Purpose: Dose vol ume histograms (DVH) repre senta n important tool for the cl inical evaluation of r adiotherapy trea tment plans. For head and neck regions , how ever, t he presence of airc avities makes the DVH tooll ess adequate for M onte Carl o calculated IMRT plans. Thea ircav ities may introduce high dose unc ertainties in both airca vities and surrounding t issues (interface effects). Si neethed os et o airi sclini cally irre levant DVH becomes less clini cally repre sentative in the pr esence of large a ir cavitie s, and hence is no longer a good para meter for pl an evaluation. In this work we asse ss the limitations of DVH for head and nec k plane valuation and investigate the dose mass histogram (DMH) a san alternative toovercome thosel imitations.

Methodand Materials:Seven IMRTheadandneckc ases werei ncluded int hisstudy. The Mont e Carl os imulation ge ometry (2m m voxel s) was built from patient CT data. Patient dose calc ulations were performed us ing the EG S4 based MCSIM code a nd photon source models for 6 and 1 0MV beams. Is odose distributions and DVH (including ai r) were generat ed for these plans. A new feature was created in orde rt o calculate DMH for both the tar get (PTV) and critical s tructures. In addit ion, DVH excluding ai rwe realsog enerated.

Results:Ou rresult sforthe7pa tientsshowsi gnificantdifferences(upt o10%)bet ween the DVH c alculated including and e xcluding air for the PTV and crit ical structure s. DMH, on the other h and, eliminates t he effect of large Monte Carlo st atistical uncertainties inair cavities and is abe tterpara meterthan DVH foreva luatinghea dand neckIMR Tt reatmentplans.

Conclusion: DMH is a bet ter param eter than DVH for evalua ting tre atment plans calculated by Monte Carlos imulations that may have large statistical unce rtainties in low-densityregionssuchas aircavitie sandlung tissues.