

Purpose: This study aims to evaluate a jaw-trajectory algorithm for delivering intensity-modulated beams using independent jaw trajectories in dynamic mode. **Method and Materials:** The algorithm builds up an optimization mode for the problem of generating jaw trajectories for a desired beam intensity map and solves the problem with a dynamic optimization technique. Like a trajectory for MLC, a jaw trajectory is composed of a series of control points. When delivering a beam in dynamic mode, all four conventional jaws move continuously and independently. The performance of the proposed algorithm was evaluated through comparing the delivery time of dynamic jaws (DJaw) with that of dynamic MLC (DMLC) for 74 intensity maps of prostate cancer and 45 maps of nasopharyngeal cancer. The preconditions for the comparison were that MLC leaves had a width of 1 cm; MLC leaf trajectories were generated with the algorithm proposed by Spirou et al (Med. Phys., 1994); DJaw delivery times were in terms of accuracy as or even more accurate than DMLC. **Results:** DJaw delivery time was 1.8 ± 0.76 and 2.6 ± 1.6 times of DMLC's for 74 intensity maps of prostate cancer and 45 large-size intensity maps of nasopharyngeal cancer, respectively. For 8 prostate cancer maps, DJaw delivery time actually was shorter than DMLC's, because those maps were small and had minor intensity modulations. **Conclusion:** Although the delivery time of DJaw is usually longer than that of DMLC, and the difference increases with the size and the complexity of the intensity map, the absolute delivery time of DJaw is expected to be 20% or less. Plus its advantages (i.e., continuous spatial resolution, sharper penumbra, no interleaf leakage or tongue and groove effect, low cost and easy maintenance) over DMLC, DJaw has the potential to become the choice for small intensity modulations in IMRT practice.