

AbstractID:9797 Title : Activity Quantitation and Dose Estimation from Nuclear Medicine Imaging

Because of resistance to chemotherapy and multiple sites of disease, internal emitters are being more frequently used in treating advanced cancer patients. This radiotherapy is predicated upon injection of beta- or alpha-labeled antibodies and other agents that target tumor markers. Most clinically successful cases occurred in the case of B-cell lymphoma and hepatic lesions. For association of treatment planning, the estimate of fractional dose (D) to tumors and normal tissue requires application of the equation $D = S \cdot \tilde{A}$ where S is a rectangular matrix and \tilde{A} is a set of total decay source activities. While S may be determined via Monte Carlo (MC) methods, \tilde{A} requires that the observer integrate activity curves for each source. Quantitation of activity at depth in patients has been a continuing problem in nuclear medicine. Multiple methods have been developed ranging from direct counting (surfactations), through geometric mean estimates (GM) to hybrid techniques (SPECT/CT) involving nuclear and CT scans. In the latter strategy, attenuation may be taken directly from the CT dataset. Quantitative SPECT (QSPECT) studies typically involve sophisticated imaging techniques and correction for attenuation, scatter, collimator geometry and partial volume effects. Accuracy of these methods, as measured by phantom studies, varies from $\pm 30\%$ (GM) to as little as $\pm 5\%$ for QSPECT. While PET/CT may also be considered, the limited number of positron emitters causes this application to be more problematic. Time considerations make extensive use of QSPECT difficult. Standard clinical procedure follows Koral et al (Cancer Biother Radiopharm. 2000;15; 347-355) in combining GM at the requisite multiple time points with an overlap of SPECT at one time point. The latter study is then used to normalize the geometric mean values and improve quantitation of activity. Finally, we must note that two types of dose estimates are being used: phantom (Type I) and patient-specific (Type II). In the former case, a standard geometry is applied as summing; e.g., the OLINDA program. Here, the patient or volunteer activity integral must be corrected for relative mass differences between phantom and patient. With Type II, the patient's own organ geometry is used to generate a matrix. This may be done directly with MC techniques or a phantom value may be corrected by the ratio of mass differences between the phantom and patient. If these corrections are not made, errors in S may be on the order of several-fold. Uncertainty in resultant D values is estimated by combining errors in S and \tilde{A} .

Educational Objectives:

1. Know how to estimate internal emitter doses via $D = S \cdot \tilde{A}$.
2. Understand various methods to quantify activity at depth in patients.
3. Realize that both phantom and patient dose estimates are needed.
4. Understand the size of errors involved in dose estimation.