The Abt Study of Medical Physicist Work Values for Radiation Oncology Physics Services: Round III

Final Report

March 2008

Prepared for American College of Medical Physics 1891 Preston White Drive Reston VA 22091

American Association of Physicists in Medicine Number One Physics-Ellipse College Park MD 20740

Prepared by Abt Associates Inc. 4550 Montgomery Avenue Suite 800 Bethesda, MD 20814

Internal Review

Project Director

Technical Reviewer

Management Reviewer

Contents

Introduction		1
Methodology		
	sional Work Model and its Application to QMP Work	
	y Panel	
Survey of I	Radiation Oncology Physics Codes	7
Surv	vey Sample	7
The	Survey Instrument	7
Surv	veying Process	9
Usin	ng Survey Data to Calculate QMP Work Values	9
Results of the Ab	bt Study	
Survey Res	sponse	
Time, Inter	nsity, and QMP Work Estimates	
	n Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions	
Ther	rapeutic radiology simulation-aided field testing	21
Caseload, S	Staffing, and Technology	
Conclusions		27
Bibliography		
APPENDIX I		
Definition	of the Qualified Medical Physicist	
I.	Therapeutic Radiological Physics	
II.	Diagnostic Radiological Physics	
III.	Medical Nuclear Physics	
IV.	Medical Health Physics	
APPENDIX II: (CPT Descriptors of Medical Physics Codes	
APPENDIX III:	Vignettes of Surveyed Medical Physics Services	
APPENDIX IV:	Survey of Practice Parameters Associated with Radiation On	cology Physics
Services		
APENDIX V: Ti	ime and Intensity Estimates	
APPENDIX VI:	Work Estimates	71
APPENDIX VII	: Caseload and Staffing Estimates	74
APPENDIX VII	I: Service Volumes	

Section 1: Introduction

Medical physics is the branch of physics associated with the practice of medicine, and includes radiological physics, therapeutic radiological physics, diagnostic imaging physics, nuclear medicine physics, and medical health physics. Qualified medical physicists (QMPs) specializing in medical therapy are the professionals responsible for maintaining the equipment used to provide radiation therapy to patients and work closely with the treating physician to plan each patient's course of treatment. QMPs are responsible for assuring patients receive the prescribed quantity, quality, and placement of radiation based on the physician's clinical evaluation.¹

The American Medical Association's *Current Procedure Terminology: CPT 2007* includes a radiation oncology section. Within radiation oncology is a set of codes entitled "Medical Radiation Physics, Treatment Devices, and Special Services" (the 77300 series) constituting the services normally provided by QMPs in conjunction with their support staffs. It is these 77300 series codes, along with 77295 ("Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Setting, Three-Dimensional") and 77781-77784 ("High Intensity Brachytherapy," one to four, five to eight, nine to 12, or over 12 dwell positions) that were considered by this study. Currently, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) splits payments for these services into a technical component (TC) received by the employer of the QMP and a professional component (PC) that is paid to the physician or the physician's employer.

In 1995, the American College of Medical Physics (ACMP) and the American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM) engaged Abt Associates Inc. to conduct a study that measured QMP work for medical physics services and to develop a relative work value scale depicting the relative amount of QMP work required for each medical physics service.² Recognizing the many changes in medical physics practice and technology, the ACMP and AAPM engaged Abt Associates Inc. to conduct a second study measuring QMP work for medical physics services, including a relative work value scale depicting the amount of QMP work required for each medical physics service, was completed in 2003.³ This current Abt Associates, Inc. study is the third QMP medical physics services work study commissioned by the ACMP and the AAPM.

To maintain consistency, the current study adopted exactly the same methodology and techniques used in the two previous studies. Abt's approach included the following:

¹ American College of Medical Physic and American Association of Physicist in Medicine: Scope of Practice of Medical Physics, November, 2005, Policy Number 17-A, <u>www.aapm.org/policies</u>.

² Abt Associates Inc., *The Abt Study for Medical Physicist Work Values for Radiation Oncology Physics Services*, prepared for the American College of Medical Physics (ACMP) and the American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM), October 3, 1995 (referred to in this report as Abt Associates Inc., 1995). This study did not include CPT code 77295.

³ Abt Associates, Inc., *The Abt Study for Medical Physicist Work Values for Radiation Oncology Physics Services: Round II*, prepared for the American College of Medical Physics (ACMP) and the American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM), June, 2003 (referred to in this report as Abt Associates Inc., 2003).

- Measuring the work *actually* performed by QMPs QMP functions include, but are not limited to the following: (1) designing treatment plans conforming to physician specifications identified during patients' clinical evaluations; (2) calculating the amount of radiation being released by a treatment unit; (3) verifying treatment units' proper and safe functioning; and (4) installing and managing the treatment planning computer programs used in formulating the treatment approach. The ACMPs QMP definition was also used and is presented in **Appendix I**;
- Accounting for support staff work certain institutions provide support staff (e.g., medical dosimetrists, physics assistants, medical physics residents, physics technologists, etc.) that assist QMPs. CMS considers support staff work to be a practice expense that should be excluded when measuring QMP work. In this study, support staff work was carefully measured and then excluded before QMP relative work values were determined; and
- Applying the standard model of work -- CMS defines a service's work to be a combination of the professional's time used to provide the service and the intensity of the service (intensity combines mental effort and judgment, technical skill and physical effort, and any psychological stress associated with providing the service).

This report describes the Abt study's (2007) methodology and results. **Section Two** provides a stepby-step explanation of the approach used to calculate medical physics services' QMP relative work values. **Section Three** presents Abt's findings, while **Section Four** concludes this report with an overview of the study's design and results. Additional information and detailed findings are presented in the bibliography and appendices to the report.

Section Two: Methodology

This section discusses Abt's methodology for measuring QMP relative work values for radiation oncology physics services. The methodology in this third study replicated that of the first two studies. A four-member Technical Consulting Panel (TCP) reviewed the survey instrument and other survey materials used in the first two studies and proposed a series of changes and updates (e.g., including new physics CPT codes as well as revising the list of special procedures and technologies). The TCP then met in person to discuss and finalize the survey instrument. The survey was then sent to a purposive sample of 100 QMP practices that varied by region of the country and practice type (i.e., medical school/university (MSU), private/community hospital (PCH), medical physics consulting group (MPCG), and medical (physician) group (MPG)). Returned surveys were then entered into a project database and analyzed as described below.

The Professional Work Model and its Application to QMP Work

For the Medicare program, CMS currently reimburses medical providers using a resource-based, relative value scale (RBRVS) fee schedule that consists of three components – work, practice expense, and malpractice. The work component accounts for a provider's time and professional skills, practice expense for the costs incurred in maintaining a medical practice (e.g., administrative and clinical support staff, office rent, equipment, ancillaries, etc.), and malpractice for the costs of maintaining professional malpractice insurance coverage.

In turn, the component of interest to this study, professional work, is defined as encompassing the following four dimensions: (1) the professional time needed to perform a service; (2) mental effort and judgment; (3) technical skill and physical effort; and (4) psychological stress associated with the risks of complications and iatrogenic harm. The latter three components are commonly referred to as a service's "complexity," or more commonly, its' "intensity." Therefore, work consists of professional time and intensity. The American Medical Association Relative Value Scale Update Committee (AMA/RUC) continues to define professional work as the product of a professional's time and intensity. These sample concepts were applied to the 20 medical physics services analyzed in this study (see **Table 1** below).

This study divided QMP work into two parts – *non-procedural* and *procedural time*. This represented a departure from common practice, where professional time is divided into three phases: (1) pre-service – time spent with the patient before the service; (2) intra-service – time spent with the patient during the service; and (3) post-service – time spent with the patient after the service. For medical physics services, non-procedural time is devoted to the general maintenance of radiation therapy equipment and treatment units, and is shared across medical physics services with the exception of consultation-only services (77336 and 77370). Procedural time is the time a QMP spends in support of patients during treatment; there is no post-service time because work is completed once the medical physics service's dose or treatment plan has been confirmed. The division of QMP time into non-procedural and procedural time was used in the previous study (Abt Associates Inc., 1995), and this project's initial TCP confirmed this decision.

As noted above, professional work combines both professional time and intensity for each service. Intensity is the physical and emotional pressure borne by the professional rendering a service. In turn, intensity comprises the mental effort, technical skill, and psychological stress associated with a

given service. When surveying professionals to update work values, the AMA/RUC asks respondents to rate each intensity component separately. In practice, mental effort, technical skill, and psychological stress are so interwoven that asking a professional to supply separate estimates for each is often confusing and results in a false sense of precision. In the previous studies (Abt Associates Inc., 1995, Abt Associates, Inc., 2003), QMP survey respondents were asked to provide a single, comprehensive intensity estimate for each medical physics service.

The QMP survey respondents were then directed to use "magnitude estimation" to develop their medical physics service's intensity estimates. Magnitude estimation starts by designating a service that is commonly provided, performed in a consistent manner, and has a mid-range level of service as a "benchmark service." In the previous study, CPT Code 77336 (Continuing Medical Physics Consultation) was selected by the first TCP as the benchmark service, and for consistency, the current survey also used 77336 as the benchmark service. The benchmark service was then assigned an intensity level of 1.00. Respondents were asked to compare the intensity of all other medical physics services relative to the benchmark service. For example, if a respondent believed service X has twice the intensity of 77336, that respondent was asked to record "2.00" as his or her measure of code X's intensity.

CPT Code	Description
77295	Three-dimensional therapeutic simulation-aided field testing
77300	Basic radiation dosimetry calculation, central axis depth dose calculation, TDF, NSD, gap
	calculation, off axis factor, tissue inhomogeneity factors, calculation of non-ionizing radiation
	surface and depth dose, as required during course of treatment, only when prescribed by the
	treating physician
77301	Intensity modulated radiotherapy plan, include dose volume histograms for target and critical structure partial tolerance specifications
77305	Teletherapy, isodose plan (whether hand or computer calculated); simple (one or two parallel opposed unmodified ports directed to a single area of interest)
77310	Teletherapy, isodose plan (whether hand or computer calculated); intermediate (three or more
	treatment ports directed to a single area of interest)
77315	Teletherapy, isodose plan (whether hand or computer calculated); complex (mantle or inverted Y, tangential ports, the use of wedges, compensators, complex blocking, rotational
77221	beam, or special beam consideration)
77321	Special teletherapy port plan, particles, hemibody, total body
77326	Brachytherapy isodose calculation; simple (calculation made from a single plane, one to four sources/ribbon application, remote afterloading brachytherapy, 1 to 8 dwell positions)
77327	Brachytherapy isodose calculation; intermediate (multiplane dosage calculations, application involving 5 to 10 sources/ribbons, remote afterloading brachytherapy, 9 to 12 dwell positions)
77328	Brachytherapy isodose calculation; complex (multiplane isodose plan, volume implant
11320	calculations, over 10 sources/ribbons, remote afterloading brachytherapy, over 12 dwell
	positions)
77331	Special dosimetry (e.g., TLD, microdosimetry) (specify), only when prescribed by the treating
	physician
77332	Treatment devices, design and construction; simple (simple block, simple bolus)
77333	Treatment devices, design and construction; intermediate (multiple blocks, stents, bite blocks, special bolus)
77334	Treatment devices, design and construction; complex (irregular blocks, special shields,
	compensators, wedges, molds, or casts)
77336	Continuing medical physics consultation, including assessment of treatment parameters,
	quality assurance of dose delivery, and review of patient treatment documentation in support
	of the radiation oncologist, reported per week of therapy
77370	Special medical radiation physics consultation
77781	High intensity brachytherapy, one (1) to four (4) dwell positions
77782	High intensity brachytherapy, five (5) to eight (8) dwell positions
77783	High intensity brachytherapy, nine (9) to 12 dwell positions
77784	High intensity brachytherapy, over 12 dwell positions

Table 1: Radiation Oncology Physics Codes Studied in the Abt Survey

With the QMP time and relative intensity estimates in hand, the following equation was used to calculate work for each medical physics service:

QMP Work (W) = Time (T) * Intensity (I)

Where:

- Time was equal to the sum of QMP non-procedural and procedural time for the service; and
- Intensity was the single magnitude estimate for the service encompassing mental effort and judgment, technical skill and physical effort, and the psychological stress associated with the service.

Preliminary Panel

In the first study (Abt Associates Inc., 1995), a four member QMP Technical Consulting Panel (TCP) was convened at the start of the project to conduct the following tasks:

- Provide input into the survey design the TCP determined that QMP time consisted of non-procedural and procedural time, as opposed to the pre-, intra-, and post- service periods typically used to define professional time. The TCP also enumerated all activities typically performed by QMPs providing medical physics services. The survey instrument was then modified to incorporate the non-procedural/procedural time division and to include the list of QMP activities provided during medical physics services;
- Selecting a benchmark service the TCP designated CPT Code 77336 (Continuing Medical Physics Consultation) as the benchmark service for measuring each medical physics services' relative intensity; and
- Defining service vignettes for each medical physics service included in the survey, the TCP was asked to develop a vignette that reflects the "typical" patient receiving that service. When conducting its RUC survey, the AMA Relative Value Scale Update Committee asked participating medical societies to write vignettes for each code under review within their specialty so that intensity could be measured for a "typical" occurrence of each service. The first project's TCP created vignettes for each medical physics service using a uniform format the patient's age, gender, diagnosis (i.e., site and extent of the disease), existing comorbidities or previous therapy, specific treatment details (i.e., radiation dose and treatment modality), and particular responsibilities for the QMP.

In the second study (Abt Associates, 2003), a second four person QMP TCP was convened. That panel reviewed and updated the service vignettes, survey instrument and other survey materials and reviewed and approved the study's methodology.

For the current study, a similar four member QMP TCP was again convened. This time, however, the TCP was asked to review and comment on the existing survey instrument, choice of the benchmark service, and vignettes for each medical physics service. The survey instrument and vignettes were then updated to reflect the TCP's comments and suggestions. In addition, vignettes were developed for four new codes – 77781, 77782, 77783, and 77784. The revised medical physics service vignettes used during the current study are presented in **Appendix III**.

