AAPM Position Statement on the Use of Bismuth Shielding for the Purpose of Dose Reduction in CT scanning

Policy text:

Bismuth shields are easy to use and have been shown to reduce dose to anterior radiosensitive organs in CT scanning. However, several disadvantages exist, including wasted radiation, reduced image quality, and unpredictable results when combined with automatic exposure control, tube current modulation or dual-energy acquisitions. Alternative techniques exist that can provide equivalent anterior dose reduction at the same or superior image quality without the limitations of bismuth shields. The AAPM recommends that these alternatives to bismuth shielding be carefully considered and implemented when possible, and that bismuth shields should not be used unless there is a clear need or clinical benefit.

Rationale for policy:

Bismuth shielding has been used to reduce the dose from CT to anterior radiosensitive organs, such as the breast, lens of the eye, thyroid, and gonads [1,2]. These bismuth-impregnated latex shields are placed over the organ of interest to attenuate the x-ray beam entering the patient. While bismuth shielding appears easy to use and studies confirm a reduction in anterior surface dose [3-6], there are several disadvantages associated with their use that could result in increased patient dose and/or degraded image quality [7]. Other methods for reducing anterior dose exist that do not suffer from these drawbacks. These methods may additionally offer improved image quality and/or additional dose reduction relative to the use of bismuth shielding, and should be carefully considered by users seeking to reduce dose to the lens of the eye, thyroid, breast or gonads.

Disadvantages associated with the use of bismuth shields:

1) Applying bismuth shielding together with automatic exposure controls (AEC) systems, such as tube current modulation (TCM), leads to unpredictable and potentially undesirable levels of dose and image quality.

AEC systems adjust scanner output based on patient attenuation to deliver a user-specified level of image noise. They take into account system characteristics, patient anatomy and user-specified requirements for image quality [8]. Localizer CT radiographs (e.g., anterior-posterior and/or lateral) are used to estimate patient attenuation, and system behavior is programmed according to the measured attenuation. However, when bismuth shielding is used, the dose delivered to the patient and the image quality achieved depends on whether the shield was placed before or after acquisition of the CT radiograph.

Placing a bismuth shield on the patient <u>prior to acquiring the CT radiograph</u> will lead to measuring higher "patient" attenuation and the tube current will be increased accordingly [5,9]. The effect of dose reduction to the anterior surface by bismuth shielding will be countered, and dose to other surfaces will be increased, which is clearly undesirable.

Placing a bismuth shield on the patient <u>after the CT radiograph has been acquired</u> avoids having the AEC algorithm increase the tube current [5,9] on some systems. However, the image quality delivered will not be what the user prescribed due to the additional attenuation of the shield, which the system did not anticipate (as it was placed

after the CT radiograph was acquired).

On other systems, the AEC algorithm responds to increases in patient attenuation that occur <u>after the CT radiograph has been acquired</u>, resulting in differences in both image quality and dose relative to what was planned using the CT radiograph.

2) The shields can degrade image quality and accuracy.

The shields can cause streak and beam hardening artifacts. They artifactually increase CT numbers below the shield [10] and adversely affect the accuracy of CT numbers. Because these would affect the accuracy of coronary calcification measurements, the Society of Cardiac CT clearly states that breast shields are not recommended in cardiovascular CT [11]. Similarly, because the shields alter the energy spectrum, inaccurate results would be expected if used with dual- energy CT. Finally, if the inferior aspect of the shield lies over the upper portion of the liver, unacceptable image quality in the liver can occur.

3) The shields waste some of the patient's radiation exposure.

In CT scanning, the x-ray tube rotates 360 degrees around the patient and irradiates the patient from all directions. When the x-ray tube is above the patient, the shield absorbs x-rays before they strike the patient and reduces the dose to peripheral organs. However, when the x-ray tube is beneath the patient, the shield does not reduce dose to the patient, but rather absorbs many of the photons exiting the patient before they can reach the CT detector. Those photons would have contributed to forming the CT image.

Similarly, photons from lateral directions are partially attenuated by the shield upon exiting the patient. Therefore, during more than half of each 360-degree rotation of the x-ray tube, the shield attenuates useful photons, thus wasting radiation dose. This leads to a noise increase across the entire image, not just in the region near the shield [5,10,12,13].

Alternative methods for reducing dose to peripheral organs in CT scanning:

For equivalent levels of image noise, the percent dose reduction to the anterior surface from bismuth shielding can be achieved by reducing the x-ray tube current by that same percentage [12-15]. This has the added benefit of reducing dose to the lateral and posterior surfaces. No additional materials (i.e., the shields) or special scanner features are required, and technologists do not need to spend additional time positioning the shields on patients or disinfecting the shields between patients. Furthermore, streak and beam hardening artifacts are avoided and CT number accuracy is maintained. In conjunction with global reduction of the x-ray tube current, iterative reconstruction algorithms can provide reduced image noise at globally reduced dose settings [16-19].

Alternatively, many CT scanners are now equipped with organ dose modulation schemes that reduce dose to the anterior portion of the scan field without the negative consequences of bismuth shields [14,15,19]. Since AEC systems on CT scanners can be complex and involve adjustments of several parameters, users are urged to consult with a medical physicist and/or applications specialist when making changes to the AEC parameters, especially before using

bismuth shields in conjunction with AEC systems.

