Purpose: Thiswork employstheMonteCarlomethod torec omputetheIMRTdosedistributions from three TPS to provide a platform for independent comparison and evaluation of the plan qualityinterm softargetconform ityanddeliverye fficiency.

Method an d Materials: Three prostate c ases were planned with Corvus, Xio and Eclipse TPS using ap propriate optimization parameters and do seconstraints. The plans were recalculated by Monte Carlo using leaf sequences and MUs for individual plans. Dose-volume histograms and isodosed is tributions were compared. Other quantities such as D $_{min}$ (the minimum dose received by 99% of CTV/PTV), D $_{max}$ (the maximum dose received by 1% of CTV/PTV), the volume of rectument bladder receiving 65 and 40Gy(V $_{65}$, V $_{40}$), and the volume of femure eceiving 50Gy (V $_{50}$) we ere evaluated.

Results: S pecialcare must be taken to reproduce the dose distributions from different TPS due to their implementation of effective le af positions. This may introduce up to a few percent differences in the absolute dose between treatment plans. The Monte Carlo results agreed with the dose distributions from all the TPS to within 5%/5mm. Both XiO and Eclipse plans show less target dose heterogeneity (smaller D_{max}) and lower V_{65} and V_{40} for the rectumand bladder than the Corvus plans. The PTV D_{min} is about 2Gy lower for XiO plans than Corvus and Eclipse plans while the XiO and Eclipse plans have slightly higher V_{50} for the femurthan the Corvus plans. The Eclipse and XiO plans require significantly less MUs to deliver than the Corvus plans.

Conclusions: We have tested an inde pendent Monte C arlo dose calculation system for do se reconstruction and plane valuation. This system provides a platform for the fair comparison and evaluation of treatment plans to facilitate clinical decision making in selecting TPS and beam delivery systems for particular treatments ites.