Survey of Radiation Oncology Physics Codes

Two competing goals needed to be balanced in the current survey. On the one hand, it was important to maintain a survey design consistent with the earlier studies (Abt Associates Inc., 1995 and 2003) to allow results from each survey to be compared and contrasted. On the other hand, the practice of medical physics continues to evolve, and the current survey needed to be updated to reflect recent changes in technology and practice. Below, the survey sample is first described, followed by a description of the survey instrument with special attention being paid to any changes from the earlier survey, and then the surveying process itself is described.

Survey Sample

As in the previous survey, a sample of 100 QMPs was selected from among ACMP and AAPM members. The sample was carefully chosen to reflect the full range of where medical physicists practice (geographic regions) and how their practices are organized (practice settings). Data from the 2006 AAPM Professional Information Survey were used to determine the percentage of QMPs with the following characteristics:

- Geographic regions the distribution of practicing QMPs by the nine Census Division Regions (New England, Mid Atlantic, South Atlantic, East North Central, East South Central, West North Central, West South Central, Mountain, and Pacific); and
- Practice settings medical school/university hospital, medical physics consulting groups, private/community hospitals, and medical (physician) groups.⁴

Two important caveats are worthy of mention. First, several practice settings – government (nonhospital), college or university, and industrial/commercial firm were not included in the survey sample because it was thought QMPs working in these environments were not involved in the day-today practice of providing radiation oncology physics services to typical patients. Second, the survey sample is a purposive, not a random, sample. QMPs included in the survey sample were carefully chosen after screening to determine whether they would agree to participate in the survey. While a purposive, non-random sample may be criticized for being unrepresentative, there were greater concerns that a random sample would result in a low response rate and suffer from non-response bias. In addition, by choosing the sample to reflect variations in geographic region and practice settings, weaknesses due to a non-random design were mitigated.

The Survey Instrument

As mentioned above, the survey instrument for the current study replicated the structure and content of the previous (Abt Associates Inc., 1995 and 2003) surveys as much as possible. A copy of the current survey instrument is presented in **Appendix IV**. The current survey is composed of the same six sections as the previous survey:

⁴ The 2006 AAPM Professional Information Survey also includes practices based at government hospitals. Given the low number of government hospital-based QMP practices, no such practices were included in the sample of 100 QMP practices selected for this survey.

- 1. General instructions general instructions for completing the survey and descriptions of key terms (e.g., work, time, intensity, non-procedural and procedural time, magnitude estimation, service vignettes, etc.) are presented;
- Non-procedural time questions medical physics services included in the survey were grouped into categories, and non-procedural activities for each category were then described, followed by questions asking respondents to record the amount of nonprocedural time spent on various maintenance activities for each group of medical physics services;
- 3. Procedural time questions responding QMPs were asked to record their own and their support staff's time spent on procedural activities. Support staff time data were collected to assure that only QMP non-procedural time was included in QMP time estimates;
- 4. Relative Intensity Estimates magnitude estimation and the benchmark service (77336) were explained once more before responding QMPs were asked to provide intensity estimates for each service relative to the benchmark service;
- 5. Utilization data questions included the annual number of services provided by type of medical physics service at the institution where the responding QMP provided most of his or her services, the total number of patients and services, and practice staffing patterns; and
- 6. Technology the last section included questions regarding whether the responding QMPs' institutions offered particular new services or operated new equipment.

Further details on each of the survey instruments' six sections are provided below.

Section One is the General Instructions Section and presents the study's purpose and methodology. When discussing the survey's methodology, key terms, including work, time, intensity, non-procedural and procedural time, and magnitude estimation were defined. In addition, the five remaining section's structures were detailed, and contact information was provided for respondents with questions regarding the survey and study.

Section Two collected non-procedural time data. The medical physics services included in the study and survey were grouped into the following categories:

- Radiation field testing, dosimetry, and isodose plans (CPT codes 77295, 77300, 77301, 77305, 77310, 77315, and 77321);
- Brachytherapy (77326, 77327, 77328, 77781, 77782, 77783, and 77784);
- Special dosimetry (77331);
- Simple treatment devices (77332);
- Intermediate treatment devices (77333); and
- Complex treatment devices (77334).

These groupings were selected because each group shares the same equipment. Two services – 77336 and 77370 were not included in the non-procedural time section of the survey instrument, because these two services reflect consultation effort only, and thus non-procedural time is not required. Depending on the group of services, respondents were asked to provide non-procedural time spent on initial commissioning, recalibration due to catastrophic events, annual recalibration, and daily, weekly, and monthly checks.

Section Three included procedural time questions. QMPs were asked to provide the procedural time spent on the single occurrence of each medical physics service for both themselves and their staffs. QMPs were asked to record staff time to make sure staff time was not included in QMP procedural and total time estimates for each medical physics service. In addition, QMPs were asked to report procedural time based on each medical physics services' vignette.

Section Four comprised magnitude estimation of relative intensity for each medical physics service. Respondents were prompted to provide intensity estimates relative to the benchmark 77336 service and to base these estimates on the service vignettes. In addition, respondents were asked to provide estimates of the intensity per unit of time, rather than the total intensity, of each medical physics service.

Section Five included questions on each responding QMP's practice. QMPs practicing at multiple facilities provided institutional data for the one facility where they performed the highest number of their procedures. Respondents were asked to report the number of procedures by type of medical physics service, the total number of procedures and patients served, and staffing data (i.e., the number of full time equivalent (FTE) staff).

Section Six concluded the survey by asking respondents about the new technologies and services provided by their institutions.

Surveying Process

Signed letters from the chairman of the ACMP and the president of AAPM announcing the survey were mailed two weeks before the survey instrument was sent to the 100 QMPs in the survey's sample. This was followed two weeks later by a survey packet that included a cover letter (also signed by the leaders of both organizations), the survey instrument and survey instructions. Practices that had not responded to the survey were then repeatedly contacted by phone by AAPM staff and asked to complete and return their surveys. Electronic versions of the survey instrument were provided as requested to allow QMP practices to respond to the survey either by mail or electronically. Abt staff members were available by phone for responding QMPs to ask questions about the survey materials or for clarification of specific survey questions.

Abt staff then reviewed the returned surveys for completeness and reasonableness. Responding QMPs were contacted when necessary to review responses that were unclear or unusual to confirm and correct potential errors. Abt staff then entered the resulting survey data into a survey database for the subsequent analysis.

Using Survey Data to Calculate QMP Work Values

The survey database that compiled survey responses was then analyzed. Summary statistics for all relevant data elements (e.g., non-procedural and procedural time, QMP and staff time, intensity, total work values, service mix, number of patients and patient treatment, staffing, and technologies) were then calculated. Summary statistics included minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation, median, and inter-quartiles (25th and 75th percentile values).

Several relevant data elements, most notably QMP work, needed to be constructed using other survey data. As mentioned previously, QMP work equals the product of QMP time and QMP intensity. In

turn, QMP time is the sum of QMP non-procedural and procedural time. QMP procedural time was reported directly for each medical physics service, but QMP non-procedural time is reported only for those services with non-procedural time (i.e., the two consultation codes 77336 and, 77370 do not have non-procedural time estimates).

In addition, non-procedural time is also reported for groups of codes – e.g., one such group includes codes 77295, 77300, 77301, 77305, 77310, 77315, and 77321. The survey also collected information on the number of services provided annually by each practice. These service volume data were used to allocate non-procedural time to each code proportionately. For example, suppose a practice reported a total of 3,000 units of service for codes 77295-77321 and that there were 1,000 hours of non-procedural time associated with this group of services. Then, each service would be allocated 1,000 hours/3,000 units = 1/3 hour per unit per service of non-procedural time. The non-procedural time estimates were then added to the service-specific procedural time estimates to yield total times for each service.⁵

The intensity (relative to the 77336 benchmark code) and total time estimates were then multiplied together to yield raw work values. Median raw work estimates were then calculated for each code. An additional set of normalized median work estimates were calculated by dividing each median raw work estimate by median raw work estimate for the benchmark code.

⁵ Some components of non-procedural time were not reported on a yearly basis – i.e., commissioning time was reported over a five year period, and daily, weekly, and monthly checks were reported per month. These values were then annualized before non-procedural time estimates were computed.

Section Three: Results of the Abt Study

This section presents the study's survey results. Information reported here includes service-specific time, intensity, and work values, as well as survey respondent practice characteristics, staffing patterns, service mix and volume, and equipment and services offered.

Survey Response

Forty-one (41) of the 100 QMP practices provided a survey response, yielding a response rate of 41 percent. This compares to 70 (1995) and 53 (2003) percent response rates from the two previous Abt surveys.⁶ There were no significant differences in the practice type distribution between those responding to the survey and the results from the 2006 AAPM Professional Information Survey (see **Table 2**). **Table 3** provides the number and percentage of survey respondents by state and census division region, while **Table 4** presents a comparison of the distribution by census division region of survey respondents and respondents to the 2006 AAPM Professional Information Survey.⁷ The were no significant differences between the 2007 Survey Population and the 2006 AAPM Professional Information Survey.⁷ The were no significant differences between the 2007 Survey Population and the 2006 AAPM Professional Information Survey.

Practice Type	Number of Respondents	% of Total Abt Survey Sample	% of 2006AAPM Professional Information Survey	Significant Difference (5% Level, 2- Tailed Test)
Private/Community Hospital	19	46.3%	46.0%	No
Medical School/University Hospital	13	31.7	36.1	No
Medical Physics Consulting Group	5	12.2	9.5	No
Medical (Physician) Group	4	9.8	8.4	No

 Table 2:

 Responding Medical Physicist Practice Type Distribution

⁶ Declining response rates of surveys of medical professionals are becoming more and more common, in parts because more and more surveys continue to be conducted. Respondents may be beginning to suffer from "survey fatigue" and become more reluctant to participate.

⁷ The 2006 AAPM Professional Information Survey is a document published by the AAPM for the private use of its members and reflects national professional medical physics information.

 Table 3:

 Survey Respondent Distribution by Census Region/Division Region and State

State	Number of Respondents	% of Respondents	State	Number of Respondents	% of Respondents
Northeast	11	26.8%	South	20	34.1%
New England	6	14.6	South Atlantic	7	17.1
Maine	1	2.4	Delaware	0	0.0
New Hampshire	0	0.0	District of	0	0.0
			Columbia		
Vermont	1	2.4	Maryland	0	0.0
Massachusetts	2	4.9	Virginia	0	0.0
Connecticut Rhode Island	1	2.4	West Virginia	0	0.0 2.4
	1	2.4	North Carolina	l	
Mid Atlantic	5	12.2	South Carolina	I	2.4
New York	2	4.9	Georgia	1	2.4
Pennsylvania	2	4.9	Florida	4	9.8
New Jersey	1	2.4	East South	3	7.3
Midwest	8	19.5	Central		
East North	5	12.2	Kentucky	2	4.9
Central			Tennessee	0	0.0
Ohio	1	2.4	Alabama	0	0.0
Indiana	0	0.0	Mississippi	1	2.4
Michigan	1	2.4	West South	4	9.8
Illinois	2	4.9	Central		
Wisconsin	1	2.4	Arkansas	0	0.0
West North	3	7.3	Louisiana	1	0.0
Central			Oklahoma	1	0.0
Minnesota	1	2.4	Texas	2	4.9
Iowa	0	0.0	West	8	19.5
Missouri	1	2.4	Mountain	4	9.8
North Dakota	0	0.0	Montana	1	2.4
South Dakota	0	0.0	Wyoming	0	0.0
Nebraska	1	2.4	Colorado	1	2.4
Kansas	0	0.0	New Mexico	1	2.4
	0	0.0	Idaho	0	0.0
Unknown			Utah	0	0.0
Thirty (30) of 51 s	states and District c	f Columbia	Arizona	1	2.4
• • •	e: Forty-four (44) c		Nevada	0	0.0
	umbia were represe		Pacific	4	9.8
AAPM Profession	nal Information Sur	vey.	Alaska	0	0.0
			Washington	0	0.0
			Oregon	1	2.4
			California	3	7.3
			Hawaii	0	0.0

Table 4: Comparison: Percentages of Respondents to Abt and 2006 AAPM Professional Information Survey: Region and Census Division Region

Region or Census	Abt Survey %	2006AAPM Survey	Significant Difference
Division Region		%	(5% Level, 2-Tailed
Northeast	26.8	24.1%	Test) No
New England	14.6	7.4	No
Mid Atlantic	12.2	16.7	No
Midwest	19.5	26.2	No
East North Central	12.2	19.2	No
West North Central	7.3	7.1	No
South	34.1	33.6	No
South Atlantic	17.1	18.4	No
East South Central	7.3	5.2	No
West South Central	9.8	9.9	No
West	19.5	16.2	No
Mountain	9.8	3.5	No
Pacific	9.8	12.7	No
Unknown	0.0	0.0	No

Time, Intensity, and QMP Work Estimates

QMP non-procedural and procedural and total (non-procedural plus procedural) time estimates are provided in **Tables 5A, 5B, and 5C for comparison**. Median values are reported in the text because these values are not as sensitive to extreme values; additional statistics (minimum, 1st and 3rd quartiles, maximum values, means, and standard deviations) are reported in **Appendix V.** For comparison, time estimates from previous (1995 and 2003) and current (2007) surveys are provided. Please note that the non-procedural QMP time estimates are identical for 77295-77321 and for 77326-77328 (or 77326-77784) in each year, because these non-procedural time estimates were calculated for each of the two groups of codes.

The QMP non-procedural median time estimate for radiation field testing, dosimetry, and isodose plans (77295-77321) for 2007 (0.25 hours) was between the values for the two previous surveys (0.15 hours in 2003 and 0.38 hours in 1995). For brachytherapy (77326-77784), the median non-procedural time estimate in 2007 (0.90 hours) was much closer to the value for the first survey (0.83 hours in 1995) than in the second survey (0.38 hours in 2003). Interestingly, the QMP non-procedural median time estimate for special dosimetry (77331) has consistently fallen over time, from 1.15 hours in the 1995 survey to 0.35 hours in the 2007 survey. Median non-procedural QMP time estimates for treatment devices (77332, 77333, and 77334) were all quite low but similar across the three surveys.

