfilmus ing modelbasedparameters

**Purpose:** Filmcalibr ation is time-consuming work necessary to achieve goo daccur acy for film dosimetry. This study analyzed the calibration curves s varying with the dept h, field size and deliver y day u sing model -based parameters in order to predict calibration curves for future use.

**Methods and M aterials:** The Kodak XV fi lmw as placed perpendicular to the beam axis in S olid Waterph antom( $30 \times 30 \times 40 \times 40 \times 40 \times 20^{-1}$ ). St and and calibration films (one dose point per film) were irr adiated at 90 cm SSD with various doses ( $0-128 \times 60^{-1}$ ) at several depths ( $0.2, 0.5, 1.5, 5, 10 \times 10$  for  $5 \times 5, 10 \times 10, and 2 0 \times 20 \times 20^{-2}$  fields. Standard calibration responses were compared to an 8-field calibration response (eight doses per film), irradi ated at 5 cm dep than d95 c m SSD with doses f rom 16 to 12 8 cGy. All films were developed using a Kodak X - OMAT 3000 RAP roces sor and digitized with a Lumiscan 75. All cur ves were fitted with single-target-single-hit mode 1 ( $y = y_0 + a(1 - e^{-bD})$ ). The parameters were compared for different delivered days, calibration met hods, field sizes and depths. The me thod to pre dict calibration curve was verified with previous d ata for  $20 \times 20 \times 20 \times 20^{-1}$  fields.

**Results:** The daily variation of  $y_0$ , a, and b parameters were 2. 2%, 2.9%, and 11.4% u sing the 8-field method. The "a" ratio of standard to 8 -field curves was 1.083. The "b" ratioran ged from 0.9 1 to 0.97 depending on the field size and depth. The "b" ratio decreases within creasing dep th below 0.5 cm for the three field sizes. This ratio increases with increasing depths above 0.5 cm except for 5×5 cm<sup>2</sup> field. The local differences between expected and measured calibration curves were within 5%.

**Conclusion:** Predicting the calibration curve us ing one calibration f ilm is possible by using a model-based parameter rel ationship. Thisme thod reduces filmpr ocessing and batcherrors with out re-acquiring complete calibration curves.