References

- 1. Hopper KD, King SH, Lobell ME, TenHave TR, Weaver JS: The breast: in- plane x-ray protection during diagnostic thoracic CT--shielding with bismuth radioprotective garments. Radiology 1997, 205(3):853-858.
- 2. Sancaktutar AA, Bozkurt Y, Onder H, et al. A new practical model of testes shield. The effectiveness during abdominopelvic computed tomography. J Androl. 2012, 35(5):984-989.
- 3. Hopper KD, Neuman JD, King SH, Kunselman AR: Radioprotection to the eye during CT scanning. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2001, 22(6):1194- 1198.
- 4. Mukundan S, Wang PI, Frush DP, Yoshizumi T, Marcus J, Kloeblen E, Moore M: MOSFET Dosimetry for Radiation Dose Assessment of Bismuth Shielding of the Eye in Children. American Journal of Roentgenology 2007, 188(6):1648-1650.
- 5. Leswick DA, Hunt MM, Webster ST, Fladeland DA: Thyroid shields versus z-axis automatic tube current modulation for dose reduction at neck CT. Radiology 2008, 249(2):572-580.
- 6. Hohl C, Wildberger JE, Süß C, Thomas C, Mühlenbruch G, Schmidt T, Honnef D, Günther RW, Mahnken AH: Radiation Dose Reduction to Breast and Thyroid During MDCT: Effectiveness of an In-Plane Bismuth Shield. Acta Radiologica 2006, 47(6):562-567.
- 7. McCollough CH, Wang J, Berland LL: Bismuth Shields for CT Dose Reduction: Do They Help or Hurt? Journal of the American College of Radiology 2011, 8(12):878-879.
- 8. McCollough CH, Bruesewitz MR, Kofler JM, Jr.: CT dose reduction and dose management tools: overview of available options. Radiographics 2006, 26(2):503-512.
- 9. Coursey C, Frush DP, Yoshizumi T, Toncheva G, Nguyen G, Greenberg SB: Pediatric chest MDCT using tube current modulation: effect on radiation dose with breast shielding. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008, 190(1):W54-61.
- 10. Kalra MK, Dang P, Singh S, Saini S, Shepard JA: In-plane shielding for CT: effect of off-centering, automatic exposure control and shield-to-surface distance. Korean J Radiol 2009, 10(2):156-163.
- 11. Halliburton SS, Abbara S, Chen MY, Gentry R, Mahesh M, Raff GL, Shaw LJ, Hausleiter J: SCCT guidelines on radiation dose and dose-optimization strategies in cardiovascular CT. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr 2011, 5(4):198-224.
- 12. Geleijns J, Salvado Artells M, Veldkamp WJ, Lopez Tortosa M, Calzado Cantera A: Quantitative assessment of selective in-plane shielding of tissues in computed tomography through evaluation of absorbed dose and image quality. Eur Radiol 2006, 16(10):2334-2340.
- 13. Vollmar SV, Kalender WA: Reduction of dose to the female breast in thoracic CT: a comparison of standard-protocol, bismuth-shielded, partial and tube-current-modulated CT examinations. Eur Radiol 2008, 18(8):1674-1682.
- 14. Wang J, Duan X, Christner JA, Leng S, Grant KL, McCollough CH: Bismuth Shielding, Organ-based Tube Current Modulation and Global Reduction of Tube Current for Dose Reduction to the Eye in Head CT. Radiology 2012, 262(1):191-8.
- 15. Wang J, Duan X, Christner JA, Leng S, Yu L, McCollough CH: Radiation Dose Reduction to the Breast in Thoracic CT: Comparison of Bismuth Shielding, Organ-based Tube Current Modulation and Use of a Globally Decreased Tube Current. Med Phys 2011, 38(11):6084-6092.
- 16. Mathieu KD, Cody DD. Partial arc beam filtration: A novel approach to reducing CT

- breast radiation dose. AJR. 2013, 200(3):601-607.
- 17. Kim MS, Choi J, Kim SY, Kweon DC. Adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction and bismuth shielding for evaluation of dose reduction to the eye and image quality during head CT. J Korean Phys Soc. 2014, 64(6):923-928.
- 18. Nikupaavo U, Kaasalainen T, Reijonen V, Ahonen SM, Kortesniemi M. Lens dose in routine head CT: Comparison of different optimization methods with anthropomorphic phantoms. AJR. 2015, 204(1):117-123.
- 19. Lawrence S, Seeram E. The current use and effectiveness of bismuth shielding in computed tomography: A systematic review. Radiol Open J. 2017, 2(1):7-16.
- 20. Hakim A, Vulcu S, Dobrocky T, Z'Graggen WJ, Wagner F. Using an orbit shield during volume perfusion CT: is it useful protection or an obstacle? Clin Radiol. 2018;73(9):834 e1- e8. Epub 2018/06/04. doi: 10.1016/j.crad.2018.05.003. PubMed PMID: 29859633.
- 21. Samara ET, Saltybaeva N, Sans Merce M, Gianolini S, Ith M. Systematic literature review on the benefit of patient protection shielding during medical X-ray imaging: Towards a discontinuation of the current practice. Phys Med. 2022;94:102-9. Epub 2022/01/15. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.12.016. PubMed PMID: 35030383.
- 22. Liao YL, Lai NK, Tyan YS, Tsai HY. Bismuth shield affecting CT image quality and radiation dose in adjacent and distant zones relative to shielding surface: A phantom study. Biomed J. 2019;42(5):343-51. Epub 2019/12/01. doi: 10.1016/j.bj.2019.04.004. PubMed PMID: 31783995; PMCID: PMC6888723.