Sixteen (16) of the 20 CPT codes included in the 2007 survey can have their QMP median procedural time estimates compared with the two previous surveys (codes 77781-77784 were codes new to the 2007 survey). Of the 16 codes, six (6) had QMP procedural time estimates that were identical in 2003 and 2007, and another three had differences of 20 percent of less in absolute value from 2003 to 2007. Only two codes had changes of more than 50 percent from 2003 to 2007 – intermediate

brachytherapy isodose plan, whose QMP median procedural time estimate increased from 1.00 hours in 2003 (and 1995) to 1.75 hours in 2007, and simple treatment device (77332) whose QMP median procedural time estimate declined from 0.10 hours in 2003 (and 1995) to 0.00 hours by 2007.

Total (non-procedural plus procedural) QMP median time estimates are presented in Table 5.C. While the differences in the total QMP time estimates between the 2003 and 2007 surveys tended to be larger in absolute value than those for procedural time alone, many of the differences remained small. Of the 16 CPT codes that could be compared, four (4) had differences of less than 10 percent and an additional three (3) had differences of less than 20 percent in absolute value between 2003 and 2007. Of the two codes whose median total QMP time differed by more than 50 percent in absolute value between the 2003 and 2007 surveys, both 2007 medians became closer (in absolute percentage differences) to the values from the first survey (1995):

- Simple brachytherapy isodose plan (77326) the total median QMP time estimates were 2.13 hours in 1995, 1.20 hours in 2003, and 2.52 hours in 2007; and
- Complex brachtherapy isodose plan (77328) the total median QMP time estimates were 3.87 hours in 1995, 3.18 hours in 2003, and 4.78 hours in 2007.

Fully ten (10) of the 16 CPT codes had median total QMP time differences of less than 20 percent in absolute value terms for the 1995 and 2007 surveys.

CPT Code	Procedure Description	1995 Abt Survey	2003 Abt Survey	2007 Abt Survey
77295	Therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field testing	NA	0.15	0.25
77300	Basic dosimetry calculation	0.38	0.15	0.25
77301	IMRT treatment planning	NA	0.15	0.25
77305	Simple isodose plan	0.38	0.15	0.25
77310	Intermediate isodose plan	0.38	0.15	0.25
77315	Complex isodose plan	0.38	0.15	0.25
77321	Simple teletherapy port plan	0.38	0.15	0.25
77326	Simple brachytherapy isodose plan	0.83	0.38	0.90
77327	Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan	0.83	0.38	0.90
77328	Complex brachytherapy isodose plan	0.83	0.38	0.90
77781	High intensity brachytherapy, 1-4 dwell positions	NA	NA	0.90
77782	High intensity brachytherapy, 5-8 dwell positions	NA	NA	0.90
77783	High intensity brachytherapy, 9-12 dwell positions	NA	NA	0.90
77784	High intensity brachytherapy, 12 or more dwell positions	NA	NA	0.90
77331	Special dosimetry	1.15	0.57	0.35
77332	Simple treatment device	0.01	0.02	0.02
77333	Intermediate treatment device	0.01	0.06	0.05
77334	Complex treatment device	0.04	0.02	0.02

Median QMP Non-Procedural Time (in Hours) for Surveyed Radiation Oncology Physics Services Table 5A:

15

CPT Code	Procedure Description	1995 Abt Survey	2003 Abt Survey	2007 Abt Survey
L \$6711	Therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field testing	NA	1.00	1.00
77300 H	Basic dosimetry calculation	0.17	0.25	0.25
77301 I	IMRT treatment planning	NA	5.25	4.00
77305 S	Simple isodose plan	0.25	0.30	0.33
77310 I	Intermediate isodose plan	0.40	0.50	0.50
77315	Complex isodose plan	0.50	0.50	0.50
77321 S	Simple teletherapy port plan	0.70	0.75	0.60
77326 S	Simple brachytherapy isodose plan	1.00	0.75	1.00
77327 I	Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan	1.00	1.00	1.75
77328	Complex brachytherapy isodose plan	3.00	2.50	3.00
77781 H	High intensity brachytherapy, 1-4 dwell positions	NA	NA	1.50
77782 H	High intensity brachytherapy, 5-8 dwell positions	NA	NA	2.50
77783 H	High intensity brachytherapy, 9-12 dwell positions	NA	NA	3.25
77784 I	High intensity brachytherapy, over 12 dwell positions	NA	NA	2.50
77331 S	Special dosimetry	1.50	1.00	1.00
77332 S	Simple treatment device	0.10	0.10	0.00
77333 I	Intermediate treatment device	0.25	0.25	0.25
77334	Complex treatment device	0.25	0.25	0.17
77336	Continuing medical physics consultation	1.50	1.50	1.00
77370 S	Special medical physics consultation	4.00	5.60	3.43

Median QMP Procedural Time (in Hours) for Surveyed Radiation Oncology Physics Services Table 5B:

Abt Associates Inc.

16

Table 5C:Median QMP Total (Non-Procedural and Procedural) Time (in Hours)for Surveyed Radiation Oncology Physics Services

77295Therapeutic radiology simulation77300Basic dosimetry calculation77301IMRT treatment planning	I I OCCULI L'ENTRE I I OCCULI	Yavada add Survey	AND ADU DU VE	ZUU/ AULOUITVEY
	mulation-aided field testing	NA	1.16	1.18
	on	0.63	0.56	0.55
	50	NA	5.53	4.53
77305 Simple isodose plan		0.82	0.54	0.69
77310 Intermediate isodose plan	u	0.93	0.63	0.78
77315 Complex isodose plan		1.15	0.83	0.98
77321 Simple teletherapy port plan	olan	1.21	1.06	1.07
77326 Simple brachytherapy isodose plan	odose plan	2.13	1.20	2.52
77327 Intermediate brachytherapy	apy isodose plan	2.45	1.90	2.70
77328 Complex brachytherapy isodose plan	isodose plan	3.87	3.18	4.78
77781 High intensity brachytherapy, 1-4 dwell positions	rapy, 1-4 dwell positions	NA	NA	2.70
77782 High intensity brachytherapy, 5-8 dwell positions	rapy, 5-8 dwell positions	NA	NA	3.79
77782 High intensity brachytherapy, 9-12 dwell positions	rapy, 9-12 dwell positions	NA	NA	4.79
77784 High intensity brachytheral	High intensity brachytherapy, over 12 dwell positions	NA	NA	3.43
77331 Special dosimetry		2.76	1.61	2.06
77332 Simple treatment device		0.11	0.17	0.13
77333 Intermediate treatment devi	levice	0.30	0.36	0.34
77334 Complex treatment device	e	0.34	0.30	0.24
77336 Continuing medical physics	ics consultation	1.50	1.50	1.00
77370 Special medical physics cons	onsultation	4.00	5.60	3.45

17

Median relative intensity estimates ranked in increasing (2007) order of intensity for the 1995, 2003, and 2007 surveys are presented in **Table 6**. Of the 15 CPT codes that can be compared (Continuing medical physics consultation is the reference code whose relative intensity is set at 1.00 in each survey), eight (8) have equal relative intensities in 2003 and 2007, while another five (5) have relative intensities that differed less than 20 percent between 2003 and 2007. Similarly, five (5) of the 15 CPT codes had identical relative intensities in 1995 and 2007, while six more had relative intensities within 20 percent of each other in 1995 and 2007. There were no differences of more than one third in the relative intensity medians for any code between 2003 and 2007.

Table 6: Median Relative Intensity Estimates for Surveyed Radiation Oncology Physics Services (Increasing Order of Intensity (2007))

Relative Internsity:Relative Internsity:Simple treatment device0.50Basic dosimetry calculation0.50Intermediate treatment device0.50Intermediate treatment device1.00Nomple isodose plan1.00Simple isodose plan1.00Intermediate isodose plan1.00Intermediate isodose plan1.00Intermediate isodose plan1.55Intermediate isodose plan1.55Simple teletherapy port plan1.55Simple teletherapy isodose plan1.50Simple teletherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.95High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 0 ver 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10Special medical physics consultation3.10	CPT Code	Procedure Description	Median	Median	Median Relative
Itemsity: 1995Simple treatment device0.50Basic dosimetry calculation0.50Intermediate treatment device0.50Intermediate treatment device1.00Continuing medical physics consultation1.00Simple isodose plan1.00Complex treatment device1.00Intermediate isodose plan1.00Intermediate isodose plan1.00Complex isodose plan1.50Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Simple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions3.10High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions3.10		,	Relative	Relative	Intensity:
1995Simple treatment device0.50Basic dosimetry calculation0.50Intermediate treatment device0.50Intermediate treatment device1.00Continuing medical physics consultation1.00Simple isodose plan1.00Complex treatment device1.00Intermediate isodose plan1.00Complex treatment device1.23Intermediate isodose plan1.55Intermediate isodose plan1.50Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Simple teletherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.55High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose			Intensity:	Intensity:	2007
Simple treatment device0.50Basic dosimetry calculation0.50Intermediate treatment device1.00Intermediate treatment device1.00Continuing medical physics consultation1.00Simple isodose plan1.00Intermediate isodose plan1.00Intermediate isodose plan1.55Intermediate isodose plan1.50Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Simple teletherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy: 50 8 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 50 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 0 to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell PositionsNA <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>1995</th><th>2003</th><th></th></td<>			1995	2003	
Basic dosimetry calculation0.50Intermediate treatment device1.00Continuing medical physics consultation1.00Complex treatment device1.00Simple isodose plan1.00Complex treatment device1.23Intermediate isodose plan1.30Complex siodose plan1.55Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Simple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.95High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.95High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.95High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10Special medical physics consultation3.10		Simple treatment device	0.50	0.70	02.0
Intermediate treatment device1.00Continuing medical physics consultation1.00Continuing medical physics consultation1.00Simple isodose plan1.00Complex treatment device1.23Intermediate isodose plan1.50Complex treatment device1.50Complex isodose plan1.50Complex isodose plan1.50Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Special dosimetry2.00Special dosimetry2.00Simple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan3.10Special medical physics consultation3.10Special medical physics consultation3.10		Basic dosimetry calculation	0.50	1.00	1.00
Continuing medical physics consultation1.00Simple isodose plan1.00Simple isodose plan1.23Intermediate isodose plan1.30Complex treatment device1.55Intermediate isodose plan1.55Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Simple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Simple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10Special medical physics consultation3.10		Intermediate treatment device	1.00	1.00	1.00
Simple isodose plan1.00Complex treatment device1.23Intermediate isodose plan1.30Intermediate isodose plan1.55Complex isodose plan1.50Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Simple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field testingNASimple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.95High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy: O to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10Special medical physics consultation3.10			1.00	1.00	1.00
Complex treatment device1.23Intermediate isodose plan1.30Intermediate isodose plan1.55Complex isodose plan1.50Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Simple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose planNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy isodose plan1.95High Intensity Brachytherapy isodose planNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10Special medical physics consultation3.10		Simple isodose plan	1.00	1.00	1.00
Intermediate isodose plan1.30Complex isodose plan1.55Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Special dosimetry1.50Special dosimetry2.00Simple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10		Complex treatment device	1.23	1.20	1.00
Complex isodose plan1.55Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Special dosimetry1.50Special dosimetry2.00Therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field testingNASimple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.50High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10		Intermediate isodose plan	1.30	1.20	1.28
Simple teletherapy port plan1.50Special dosimetry2.00Special dosimetry2.00Therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field testingNASimple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.95High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell PositionsNAKomplex brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10		Complex isodose plan	1.55	1.50	1.50
Special dosimetry2.00Therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field testingNATherapeutic radiology simulation-aided field testingNASimple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions1.95High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10Special medical physics consultation3.10			1.50	1.50	1.50
Therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field testingNASimple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.95High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell PositionsNAComplex brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy: 0 to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 0 to 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10		Special dosimetry	2.00	2.00	1.65
Simple brachytherapy isodose plan1.50Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.95High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell PositionsNAComplex brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10		Therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field testing	NA	2.50	2.00
Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan1.95High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell PositionsNAComplex brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 0 ver 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10		Simple brachytherapy isodose plan	1.50	1.50	2.00
High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell PositionsNAComplex brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10		Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan	1.95	2.00	2.00
High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell PositionsNAComplex brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10		High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions	NA	NA	2.00
Complex brachytherapy isodose plan3.00High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10		High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions	NA	NA	2.50
High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell PositionsNAHigh Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell PositionsNASpecial medical physics consultation3.10		Complex brachytherapy isodose plan	3.00	3.00	3.00
High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions NA Special medical physics consultation 3.10		High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions	NA	NA	3.00
Special medical physics consultation 3.10		High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions	NA	NA	3.00
		Special medical physics consultation	3.10	3.87	3.38
IMRT treatment planning NA	77301 II	IMRT treatment planning	NA	4.50	6.00

* CPT code 77336 was selected as the benchmark service for the survey; therefore it was assigned an intensity of 1.00. The intensities of all other services were rated relative to it.

Table 7 displays median work estimates by code for 1995, 2003 and 2007, including estimates where median work for the reference code (77336) has been normalized to 1.00. Comparing the normalized median work estimates for 2003 and 2007, there are a considerable number of differences of more than 50 percent in absolute value, including:

- Basic dosimetry calculation (77300): 158% increase (from 2003 to 2007);
- IMRT treatment planning (77301): 131% increase;
- Simple isodose plan (77305): 92% increase;
- Intermediate isodose plan (77310): 73% increase;
- Complex isodose plan (77315): 90% increase;
- Simple teletherapy port plan (77321): 61% increase;
- Simple brachytherapy isodose plan (77326): 210% increase;
- Intermediate brachtherapy isodose plan (77327): 140% increase;
- Complex brachytherapy isodose plan (77328): 107% increase;
- Simple treatment device (77332): 71% increase; and
- Complex treatment device (77334): 67% increase.

There were only three CPT codes with differences in normalized median work values of less than 20 percent between 2003 and 2007.

Two factors appear to account for the large differences in normalized median work values between 2003 and 2007. First, the median work value (not normalized) for the reference code, continuing medical physics consultation (77336), decreased from 1.50 in 2003 (and 1995) to 1.00 by 2007.⁸ The second factor is that median work value estimates in 2007 are reverting more closely to values from the1995 survey.

⁸ An argument may be made for the median work value for medical physics consultations (77336) to either increase or decrease over time. As the intensity of the average mix of services provided by medical physicists increases, treatment plans could become more complex, increasing the work associated with a medical physics consultation. Conversely, improvements in technology, most notably the diffusion of electronics record keeping, may make it easier to conduct a medical physics consultation. Instead of having to gather numerous paper records and inspect films, with an electronic records keeping system, a medical physicist can access all these records at once, saving time and work.

Table 7:	QMP Work Estimates for Surveyed Radiation Oncology Services
	QM

CPT	Procedure	1995	2003	2007
Code	Description	Median	Median	Median
		Survey Work	Survey Work	Survey Work
		Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
77295	Therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field	NA	3.21	1.63
	testing			
77300	Basic dosimetry calculation	0.33	0.29	0.49
77301	IMRT treatment planning	NA	18.64	28.66
77305	Simple isodose plan	0.75	0.54	0.69
77310	Intermediate isodose plan	1.24	0.72	0.83
77315	Complex isodose plan	1.69	1.30	1.65
77321	Simple teletherapy port plan	1.81	1.52	1.64
77326	Simple brachytherapy isodose plan	3.18	1.87	3.88
77327	Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan	4.73	3.53	5.64
77328	Complex brachytherapy isodose plan	11.67	8.67	11.98
77781	High intensity brachytherapy, 1-4 dwell	NA	NA	5.72
	positions			
77782	High intensity brachytherapy, 5-8 dwell	NA	NA	10.34
	positions			
77783	High intensity brachytherapy, 9-12 dwell	NA	NA	14.67
	positions			
77784	High intensity brachytherapy, over 12 dwell	NA	NA	13.85
	positions			
77331	Special dosimetry	4.35	3.60	2.66
77332	Simple treatment device	0.06	0.11	0.12
77333	Intermediate treatment device	0.31	0.42	0.30
77334	Complex treatment device	0.39	0.40	0.45
77336	Continuing medical physics consultation	1.50	1.50	1.00
77370	Special medical physics consultation	15.00	20.92	13.94

One potential concern is the possibility of biasing the results due to the under or over-representation of practices in the sample from individual census division regions. To test for the impact of this under-representation on this study's results, the median work value calculations were re-estimated to incorporate weights based on the distribution of practices by Census Division Region from the 2006 AAPM Professional Information Survey. Normalized median unweighted and weighted work values are presented below in **Table 8**; please note that the median work value for reference code (77336) before normalization had the same value (1.50) for both the weighted and unweighted estimates.⁹

All 20 codes had differences of less than 20 percent. Six (6) of the 20 codes had identical weighted and unweighted normalized median work estimates, and 14 of the 20 codes had differences of less than 10 percent. Weighting does not significantly affect the findings. Given that unweighted work values were presented in both earlier studies, it was decided to focus on unweighted work value estimates in 2007 as well.

⁹ One other anomaly was the median work values for high intensity brachytherapy (codes 77781, 77782, 77783, and 77784). One would expect the median work values to increase from code 77781 through 77784, because the number of dwell positions increases. While median work values increase from 77781 to 7782 and from 77782 to 77783, the median work value for 77784 is lower than for 77783. One explanation for the decrease is that the number of responding practices for 77784 (29) was one fewer than for 77783 (30). If the "missing" practice for code 77784 reported a work value higher than the current median (13.85), it is likely that the median work value for 77784 would have increased above the median work value for 77783 (14.34).

Table 8:Normalized Median Unweighted and Weighted Work Values (77336 Normalized to 1.00): 2007

CPT Code	Procedure Description	Unweighted	Weighted	(Weighted - Unweighted)/Unweighted %
77336	Continuing Medical Physics Consultation	1.00	1.00	0.0%
77300	Basic Dosimetry Plan	0.49	0.50	2.0
77305	Simple Isodose Plan	0.69	0.73	5.8
77310	Intermediate Isodose Plan	0.83	0.85	2.4
77315	Complex Isodose Plan	1.65	1.64	-0.6
77321	Special Teletherapy Port Plan	1.64	1.64	0.0
77326	Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	3.88	4.20	8.2
77327	Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	5.64	5.63	-0.2
77328	Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	11.98	11.98	0.0
77331	Special Dosimetry	2.66	3.10	16.5
77332	Simple Treatment Device	0.12	0.11	-8.3
77333	Intermediate Treatment Device	0.30	0.30	0.0
77334	Complex Treatment Device	0.45	0.41	-8.9
77370	Special Medical Physics Consultation	13.94	13.94	0.0
77295	Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing	1.63	1.47	-9.8
77301	IMRT Treatment Planning	28.66	30.31	5.8
77781	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions	5.72	5.56	-2.8
77782	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions	10.34	10.37	0.3
77783	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions	14.67	14.34	-2.2
77784	High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions	13.85	13.85	0.0

Caseload, Staffing, and Technology

Information on patient caseloads, number of patient treatments, and staffing by practice type are provided in **Table 9**. Overall, QMP practices that are associated with medical schools and universities tend to serve more patients, provide more patient treatments, and have more staff than other QMP practices, but also have fewer patients per QMP.¹⁰

Finally, information on the percentage of practices offering special procedures and advanced technologies in 1995, 2003 and 2007 are presented in **Table 10**. Of the eight special procedures that were surveyed in both 2003 and 2007, more practices reported performing the procedure in 2007 in four (4) instances, while fewer practices reported performing the procedure in 2007 for the other four (4) instances. Examples where the percentage of practices who reported performing the procedure increased from 2003 to 2007 include:

- Remote (HDR or LDR) afterloading brachytherapy (from 66 to 68%);
- Stereotactic external beam irradiation -- radiosurgery (single fraction) (from 57 to 68%);
- Stereotactic external beam irradiation -- radiotherapy (multiple fraction) (from 43 to 61%); and
- Intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) (from 57 to 95%).

The four procedures where a lower percentage of practices reported performing the procedure in 2007 than in 2003 included:

- Total skin electron irradiation (from 38 to 34%);
- Total body irradiation (from 57 to 37%);
- Intraoperative radiotherapy (from 25 to 17%); and
- Prostate seed brachytherapy (from 89 to 78%).

The percentage of practices in 2007 that reported performing two newly surveyed procedures included 78 percent for imaged guided radiation therapy and 39 percent for stereotactic body irradiation. The proliferation of advanced technologies steadily increased from 2003 to 2007, including: (1) record and verify system (from 87 to 95%); (2) dynamic wedge (from 40 to 59%); (3) multileaf collimator (from 79 to 95%); (4) electronic portal dosimetry (from 53 to 80%); and (5) dynamic multileaf collimator (for IMRT) (from 58 to 88%). In addition, 39 percent of practices in 2007 reported having one newly surveyed advanced technology, a respiratory gating system.

¹⁰ The median total number of teletherapy and brachytherapy patients at physician groups (2,500) is not a misprint, even though it is lower than the median number of new patients (6,733) – this information appears to have been misreported or misinterpreted by one practice.

Patient Caseload and FTE Staff	Overall	Private/Community Hospital	Medical School/University Hospital	Medical Physics Consulting	Physician Group
Patient caseload of institution where QMP practices:				Group	
Number of New Patients Treated*	595	591	666	382	415
Total Number of Patients Treated	700	660	1,111	572	422
Percentage of Total Patients treated on most heavily	50%	56%	33%	60%	78%
Number of Patients per QMP	304.2	368.0	220.0	464.1	260.0
Patient treatments:					
Number of patient treatments done on most heavily	6,240	6,451	6,300	6,733	7,975
utilized teletherapy unit					
Number of teletherapy patient treatments at institution	13,259	13,250	24,222	2,500	17,275
Number of FTE Staff					
Medical physicists	2.0	2.0	5.7	1.5	2.9
Radiation oncologists	3.0	2.5	5.6	2.0	4.0
Dosimetrists and/or junior physicists	3.0	3.0	3.3	1.3	2.0
Physics assistants	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.0
Brachytherapy technologists	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Maintenance engineers	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.1	0.0
Radiation therapists	8.0	7.0	14.0	4.5	7.5
Radiation oncology nurses	3.0	2.5	4.1	3.2	3.0

Median Patient Caseloads and Staffing Patterns of Institutions Where Medical Physicists Practice (by Practice Type) Table 9:

* Patients include teletherapy and brachytherapy patients.

Table 10:
Special Procedures and Advanced Technologies Offered
By Institutions Where Responding QMPs Practice

Special Procedure or Advanced Technology	1995	2003	2007		
Special Procedures					
Total skin electron irradiation	31%	38%	34%		
Total body irradiation	46	57	37		
Electron arc irradiation	11	15	NA		
Remote (HDR or LDR) afterloading brachytherapy	46	66	68		
Stereotactic brachytherapy	21	17	NA		
Stereotactic external beam irradiation (including	43	NA	NA		
radiosurgery)					
Stereotactic external beam irradiation radiosurgery	NA	51	68		
(single fraction)					
Stereotactic external beam irradiation radiotherapy	NA	43	61		
(multiple fraction)					
Intraoperative radiotherapy	13	25	17		
Prostate seed brachytherapy	NA	89	78		
Intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT)	NA	57	95		
3-D conformal radiation therapy (non-IMRT)	NA	92	NA		
3-D treatment planning	47	NA	NA		
Endovascular brachytherapy	NA	74	NA		
Independent jaw treatments	79	NA	NA		
Image guided radiation therapy	NA	NA	78		
Stereotactic body irradiation	NA	NA	39		
Advanced Technologies					
Record and verify system	NA	87	95		
Dynamic wedge	16	40	59		
Multileaf collimator	19	79	95		
Electronic portal imaging	20	53	80		
Dynamic multileaf collimator (for intensity modulated	NA	58	88		
radiotherapy)					
Respiratory gating system	NA	NA	39		

Conclusions

Using a methodology and survey approach similar to that employed in the earlier (1995 and 2003) surveys, Abt has completed a new (2007) survey of QMP time, intensity, work (time * intensity), caseload, staffing and technology for the ACMP and AAPM. The resulting survey included a purposive sample of 100 QMPs selected according to the distribution of QMP practices by Census Division Region and practice type from the 2006 AAPM Professional Information Survey.

The resulting study concluded the following:

- Response rate of 41 percent this was lower than the response rates for the 1995 (70 percent) and 2003 (53 percent) surveys;
- The distributions of (2007) respondents by Census Division Region and practice type was quite similar to those observed for the 2006 AAPM Professional Information Survey;
- The resulting estimates for time and intensity for the 2007 Survey were similar to those determined by the earlier 1995 and 2003 surveys. Differences in median work values for 2007 compared to 1995 and especially 2003 were due to two factors: (1) the median work value for the reference procedure was lower in 2007 than in 1995 or 2003, which increased the normalized median work values for the other CPT codes; and (2) raw median work value estimates in 2007 appeared to be closer to the 1995 than to 2003 raw medians (values in 1995 and 2007 tended to be higher than raw median work estimates in 2003);
- As expected, academic practices (those associated with medical schools and university hospitals) tended to treat more patients, provide more treatments, employ larger staffs, and treated fewer patients per QMP; and
- The percentage of practices using special procedures was relatively flat between 2003 and 2007, where the percentages of practices reporting providing individual procedures were as likely to increase as to decrease from 2003 to 2007. In contrast, the prevalence of advanced technologies was consistently higher in 2007 than in 2003 (or 1995).

Bibliography

Readers of this report may find that following references provide additional valuable information.

- Abt Associates Inc. The Abt Study of Medical Physicist Work Values for Radiation Oncology Physics Services. October 3, 1995. <u>www.acmp.org</u>
- Abt Associates, Inc., The Abt Study of Medical Physicist Work Values for Radiation Oncology Physics Services: Round II. June, 2003. <u>www.acmp.org</u>
- American College of Medical Physics. *Scope of Practice of Medical Physics*, February 2002. <u>www.acmp.org</u>
- American College of Medical Physics. *The Survey of Physics Resources for Radiation Oncology* Special Procedures. 1998. <u>www.acmp.org</u>
- Herman, MG, Mills MD, and Gillin MT. "Reimbursement versus effort in medical physics practice in radiation oncology." In *Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics*, Volume 4, Issue 2, Spring, 2003, pp. 179-187. <u>www.ojps.aip.org/acm</u>

Institute of Physics & Engineering in Medicine. *Guidelines for the Provision of a Physics Service to Radiotherapy*. <u>www.ipem.org.uk/publications/role_doc.pdf</u>

- Kahan J, Morton S, Kominski G et al., *Issues in Developing a Resource-Based Relative Value Scale* for Physician Work, Report R-4130-HCFA, 1992.
- Please note that the 2006 AAPM Professional Information Survey is not available for public distribution; thus, no reference for this document is included here.

APPENDIX I

Definition of the Qualified Medical Physicist

A Qualified Medical Physicist is an individual who is competent to practice independently one or more of the subfields of medical physics.

I. Therapeutic Radiological Physics

This particular field pertains to:

- The therapeutic applications of x-rays, gamma rays, electron and charged particle beams, neutrons and radiations from sealed radionuclide sources
- The equipment associated with their production, use, measurement and evaluation
- The quality of images resulting from their production and use
- Medical health physics associated with this subfield

II. Diagnostic Radiological Physics

This particular field pertains to:

- The diagnostic applications of x rays, gamma rays from sealed sources, ultrasonic radiation, radio frequency radiation and magnetic fields
- The equipment associated with their production, use, measurement and evaluation
- The quality of images resulting from their production and use
- Medical health physics associated with this subfield

III. Medical Nuclear Physics

This particular field pertains to:

- The therapeutic and diagnostic applications of radionuclides (except those used in sealed sources for therapeutic purposes)
- The equipment associated with their production, use, measurement and evaluation
- The quality of images resulting form their production and use
- Medical health physics associated with this subfield

IV. Medical Health Physics

This particular field pertains to:

- The safe use of x rays, gamma rays, electron and other charged particle beams of neutrons or radionuclides and of radiation from sealed radionuclide sources for both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes, except with regard to the application of radiation to patients for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes
- The instrumentation required to perform appropriate radiation surveys

Definition of the Qualified Medical Physicist (continued)

It is expected that an individual will not hold himself/herself out to be qualified in a subfield for which he/she has not established competency. An individual will be considered competent to practice one or more of the subfields of Medical Physics if that individual is certified in that subfield by any one of the following:

- The American Board of Radiology
- The American Board of Medical Physics
- The American Board of Health Physics
- The American Board of Science in Nuclear Medicine
- The Canadian College of Physics in Medicine

The American Association of Physicists in Medicine regards board certification in the appropriate medical subfield as the appropriate qualification for the designation of Qualified Medical Physicist.

In addition to the above qualifications, a Qualified Medical Physicist shall meet and uphold the "<u>Guidelines for Ethical Practice for Medical Physicists</u>" as published by the American Association of Physicists in Medicine, and satisfy state licensure where applicable.

APPENDIX II: CPT Descriptors of Medical Physics Codes

77295 77300	Three-dimensional therapeutic simulation-aided field testing Basic radiation dosimetry calculation, central axis depth dose calculation, TDF, NSD, gap calculation, off axis factor, tissue inhomogeneity factors, calculation of non-ionizing radiation surface and depth dose, as required during course of treatment, only when prescribed by the treating physician
77301	Intensity modulated radiotherapy plan, include dose volume histograms for target and critical structure partial tolerance specifications
77305	Teletherapy, isodose plan (whether hand or computer calculated); simple (one or two parallel opposed unmodified ports directed to a single area of interest)
77310	Teletherapy, isodose plan (whether hand or computer calculated); intermediate (three or more treatment ports directed to a single area of interest)
77315	Teletherapy, isodose plan (whether hand or computer calculated); complex (mantle or inverted Y, tangential ports, the use of wedges, compensators, complex blocking, rotational beam, or special beam consideration)
77321	Special teletherapy port plan, particles, hemibody, total body
77326	Brachytherapy isodose calculation; simple (calculation made from a single plane, one to four sources/ribbon application, remote afterloading brachytherapy, 1 to 8 dwell positions)
77327	Brachytherapy isodose calculation; intermediate (multiplane dosage calculations, application involving 5 to 10 sources/ribbons, remote afterloading brachytherapy, 9 to 12 dwell positions)
77328	Brachytherapy isodose calculation; complex (multiplane isodose plan, volume implant calculations, over 10 sources/ribbons, remote afterloading brachytherapy, over 12 dwell positions)
77331	Special dosimetry (e.g., TLD, microdosimetry) (specify), only when prescribed by the treating physician
77332	Treatment devices, design and construction; simple (simple block, simple bolus)
77333	Treatment devices, design and construction; intermediate (multiple blocks, stents, bite blocks, special bolus)
77334	Treatment devices, design and construction; complex (irregular blocks, special shields, compensators, wedges, molds, or casts)
77336	Continuing medical physics consultation, including assessment of treatment parameters, quality assurance of dose delivery, and review of patient treatment documentation in support of the radiation oncologist, reported per week of therapy
77370	Special medical radiation physics consultation
77781	High intensity brachytherapy, one (1) to four (4) dwell positions
77782	High intensity brachytherapy, five (5) to eight (8) dwell positions
77783	High intensity brachytherapy, nine (9) to 12 dwell positions
77784	High intensity brachytherapy, over 12 dwell positions

American Medical Association. Current Procedure Terminology CPT 2006: Professional Edition. AMA Press, 2007.

APPENDIX III: Vignettes of Surveyed Medical Physics Services

СРТ	Procedure Vignette
Code	
77336	Continuing medical physics consultation: 65-year-old male with adenocarcinoma of the prostate. External beam irradiation is planned using 18 MV photons. 7000 cGy in 7 weeks, 200 cGy/fraction are delivered using 4 ports, equal weighting at isocenter. Two conedowns are scheduled during the course of treatment. QMP performs a weekly chart check of all charting, diagnostic studies, port films, and patient calculations
77300	Basic dosimetry calculation: 72-year-old female with metastatic disease involving T12 and L1. A single port is prescribed with intent to deliver 3000 cGy in 10 fractions at a depth of 6 cm. A central axis does calculation is performed.
77305	Simple isodose plan: 61-yar-old male with soft tissue sarcoma involving the right arm. An irregular field was designed to treat postoperative residual disease. Central axis and off-axis points were specified, with the dose of 6000 cGy in 6 weeks to be delivered from parallel opposed, equally loaded ports. Doses to 3 off-axis irregular field points are determined and reported.
77310	Intermediate isodose plan: 68-year-old man with squamous carcinoma in the middle third of the esophagus. Post-operative irradiation is to be delivered after a partial resection. Tumor is treated using 1 anterior port with 2 posterior obliques with no blocking required. The single plane isodose distribution must demonstrate coverage of the prescribed target volume.
77315	Complex isodose plan: 56-year-old female with 2 cm tumor and simple excision proving infiltrating ductal carcinoma of the right breast. Breast tangents are designed with the dose to be given from equally loaded parallel opposed ports. Isodose curves are generated using 0, 30, & 45 degree wedges.
77321	Special teletherapy port plan: 55-year-old female with acinic cell carcinoma of the parotid gland. Post-op radiation is designed to deliver unilateral mixed beam irradiation with 6 MV photons and electrons. 3 energies of electrons are considered: 9 MeV, 12 MeV, and 16 MeV.
77326	Simple brachytherapy isodose plan: 65-year-old female with carcinoma of the vagina. Since a hysterectomy has been performed, the radiation oncologist elects to do the treatment with dome cylinder colpostats. 6000 cGy surface dose is to be delivered in 72 hours, using 3 Cesium-137 sources.

СРТ	Procedure Vignette
Code	
77327	Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan: 58-year-old female with carcinoma located in the vaginal fornices with an intact cervix. Irradiation is given with an intrauterine tandem and ovoid colpostats. Dose of 6600 cGy is given to involved vaginal site using 6 Cesium-137 sources for
55220	72 hours.
77328	Complex brachytherapy isodose plan: 55-year-old male with squamous cell carcinoma involving the base of the tongue. Irradiation is planned using IR-192 sources in a multiplanar or volume implant. A total of 80 sources are used in 11 ribbons. A dose of 5500 cGy is given to the volume in 72 hours.
77331	Special dosimetry: 49-year-old male with squamous carcinoma involving the nasopharynx. External beam irradiation is planned using 6 MV photons, parallel opposed, equal weighting, at 180 cGy/fraction, total dose – 6300 cGy. TLD dosimetry is requested with the dosimeters to be placed using a nasogastric (Levin) tube. The results of right and left lateral port measurements must be checked by the QMP.
77332	Simple treatment device: 63-year-old male with metastatic brain disease is treated with 6 MV photons with lateral fields, 200 cGy/fraction to a total dose of 3000 cGy. A tray with a single standard block is prepared.
77333	Intermediate treatment device: 65-year-old female with squamous cell caracinoma of the posterior pharyngeal wall. 7000 cGy is prescribed to be delivered in 7 weeks at 200 cGy/fraction using 6 MV photons, parallel opposed, equal weighting. A custom bite block is fabricated to reproduce the position of the patient for treatment each day. The bite block is approved by the QMP.
77334	Complex treatment device: 47-year-old male with squamous cell carcinoma of the right lung. External beam irradiation is planned using 6 MV photons, parallel opposed, equal weighting. Custom lung blocks are designed using a hot wire cutter to produce a Styrofoam mold into which Lipowitz metal (Cerrobend) is poured. The lung blocks are then bolted to a Lucite plate which slides into the tray holder attached to the rotating secondary collimator of the radiation unit.
77370	Special medical physics consultation: 56-year-old male presents with an arterio-venous malformation (AVM) and is referred for stereotactic radiosurgery. The AVM is treated to a dose of 2500 cGy in a single fraction. The QMP supervises the CT imaging of the patient with the stereotactic frame rigidly attached to the patient's skull. A two-isocenter plan is generated using 11 non-coplanar arcs. The QMP performs QA procedures to verify the patient position before treatment begins. The QMP assures all patient positions and arcs are delivered according to plan. The QMP generates and signs a report detailing the effort associated with the stereotactic radiosurgery procedure.

СРТ	Procedure Vignette		
Code			
77295	Therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field testing: 63-year-old male with prostate cancer		
	presents for 3-dimensional conformal radiation therapy involving 6 irregular and opposing fields		
	with high energy photons. CT scans are performed throughout the pelvis, and CT planning is		
	performed, including generation of dose volume histograms for the target and normal structures.		
77301	IMRT Treatment Planning: A 58 year old male with adenocarcinoma of the prostate is		
	planned with an IMRT treatment approach. Inverse planning techniques are used to deliver a minimum of 7800 cGy to the Planning Target Volume, which is the prostate plus specific		
	margins for each interface. The oncologist contours the prostate. The critical target structures		
	include the rectum, the bladder and the right and left femoral heads. The QMP contours the		
	critical structures. The oncologist's prescription includes the goal dose, the percentage of the		
	volume allowed to receive less than the goal dose, the minimum dose, and the maximum dose.		
	Three different iterations of the plan are developed. The oncologist and the QMP review each		
	iteration. The review includes both the dose distribution in multiple planes and the dose volume		
	histogram. The physicist also reviews the plan for safety and feasibility considerations. After		
	the oncologist approves the final plan, the QMP transfers the planning data from the treatment		
77701	planning system to the Record and Verify System.		
77781	High Intensity Brachytherapy; 1 to 4 Dwell Positions: A 44-year-old female requiring a		
	boost to the cervical os receives two fractions of HDR brachytherapy. The treatment is optimized for two dwell positions at the tip of a dome cylinder application. The physicist checks		
	the applicator position and connections. The physicist also reviews the plan for safety		
	considerations. The physicist is present during the entire duration of the treatment.		
77782	High Intensity Brachytherapy; 5 to 8 Dwell Positions: A 52-year-old male with a squamous		
	cell carcinoma involving the lip is treated with a series of four fractions using a custom		
	appliance with HDR brachytherapy. 7 dwell positions are optimized and treated during each		
	fraction. The physicist checks the applicator position and connections. The physicist also		
	reviews the plan for safety considerations. The physicist is present during the entire duration of		
	the treatment.		
77783	High Intensity Brachytherapy; 9 to 12 Dwell Positions: A 46-year-old female with cervical		
	cancer is treated with a series of six HDR tandem and ovoid applications. The treatment is		
	optimized according to Gynecological Oncology Group guidelines. 10 Dwell positions are used for each fraction. The physicist checks the applicator position and connections. The physicist		
	also reviews the plan for safety considerations. The physicist is present during the entire		
	duration of the treatment.		
77784	High Intensity Brachytherapy; Over 12 Dwell Positions: A 56-year-old male with small cell		
,,,,,,,,	lung cancer is treated using two catheter placements in the right lower lobe. The treatment is		
	optimized for the volume encompassed by the treatment. 18 Dwell positions are used for each		
	fraction. The physicist checks the applicator position and connections. The physicist also		
	reviews the plan for safety considerations. The physicist is present during the entire duration of		
	the treatment.		

APPENDIX IV: Survey of Practice Parameters Associated with Radiation Oncology Physics Services

<u>Survey of Practice Parameters Associated with Radiation</u> <u>Oncology Physics Services</u>

Section 1: General Survey Instructions

1.1. Purpose of Survey

The survey's purpose is to measure "Qualified Medical Physicist (QMP)" work rendered during medical physics services. The American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM) has authorized this investigation. To assist your completing the questionnaire, the AAPM's definition of a "Qualified Medical Physicist" is provided on the enclosed sheet. The survey's results will be made available to the medical physics community and will provide medical physicists with comprehensive medical physics services' work and cost data. Medical physicists may use these data to defend the resources they require to provide their services. The current survey updates similar surveys¹¹ conducted by Abt Associates Inc. for the American College of Medical Physics (ACMP) and AAPM, and Abt has been selected again to conduct the new survey.

1.2. Methodology

This questionnaire asks you to provide information that will help to develop medical physics services' work estimates. As the following formula indicates, work is the product of time and intensity:

Work = Time * Intensity

where time is the time spent preparing for and conducting each medical physics service, and intensity combines the mental effort and judgment, technical skill and physical effort, and psychological stress associated with each service.

You will be asked to provide time and intensity data for 20 medical physics services (Current Procedure Terminology (CPT) codes) – the 15 77300 series ("physics series") codes, one additional code (77295), and 4 high dose rate afterloading codes.¹² The enclosed sheet presents complete

¹¹ Abt Associates Inc., *The Abt Study of Physicist Work Values for Radiation Oncology Physics Services: Round II*, prepared for the American College of Medical Physics (ACMP) and the American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM), June, 2003.

¹² Further information on each of the 16 codes is contained in American Medical Association (AMA), *Current Procedure Terminology CPT 2007 Professional Edition*, AMA Press, 2006.

definitions along with vignettes describing the "typical" patient for each service. We ask that you base the time and intensity data you record for each service on each service's vignette.

Sections 2 and 3 ask for medical physics services' time data – *non-procedural time* in Section 2 and *procedural time* in Section 3. Non-procedural time is the time spent on regular equipment maintenance that is not conducted before each specific procedure. Procedural time includes time spent on tasks directly associated with each service. Together, non-procedural time plus procedural time equal total time for medical physics services.

Section 4 of the survey asks for service-specific intensity data. You will be asked to employ a technique referred to as *magnitude estimation* to rate each service's intensity. Magnitude estimation begins by selecting a commonly provided service; the intensity for this "benchmark service" is then set equal to 1.0. You will then be asked to rate the intensity of each of the other 13 medical services relative to the benchmark service. For example, if you believe service X's intensity is twice as great as that of the benchmark, you would assign service X an intensity of 2.0.

The survey includes two additional sections. In **Section 5**, we ask you to provide the number of procedures by service for the institution where you perform the greatest number of services and for which complete data are available. In addition, we also ask for data on the number of patient treatments performed at your institution and your staffing patterns. Finally, **Section 6** asks for information on new technologies.

The Medicare hospital outpatient prospective payment system (HOPPS) created an ambulatory patient classification (APC) system that took effect in 2000. APCs apply to hospital, outpatient technical charges for Medicare patients. The net effect of the APCs is to group the CPT services into a limited number of categories, where, in theory, services within each category (APC) require using similar resources. This survey provides a means to define the resources used to provide radiation oncology physics services and to provide evidence the services are associated with an appropriate APC.

All data collected in this survey will treated as strictly confidential. Individual respondents will not be identified as your answers will be combined with others and reported only in statistical form.

If you have any questions about the survey, please contact Kevin Coleman at (202) 263 – 1750 or email him at <u>kevin_coleman@abtassoc.com</u>.

Section 2: Medical Physics Services Non-Procedural Time Estimates

DIRECTIONS: In this section you will be asked to estimate the time required to perform tasks that are not specific to a procedure (non-procedural time) including:

- Initial commissioning;
- Complete recalibrations due to catastrophic events;
- Annual calibrations; and
- Daily, weekly, and monthly checks.

This section is organized into sets of questions that apply to groups of procedures. For example, the questions in Set I refer to CPT codes 77295, 77300, 77301, 77305, 77310, 77315, and 77321. Some tasks listed above are not applicable to certain procedures; questions that do not apply to a set of services are not listed below. In addition, two services (77336 and 77370) are medical physics consultations that do not involve equipment use; there is no non-procedural time for these two consultation services.

When responding to time questions, please express time in hours and use decimals to indicate fractions of an hour. For example, use "0.25 hours" to record 15 minutes of time. We ask you to base your estimates on your own clinical experience.

I. CPT Codes 77295, 77300, 77301, 77305, 77310, 77315, and 77321: Three-dimensional therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field setting, basic dosimetry calculations and simple, intermediate, complex, IMRT and special isodose plans.

a. Initial Commissioning Time

Estimate the number of hours required to commission fully radiation oncology equipment for patient treatments. Assume the equipment consists of a dual photon energy unit with six (6) electron energies, with an associated simulator and treatment planning computer. Please refer to the table below for specific directions regarding what to include for the initial commissioning time estimate hours

In making your estimates, INCLUDE :	ALSO INCLUDE:
 Time to: Design radiation shielding Perform radiation surveys Commission local standard chambers, electrometers, field instruments, beam scanning and film dosimetry Adjust and verify accuracy of all position, angle and distance indicators Accept linear accelerator Accept and commission simulator and CT-simulator Measure CAX profile for each beam Measure off-axis profiles for each beam Measure output factors Reduce data to usable SSD and TMR charts Determine and verify output calibrations Determine and verify output and energy checks Check leakage radiation Accept treatment planning computer Enter and verify data in computer Prepare reports on calibration, acceptance tests, commissioning and radiation survey Complete additional commissioning tasks 	 Time to Commission Tissue compensating filters Microdosimetry (TLD) Block cutting Independent jaw treatment Multileaf collimator Dynamic, Electronic or Virtual wedge Electronic portal imaging Total body photons Total skin electrons Stereotactic radiosurgery Stereotactic radiotherapy Stereotactic body radiotherapy Intraoperative radiotherapy Intensity modulated radiotherapy Image guided radiation therapy Respiratory gating Other specialized treatments

b. Recalibration Time Due to Catastrophic Events

c. Annual Calibration Time

Estimate the number of hours required to perform an annual calibration of a dual photon energy unit with six (6) electron energies and an associated simulator and CT-simulator. Please refer to the table below for specific instructions on what to include in the annual calibration time estimate

In making your estimates INCLUDE time for verification of:				
 X-ray output for all energies Electron output for all energies and applicators CAX dosimetry (PDD/TMR) Transmission factors for all accessories Wedge factors Monitor chamber linearity X-ray constancy and beam uniformity versus gantry angle Multileaf collimator verification Electronic portal imaging verification Total body photon irradiation verification 	 Electron output and beam uniformity versus gantry angle Collimator rotation isocenter Gantry and couch rotation isocenter Coincidence of radiation and mechanical isocenter Coincidence of collimator, gantry and couch axis with isocenter Table top sag Vertical travel of table Light field intensity Validation of all daily and monthly checks Total skin electron verification Intensity modulated radiotherapy verification Stereotactic radiotherapy and radiosurgery verification 			
Also include in your estimate time spent each year performing quality assurance of ion chamber and film dosimetry equipment (including the processor), beam scanner, diodes and other measurement and support equipment.				

d. Time for Daily, Weekly and Monthly Checks

Daily Checks include:	Monthly Checks include:			
 X-ray output constancy Audiovisual monitors Electron output constancy Door interlock Distance indicator (ODI) Field size indicators Other daily checks 	 X-ray output constancy Electron output constancy X-ray central axis dosimetry (PDD/TMR) Electron central axis dosimetry (PDD) X-ray and electron beam uniformity Emergency off switches Dosimetry, symmetry, wedge, and electron cone interlocks Collision avoidance interlocks Light/radiation field coincidence Electron portal imaging device verification Total body photon irradiation verification 	 Gantry/collimator angle indicators Wedge position Tray position Field size indicators Cross-hair centering Treatment couch position indicators Latching of wedges and blocking trays Jaw symmetry and field light intensity Total skin electron verification Intensity modulated radiotherapy verificationss Stereotactic radiosurgery and radiotherapy verification 		
Also include time spent performing checks on the simulator and CT scanner, such as lasers, positional accuracy, image quality, CT number calibration, etc., as well as the treatment planning computer and beam scanner.				

II. CPT Codes 77326, 77327, and 77328: Simple, intermediate and complex brachytherapy plan

a. Initial Commissioning Time

Estimate the number of hours required to commission your brachytherapy system. Please refer to the table below for specific instructions on what to include in the initial commissioning time estimate hours

In making your estimates **INCLUDE** time to:

- Commission the treatment planning system for all sources in the brachytherapy inventory
- Commission the well ionization chamber or other source activity verification device
- Perform initial tests for precision, linearity, collection efficiency, geometrical length dependence, energy dependence, source wall dependence venting and leakage
- Commission intracavity (Fletcher type) and interstitial applicators
- Check and verify brachytherapy algorithm in treatment planning computer
- Check and verify remote (HDR or LDR) afterloading system
- Check and verify prostate seed brachytherapy procedures
- Check and verify stereotactic brachytherapy procedures

b. Time for Annual Checks

Estimate the number of hours for an annual check for the brachytherapy system. Please refer to the table below for specific instructions on what to include in the annual check time estimate______ hours/year

In making your estimates **INCLUDE**:

- Performance of spot checks and wiping of test sources for your brachytherapy system
- Source guide inspection
- Ribbon preparations accuracy
- Applicators' source positioning accuracy
- Source calibration
- Applicators' mechanical integrity
- Brachytherapy system calibration
- Prostate seed brachytherapy system evaluation
- Remote (LDR or HDR) afterloading system evaluation

Also include time to simulate emergency conditions and verify source inventory

III. CPT Code 77331: Thermoluminescent Dosimetry (TLD) in vivo dosimetry (includes TLD and/or diodes; the CPT term for this service is Microdosimetry)

a. Initial Commissioning Time

Estimate the number of hours required to commission completely a TLD system for dose measurements for two photon (2) and six (6) electron energies hours

b. Time for Monthly Checks

Estimate the number of hours required per month to perform quality assurance	e checks on the
TLD system	_ hours/month

c. Initial Commissioning Time

Estimate the number of hours required to commission completely a diode system for dose measurements for two photon (2) and six (6) electron energies hours

d. Time for Monthly Checks

Estimate the number of hours required per month to perform quality assurance checks on the diode system hours/month

IV. CPT Code 77332: Simple treatment device system (e.g., non-custom block, blocking tray or simple bolus)

Estimate the number of hours required to commission completely a simple treatment device system for clinical use...... hours

V. CPT Code 77333: Intermediate treatment devices (e.g., shaped bolus, stent, or bite block)

Estimate the number of hours required to commission completely an intermediate treatment device system for clinical use...... hours

VI. CPT Code 77334: Complex treatment device systems (e.g., custom low temperature alloy blocking system, custom face mask system and tissue compensation system)

a. Initial Commissioning Time

Estimate the number of hours required to commission completely a complex treatment device system for clinical use...... hours

b. <u>Time for Monthly Checks</u>

Estimate the number of hours required per month to perform quality assurance checks on a complex treatment delivery system; include time to verify the multileaf collimator system...._____hours/month

Section 3: Medical Physics Services Procedural Time Estimates

DIRECTIONS: In the following worksheet we ask you to estimate the number of hours required to complete each of the 17 medical physics services (procedural time). When reporting procedural time, please:

- Base your estimates on your recent clinical experience; and
- Base your estimates on the vignette assigned to each medical physics service.

It is important that your time estimates are based on time needed to serve a "typical" patient; the vignettes were carefully chosen to represent typical patients receiving each medical physics service. Procedural time estimates should include time for activities <u>directly</u> related to the performance of a <u>specific procedure</u>; they should not include time for non-procedural activities that are performed periodically to maintain equipment (see Section 2 above for non-procedural maintenance activities).

To assist your thinking regarding your procedural time estimates, examples of common tasks performed when providing medical physics services are listed in the table below.

In making your time estimates INCLUDE time to:	DO NOT INCLUDE
	time for:
 Obtain patient measurements and treatment parameters Accompany patient to imaging procedure Retrieve, load, and digitize patient data Perform dosimetry calculations Perform brachytherapy plans Perform isodose curve plans Custom make or fit a treatment device Check and issue verifications for: Dosimetry calculations Isodose treatment plans Brachytherapy plans (including time that the qualified medical physicist is physically present during loading and unloading of the sources) Treatment devices 	 Initial commissioning Recalibrations Annual calibrations Daily, weekly and monthly checks Other non-procedural activities

For a continuing medical physics consultation (CPT Code 77336), include time for the following procedural activities:

- Reviewing the patient case in initial presentation, simulation, planning and treatment
- Performing weekly chart check of all charting, diagnostic studies, port films, and patient calculations
- Reviewing charts with other members of patient management team in chart rounds
- Viewing patient positioning and machine set-up
- Researching treatment scheme (assuming a special medical radiation physics consultation (CPT Code 77370) is not billed)
- Performing final chart check and validation.

For each service, we ask you to provide separate estimates of both support staff and Qualified Medical Physicist (QMP) time as explained below:

Support Staff Time: Includes time expended by any support staff member (dosimetrists, physics assistants, brachytherapy technologists, and junior medical physicists) who assist in providing medical physics services. You are asked to report in the space provided below the number of hours spent by these staff in providing each service. Do not record any support staff time for medical physics consultation services (CPT Codes 77336 and 77370), because the QMP is the only staff member qualified to provide consultation services. The "Support Staff Time" boxes for CPT Codes 77336 and 77370 have been already marked N/A.

QMP Time: Include only Qualified Medical Physicist (QMP) time. Please provide the estimated number of QMP hours typically spent in rendering a single occurrence of each service.

For 77370, special medical physics consultation, please complete Appendix 1 to estimate a median procedure time over a number of typical special procedures. A sample completed time estimation is provided in Appendix 1.

СРТ	Procedure Vignette	Time-Relate	Time-Related Estimates	
Code		Medical Physics Services		
		Support Staff Time	QMP Time	
77336	Continuing medical physics consultation: 65-year-old male with adenocarcinoma of the prostate. External beam irradiation is planned using 18 MV photons. 7000 cGy in 7 weeks, 200 cGy/fraction are delivered using 4 ports, equal weighting at isocenter. Two conedowns are scheduled during the course of treatment. QMP performs a weekly chart check of all charting, diagnostic studies, port films, and patient calculations	N/A		
77300	Basic dosimetry calculation: 72-year-old female with metastatic disease involving T12 and L1. A single port is prescribed with intent to deliver 3000 cGy in 10 fractions at a depth of 6 cm. A central axis does calculation is performed.			
77305	Simple isodose plan: 61-yar-old male with soft tissue sarcoma involving the right arm. An irregular field was designed to treat postoperative residual disease. Central axis and off-axis points were specified, with the dose of 6000 cGy in 6 weeks to be delivered from parallel opposed, equally loaded ports. Doses to 3 off-axis irregular field points are determined and reported.			
77310	Intermediate isodose plan: 68-year-old man with squamous carcinoma in the middle third of the esophagus. Post-operative irradiation is to be delivered after a partial resection. Tumor is treated using 1 anterior port with 2 posterior obliques with no blocking required. The single plane isodose distribution must demonstrate coverage of the prescribed target volume.			
77315	Complex isodose plan: 56-year-old female with 2 cm tumor and simple excision proving infiltrating ductal carcinoma of the right breast. Breast tangents are designed with the dose to be given from equally loaded parallel opposed ports. Isodose curves are generated using 0, 30, & 45 degree wedges.			

Procedural Time Estimates for Medical Physics Services

Procedural Time Estimates for	r Medical Physics Services (continued)
	i interieur i ingenes ser vices (commucaj

СРТ	Procedure Vignette	Time-Relate	Time-Related Estimates	
Code		Medical Physics Services		
		Support Staff Time	QMP Time	
77321	Special teletherapy port plan: 55-year-old female with acinic cell carcinoma of the parotid gland. Post-op radiation is designed to deliver unilateral mixed beam irradiation with 6 MV photons and electrons. 3 energies of electrons are considered: 9 MeV, 12 MeV, and 16 MeV.			
77326	Simple brachytherapy isodose plan: 65-year-old female with carcinoma of the vagina. Since a hysterectomy has been performed, the radiation oncologist elects to do the treatment with dome cylinder colpostats. 6000 cGy surface dose is to be delivered in 72 hours, using 3 Cesium-137 sources.			
77327	Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan: 58-year-old female with carcinoma located in the vaginal fornices with an intact cervix. Irradiation is given with an intrauterine tandem and ovoid colpostats. Dose of 6600 cGy is given to involved vaginal site using 6 Cesium-137 sources for 72 hours.			
77328	Complex brachytherapy isodose plan: 55-year-old male with squamous cell carcinoma involving the base of the tongue. Irradiation is planned using IR-192 sources in a multiplanar or volume implant. A total of 80 sources are used in 11 ribbons. A dose of 5500 cGy is given to the volume in 72 hours.			
77331	Special dosimetry: 49-year-old male with squamous carcinoma involving the nasopharynx. External beam irradiation is planned using 6 MV photons, parallel opposed, equal weighting, at 180 cGy/fraction, total dose – 6300 cGy. TLD dosimetry is requested with the dosimeters to be placed using a nasogastric (Levin) tube. The results of right and left lateral port measurements must be checked by the QMP.			
77332	Simple treatment device: 63-year-old male with metastatic brain disease is treated with 6 MV photons with lateral fields, 200 cGy/fraction to a total dose of 3000 cGy. A tray with a single standard block is prepared.			

СРТ	Procedure Vignette	Time-Related Estimates	
Code		Medical Physics Services	
		Support	QMP Time
		Staff Time	
77333	Intermediate treatment device: 65-year-old female with squamous cell caracinoma of the posterior pharyngeal wall. 7000 cGy is prescribed to be delivered in 7 weeks at 200 cGy/fraction		
	using 6 MV photons, parallel opposed, equal weighting. A custom bite block is fabricated to reproduce the position of the patient for treatment each day. The bite block is approved by the QMP.		
77334	Complex treatment device: 47-year-old male with squamous cell carcinoma of the right lung. External beam irradiation is planned using 6 MV photons, parallel opposed, equal weighting. Custom lung blocks are designed using a hot wire cutter to produce a Styrofoam mold into which Lipowitz metal (Cerrobend) is poured. The lung blocks are then bolted to a Lucite plate which slides into the tray holder attached to the rotating secondary collimator of the radiation unit.		
77370	Special medical physics consultation: 56-year-old male presents with an arterio-venous malformation (AVM) and is referred for stereotactic radiosurgery. The AVM is treated to a dose of 2500 cGy in a single fraction. The QMP supervises the CT imaging of the patient with the stereotactic frame rigidly attached to the patient's skull. A two-isocenter plan is generated using 11 non-coplanar arcs. The QMP performs QA procedures to verify the patient position before treatment begins. The QMP assures all patient positions and arcs are delivered according to plan. The QMP generates and signs a report detailing the effort associated with the stereotactic radiosurgery procedure.	N/A	See Appendix 1

СРТ	Procedure Vignette	Time-Related Estimates	
Code		Medical Physic	vsics Services
		Support Staff Time	QMP Time
77295	Therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field testing: 63-year- old male with prostate cancer presents for 3-dimensional conformal radiation therapy involving 6 irregular and opposing fields with high energy photons. CT scans are performed throughout the pelvis, and CT planning is performed, including generation of dose volume histograms for the target and normal structures.		
77301	IMRT Treatment Planning: A 58 year old male with adenocarcinoma of the prostate is planned with an IMRT treatment approach. Inverse planning techniques are used to deliver a minimum of 7800 cGy to the Planning Target Volume, which is the prostate plus specific margins for each interface. The oncologist contours the prostate. The critical target structures include the rectum, the bladder and the right and left femoral heads. The QMP contours the critical structures. The oncologist's prescription includes the goal dose, the percentage of the volume allowed to receive less than the goal dose, the minimum dose, and the maximum dose. Three different iterations of the plan are developed. The oncologist and the QMP review each iteration. The review includes both the dose distribution in multiple planes and the dose volume histogram. The physicist also reviews the plan for safety and feasibility considerations. After the oncologist approves the final plan, the QMP transfers the planning data from the treatment planning system to the Record and Verify System.		
77781	High Intensity Brachytherapy; 1 to 4 Dwell Positions: A 44- year-old female requiring a boost to the cervical os receives two fractions of HDR brachytherapy. The treatment is optimized for two dwell positions at the tip of a dome cylinder application. The physicist checks the applicator position and connections. The physicist also reviews the plan for safety considerations. The physicist is present during the entire duration of the treatment.		

Procedural Time Estimates for Medical Physics Services (continued)

СРТ	Procedure Vignette	Time-Related Estimates	
Code		Medical Physics Services	
		Support Staff Time	QMP Time
		Stall Time	
77782	High Intensity Brachytherapy; 5 to 8 Dwell Positions: A 52-		
	year-old male with a squamous cell carcinoma involving the lip is		
	treated with a series of four fractions using a custom appliance		
	with HDR brachytherapy. 7 dwell positions are optimized and		
	treated during each fraction. The physicist checks the applicator		
	position and connections. The physicist also reviews the plan for		
	safety considerations. The physicist is present during the entire		
	duration of the treatment.		
77783	High Intensity Brachytherapy; 9 to 12 Dwell Positions: A 46-		
	year-old female with cervical cancer is treated with a series of six		
	HDR tandem and ovoid applications. The treatment is optimized		
	according to Gynecological Oncology Group guidelines. 10 Dwell		
	positions are used for each fraction. The physicist checks the		
	applicator position and connections. The physicist also reviews the		
	plan for safety considerations. The physicist is present during the		
	entire duration of the treatment.		
77784	High Intensity Brachytherapy; Over 12 Dwell Positions: A 56-		
	year-old male with small cell lung cancer is treated using two		
	catheter placements in the right lower lobe. The treatment is		
	optimized for the volume encompassed by the treatment. 18 Dwell		
	positions are used for each fraction. The physicist checks the		
	applicator position and connections. The physicist also reviews the		
	plan for safety considerations. The physicist is present during the		
	entire duration of the treatment.		

Procedural Time Estimates for Medical Physics Services (continued)

Section 4: Relative Intensity Estimates for Medical Physics Services

DIRECTIONS: In the worksheet below, we ask you to rate the intensity of each medical physics service *relative* to a benchmark procedure CPT 77336 (continuing medical physics consultation). When assessing the intensity of each service relative to the benchmark (i.e., **magnitude estimation**) please keep in mind that intensity combines the following elements:

- Mental effort and judgment;
- Technical skill and physical effort; and
- Psychological stress due to concerns regarding risks of complications and iatrogenic harm.

Recall that Work = Time * Intensity. This relationship in turn implies that Intensity = Work/Time, or work per unit of time (e.g., work per minute). Intensity measures the QMP's physical and emotional stress caused by delivering a medical physics service.

Relative Intensity Estimates: You are asked to score each procedure using <u>magnitude estimation</u>. Magnitude estimation is a technique that compares the intensity of each medical physics service relative to the benchmark service (CPT Code 77336 – continuing medical physics consultation). The benchmark procedure CPT Code 77336 is assigned an intensity value equal to 1.00. If you believe that the intensity of another medical physics service X is two and one half times as great as CPT Code 77336, please assign that medical physics service an intensity equal to 2.50.

When developing your relative intensity estimates, please consider the *average* intensity during the entire medical physics service, because intensity is likely to vary minute to minute. Please also remember that intensity is defined on a *per minute* basis, and longer services do not necessarily have higher intensities. Your intensity estimates for each medical physics service should be based on each services' same vignette presented above in **Section 3**. The vignettes are meant to represent typical patients, and are presented again in the following table for your convenience.

For 77370, special medical physics consultation, please complete Appendix 2 to estimate median procedure intensity over a number of typical special procedures. A sample of a completed intensity estimation is provided in Appendix 2.

СРТ	CPT Procedure Vignette Relative Intensity				
Code	rocedure vignette	Estimate			
77336	Continuing medical physics consultation: 65-year-old male with adenocarcinoma of the prostate. External beam irradiation is planned using 18 MV photons. 7000 cGy in 7 weeks, 200 cGy/fraction are delivered using 4 ports, equal weighting at isocenter. Two conedowns are scheduled during the course of treatment. QMP performs a weekly chart check of all charting, diagnostic studies, port films, and patient calculations	1.00			
77300	Basic dosimetry calculation: 72-year-old female with metastatic disease involving T12 and L1. A single port is prescribed with intent to deliver 3000 cGy in 10 fractions at a depth of 6 cm. A central axis does calculation is performed.				
77305	Simple isodose plan: 61-yar-old male with soft tissue sarcoma involving the right arm. An irregular field was designed to treat postoperative residual disease. Central axis and off-axis points were specified, with the dose of 6000 cGy in 6 weeks to be delivered from parallel opposed, equally loaded ports. Doses to 3 off-axis irregular field points are determined and reported.				
77310	Intermediate isodose plan: 68-year-old man with squamous carcinoma in the middle third of the esophagus. Post-operative irradiation is to be delivered after a partial resection. Tumor is treated using 1 anterior port with 2 posterior obliques with no blocking required. The single plane isodose distribution must demonstrate coverage of the prescribed target volume.				
77315	Complex isodose plan: 56-year-old female with 2 cm tumor and simple excision proving infiltrating ductal carcinoma of the right breast. Breast tangents are designed with the dose to be given from equally loaded parallel opposed ports. Isodose curves are generated using 0, 30, & 45 degree wedges.				
77321	Special teletherapy port plan: 55-year-old female with acinic cell carcinoma of the parotid gland. Post-op radiation is designed to deliver unilateral mixed beam irradiation with 6 MV photons and electrons. 3 energies of electrons are considered: 9 MeV, 12 MeV, and 16 MeV.				
77326	Simple brachytherapy isodose plan: 65-year-old female with carcinoma of the vagina. Since a hysterectomy has been performed, the radiation oncologist elects to do the treatment with dome cylinder colpostats. 6000 cGy surface dose is delivered in 72 hours, using 3 Cesium-137 sources.				
77327	Intermediate brachytherapy isodose plan: 58-year-old female with carcinoma located in the vaginal fornices with an intact cervix. Irradiation is given with an intrauterine tandem and ovoid colpostats. Dose of 6600 cGy is given to involved vaginal site using 6 Cesium-137 sources for 72 hours.				
77328	Complex brachytherapy isodose plan: 55-year-old male with squamous cell carcinoma involving the base of the tongue. Irradiation is planned using IR-192 sources in a multiplanar or volume implant. A total of 80 sources are used in 11 ribbons. A dose of 5500 cGy is given to the volume in 72 hours.				

Relative Intensity Estimates for Medical Physics Services

СРТ	Procedure Vignette	Relative Intensity
Code		Estimate
77336	Continuing medical physics consultation: 65-year-old male with	
	adenocarcinoma of the prostate. External beam irradiation is planned	
	using 18 MV photons. 7000 cGy in 7 weeks, 200 cGy/fraction are	
	delivered using 4 ports, equal weighting at isocenter. Two conedowns	1.00
	are scheduled during the course of treatment. QMP performs a weekly	
	chart check of all charting, diagnostic studies, port films, and patient	
	calculations	
77331	Special dosimetry: 49-year-old male with squamous carcinoma	
	involving the nasopharynx. External beam irradiation is planned using	
	6 MV photons, parallel opposed, equal weighting, at 180 cGy/fraction,	
	total dose - 6300 cGy. TLD dosimetry is requested with the	
	dosimeters to be placed using a nasogastric (Levin) tube. The results	
	of right and left lateral port measurements must be checked by the	
	QMP.	
77332	Simple treatment device: 63-year-old male with metastatic brain	
	disease is treated with 6 MV photons with lateral fields, 200	
	cGy/fraction to a total dose of 3000 cGy. A tray with a single standard	
	block is prepared.	
77333	Intermediate treatment device: 65-year-old female with squamous	
	cell caracinoma of the posterior pharyngeal wall. 7000 cGy is	
	prescribed to be delivered in 7 weeks at 200 cGy/fraction using 6 MV	
	photons, parallel opposed, equal weighting. A custom bite block is	
	fabricated to reproduce the position of the patient for treatment each	
	day. The bite block is approved by the QMP.	
77334	Complex treatment device: 47-year-old male with squamous cell	
	carcinoma of the right lung. External beam irradiation is planned	
	using 6 MV photons, parallel opposed, equal weighting. Custom lung blocks are designed using a hot wire cutter to produce a Styrofoam	
	mold into which Lipowitz metal (Cerrobend) is poured. The lung	
	blocks are then bolted to a Lucite plate which slides into the tray	
	holder attached to the rotating secondary collimator of the radiation	
	unit.	
77370	Special medical physics consultation: 56-year-old male presents	See Appendix 2
	with an arterio-venous malformation (AVM) and is referred for	~
	stereotactic radiosurgery. The AVM is treated to a dose of 2500 cGy	
	in a single fraction. The QMP supervises the CT imaging of the	
	patient with the stereotactic frame rigidly attached to the patient's	
	skull. A two-isocenter plan is generated using 11 non-coplanar arcs.	
	The QMP performs QA procedures to verify the patient position	
	before treatment begins. The QMP assures all patient positions and	
	arcs are delivered according to plan. The QMP generates and signs a	
	report detailing the effort associated with the stereotactic radiosurgery	
	procedure.	

Relative Intensity Estimates for Medical Physics Services (continued)

СРТ	Procedure Vignette	Relative Intensity
Code		Estimate
77295	Therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field testing: 63-year-old male with prostate cancer presents for 3-dimensional conformal radiation therapy involving 6 irregular and opposing fields with high energy photons. CT scans are performed throughout the pelvis, and CT planning is performed, including generation of dose volume histograms for the target and normal structures.	
77336	Continuing medical physics consultation: 65-year-old male with adenocarcinoma of the prostate. External beam irradiation is planned using 18 MV photons. 7000 cGy in 7 weeks, 200 cGy/fraction are delivered using 4 ports, equal weighting at isocenter. Two conedowns are scheduled during the course of treatment. QMP performs a weekly chart check of all charting, diagnostic studies, port films, and patient calculations	1.00
77301	IMRT Treatment Planning: A 58 year old male with adenocarcinoma of the prostate is planned with an IMRT treatment approach. Inverse planning techniques are used to deliver a minimum of 7800 cGy to the Planning Target Volume, which is the prostate plus specific margins for each interface. The oncologist contours the prostate. The critical target structures include the rectum, the bladder and the right and left femoral heads. The QMP contours the critical structures. The oncologist's prescription includes the goal dose, the percentage of the volume allowed to receive less than the goal dose, the minimum dose, and the maximum dose. Three different iterations of the plan are developed. The oncologist and the QMP review each iteration. The review includes both the dose distribution in multiple planes and the dose volume histogram. The physicist also reviews the plan for safety and feasibility considerations. After the oncologist approves the final plan, the QMP transfers the planning data from the treatment planning system to the Record and Verify System.	
77781	High Intensity Brachytherapy; 1 to 4 Dwell Positions: A 44-year- old female requiring a boost to the cervical os receives two fractions of HDR brachytherapy. The treatment is optimized for two dwell positions at the tip of a dome cylinder application. The physicist checks the applicator position and connections. The physicist also reviews the plan for safety considerations. The physicist is present during the entire duration of the treatment.	

Relative Intensity Estimates for Medical Physics Services (continued)

CPT Code	Procedure Vignette	Relative Intensity Estimate
77782	High Intensity Brachytherapy; 5 to 8 Dwell Positions: A 52-year-	
11102	old male with a squamous cell carcinoma involving the lip is treated	
	with a series of four fractions using a custom appliance with HDR	
	brachytherapy. 7 dwell positions are optimized and treated during	
	each fraction. The physicist checks the applicator position and	
	connections. The physicist also reviews the plan for safety	
	considerations. The physicist is present during the entire duration of	
	the treatment.	
77783	High Intensity Brachytherapy; 9 to 12 Dwell Positions: A 46-year-	
	old female with cervical cancer is treated with a series of six HDR	
	tandem and ovoid applications. The treatment is optimized according	
	to Gynecological Oncology Group guidelines. 10 Dwell positions are	
	used for each fraction. The physicist checks the applicator position	
	and connections. The physicist also reviews the plan for safety	
	considerations. The physicist is present during the entire duration of	
	the treatment.	
77784	High Intensity Brachytherapy; Over 12 Dwell Positions: A 56-	
	year-old male with small cell lung cancer is treated using two catheter	
	placements in the right lower lobe. The treatment is optimized for the	
	volume encompassed by the treatment. 18 Dwell positions are used	
	for each fraction. The physicist checks the applicator position and	
	connections. The physicist also reviews the plan for safety	
	considerations. The physicist is present during the entire duration of	
	the treatment.	

Relative Intensity Estimates for Medical Physics Services (continued)

Section 5: Institutional Medical Physics Service Volumes and Staffing Patterns

DIRECTIONS: For each of the medical physics services listed below, please estimate the total number of times that service was performed in your institution during the most recent year for which you have complete data. If your practice provides services in more than one institution, please provide service volume for that institution where:

- You have a complete year of data; and
- You perform the most medical physics services.

At the end of this section, we also ask you to provide additional information on the numbers of patient and patient treatments and staffing patterns at your institution.

СРТ	Number of Medical Physics Services Performed Annually CPT CPT Descriptor (Source: American Medical Association: Current Number of				
Cri	Procedure Terminology CPT 2002 Professional Edition, AMA Press,	Services			
Coue	2001, pp. 276-277.)	Performed per			
	<i>2001, pp. 270-277.)</i>	Year at Your			
		Institution			
77226		Institution			
77336	Continuing medical physics consultation, including assessment of treatment				
	parameters, quality assurance of dose delivery, and review of patient treatment				
77300	documentation in support of the radiation oncologist, per week of therapy Basic radiation dosimetry calculation, central axis depth dose, TDF, NSD, gap				
//300	calculation, off axis factor, tissue inhomogeneity factors, as required during				
	course of treatment, only when prescribed by the treating physician				
77305	Teletherapy, isodose plan (whether hand or computer calculated); simple (one				
11505	or two parallel opposed unmodified ports direct to a single area of interest)				
77310	Teletherapy, isodose plan (whether hand or computer calculated); intermediate				
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(three or more treatment ports direct to a single area of interest)				
77315	Teletherapy, isodose plan (whether hand or computer calculated); complex				
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(mantle or inverted Y, tangential ports, the use of wedges, compensators,				
	complex blocking, rotational beam, or special beam considerations)				
77321	Special teletherapy port plan, particles, hemibody, total body				
77326	Brachytherapy isodose calculation; simple (calculation made from single plane,				
	one to four sources/ribbons application, remote afterloading brachytherapy, 1 to				
	8 dwell positions)				
77327	Brachytherapy isodose calculation; intermediate (multiplane dosage				
	calculations, application involving 5 to 10 sources/ribbons, remote afterloading				
	brachytherapy, 9 to 12 dwell positions)				
77328	Brachytherapy isodose calculation; complex (multiplane isodose plan, volume				
	implant calculations, over 10 sources/ribbons used, special spatial				
	reconstruction, remote afterloading brachytherapy, over 12 dwell positions)				
77331	Special dosimetry (e.g., TLD, microdosimetry) (specify), only when prescribed				
77222	by the treating physician				
77332	Treatment devices, design and construction; simple (simple block, simple bolus)				
	/				
77333	Treatment devices, design and construction; intermediate (multiple blocks, stents, bite blocks, special bolus)				
77334	Treatment devices, design and construction; complex (irregular blocks, special				
11554	shields, compensators, wedges, molds or casts)				
77370	Special medical radiation physics consultation				
77295	Therapeutic radiology simulation-aided field setting				
77301	IMRT Treatment Planning				
77781	High intensity brachytherapy; 1 to 4 dwell positions				
77782	High intensity brachytherapy, 5 to 8 dwell positions				
77783	High intensity brachytherapy, 9 to 12 dwell positions				
77784	High intensity brachytherapy; over 12 dwell positions				
7,704	Then intensity order junctupy, over 12 dwen positions				

Number of Medical Physics Services Performed Annually

Number of Patients and Patient Treatments

- 7. How many **new patients** (teletherapy and brachytherapy) were treated at your institution in the most recent year for which you have complete data.....
- 8. How many **total patients** (teletherapy and brachytherapy) were treated at your institution in the most recent year for which you have complete data? (*Count each patient one time*)
- 9. What **percentage of the total patients** had the majority of their treatments on the clinic's most heavily utilized teletherapy unit?
- 10. What is the **total number of patient treatments** performed on the clinic's most heavily utilized teletherapy unit during the most recent year for which you have complete data?
- 11. What is the **total number of teletherapy patient treatments** performed at your institution during the most recent year for which you have complete data?

Staffing Patterns:

Below, we ask you to provide estimates of the total number of full-time equivalent (FTE) qualified medical physicists (QMPs) and other support staff who are involved in providing the number of services that you indicated above in the table on pages 14 and 15. In making your estimate, please keep in mind that for the purposes of this survey, an *FTE is defined as someone who works 40 hours per week on average (or approximately 2,000 hours per year annually) on clinical tasks related to radiation oncology. Time spent on activities related to diagnostic radiology, administration, and research should not be included in your FTE counts.* Count part-time personnel or staff you share with another clinic or department as fractions depending on the portion of 2,000 annual hours (or 40 weekly hours) that they work. For example, a QMP who works 20 hours per week for your organization would be counted as 0.50 FTEs (20/40 = 0.50).

1. Please provide the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff who are involved in performing the services that you listed for your institution for the following staff categories. If you employ no staff in a particular category, please record a "0.0" in that category.

a.) Qualified Medical Physicists (QMPs)	_FTEs
b.) Radiation Oncologists	FTEs
c.) Dosimetrists and/or Junior Physicists	_FTEs
d.) Physics Assistants	_FTEs
e.) Brachytherapy Technologists	_FTEs
f.) Maintenance Engineers	_FTEs

g.) Radiation Therapists	FTEs
h.) Radiation Oncology Nurses	FTEs

Section 6: Special Procedures and Advanced Technologies

Please check which of the following <u>procedures</u> are currently offered by the institution where you perform most of your medical physics procedures. *Check all that apply:*

Total Skin Electron Irradiation
Total Body Irradiation
Remote (HDR or LDR) Afterloading Brachytherapy
Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy
Image Guided Radiation Therapy
Stereotactic External Beam Irradiation – Radiosurgery (Single Fraction)
Stereotactic External Beam Irradiation – Radiotherapy (Multiple Fraction)
Stereotactic Body Irradiation
Intraoperative Radiotherapy
Prostate Seed Brachytherapy

Please check which of the following new technology <u>features</u> are currently offered by the institution where you perform most of your medical physics procedures. *Check all that apply:*

Record and Verify System
Dynamic Wedge
Multileaf Collimator
Electronic Portal Imaging
Dynamic Multileaf Collimator (for Intensity Modulated Radiotherapy)

Please provide your name, address and telephone number (and email address, if you would prefer) in case we need to contact you for clarification of any of your responses.

Qualified Medical Physicist or Alternate Contact	<u> </u>	
Mailing Address:		
Telephone/Fax Number: Phone:	Fax:	
Email Address:		

Please be assured that all your responses to this survey will be strictly confidential; no individual information will ever be identified. After you have completed the questionnaire, please return it as soon as possible in the enclosed postage-paid envelope and mail it to the following address:

Medical Physics Survey Abt Associates, Inc. 55 Wheeler Street Cambridge MA 02138-1168

THANK YOU AGAIN FOR YOUR COOPERATION

Appendix 1 – Time Estimation for 77370 Sample Worksheet

Disclaimer: The number of procedures and median hour entries in the following sample worksheet are for illustrative purposes only. Please fill out the blank worksheet with the corresponding data from your own practice; please do not use the sample worksheet entries to complete this worksheet for your practice.

77370 Procedure	# Procedures per year	Median time in hours for procedure	Product of previous 2 columns (hours)	Overall Median Time (Z) = Total Hours (X)
Routine 77370 procedure	40	4	160	Divided by
Total skin electron irradiation	8	9	72	Total
Total body irradiation	9	9	81	Procedures
Remote afterloading brachytherapy	50	8	400	(Y)
Stereotactic body radiotherapy	5	15	75	Use this
Stereotactic radiosurgery (single fx)	30	11	330	number as an
Stereotactic radiotherapy (multiple fx)	50	11	550	estimate of
Intraoperative radiotherapy	20	6	120	77370
Prostate Seed Brachytherapy	50	5	250	procedure
Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy	100	8	800	time.
Image Guided Radiation Therapy	50	12	600	
Total	412 (Y)		3437 (X)	8.34 (Z)

Worksheet

77370 Procedure	# Procedures per year	Median time in hours for procedure	Product of previous 2 columns (hours)	Overall Median Time (Z) = Total Hours (X)
Routine 77370 procedure				Divided by
Total skin electron irradiation				Total
Total body irradiation				Procedures
Remote afterloading brachytherapy				(Y)
Stereotactic body radiotherapy				Use this
Stereotactic radiosurgery (single fx)				number as
Stereotactic radiotherapy (multiple fx)				an estimate
Intraoperative radiotherapy				of 77370
Prostate Seed Brachytherapy				procedure
Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy				time.
Image Guided Radiation Therapy				
Total	(Y)		(X)	(Z)

Appendix 2 – Intensity Estimation for 77370 Sample Worksheet

Disclaimer: The number of procedures and intensity entries in the following sample worksheet are for illustrative purposes only. Please fill out the blank worksheet with the corresponding data from your own practice; please do not use the sample worksheet entries to complete this worksheet for your practice.

77370 Procedure	# Procedures per year	Intensity estimate for this procedure	Product of previous 2 columns	Overall Median Intensity (Z) = Total
Routine 77370 procedure	40	3	120	Intensity (X)
Total skin electron irradiation	8	3	24	Divided by
Total body irradiation	9	2	18	Total
Remote afterloading brachytherapy	50	4	200	Procedures
Stereotactic body radiotherapy	5	2	10	(Y)
Stereotactic radiosurgery (single fx)	30	5	150	Use this
Stereotactic radiotherapy (multiple fx)	50	4	200	number as
Intraoperative radiotherapy	20	4	80	an estimate
Prostate Seed Brachytherapy	50	3	150	of 77370
Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy	100	4	400	median
Image Guided Radiation Therapy	50	6	300	intensity.
Total	412 (Y)		1652 (X)	4.01 (Z)

	Worksheet			
77370 Procedure	# Procedures per year	Intensity estimate for this procedure	Product of previous 2 columns	Overall Median Intensity (Z) = Total
Routine 77370 procedure				Intensity (X)
Total skin electron irradiation				Divided by
Total body irradiation				Total
Remote afterloading brachytherapy				Procedures
Stereotactic body radiotherapy				(Y)
Stereotactic radiosurgery (single fx)				Use this
Stereotactic radiotherapy (multiple fx)				number as
Intraoperative radiotherapy				an estimate
Prostate Seed Brachytherapy				of 77370
Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy		-		median
Image Guided Radiation Therapy		-		intensity.
Total	(Y)		(X)	(Z)

APENDIX V: Time and Intensity Estimates

		Annual	Annualized Hours)"	(SI				
CPT Code and Type of Commissioning	Minimum	First	Median	3rd	Maximum	Mean	Standard	Maximum Mean Standard Number of
		Quartile		Quartile			Deviation	Deviation Responses
77295, 77300, 77301, 77305, 77310, 77315, and 77321								
Initial Commissioning (Annualized Over 5 Years)	24.0	50.0	98.4	125.6	416.0	112.1	82.3	41
Total Recalibration (Annualized Over 5 Years)	4.0	10.2	20.0	35.0	160.0	30.7	34.7	39
Annual Calibration	10.0	30.0	45.0	80.0	180.0	59.4	39.5	41
Total Daily, Weekly, and Monthly Checks	0.0	94.5	144.0	240.0	708.0	188.5	146.2	41
Total Annualized Time	205.6	298.4	308.3	471.4	1464.0	390.2	426.8	39
77326, 77327, 77328, 77781, 77782, 77783, and 77784								
Initial Commissioning	2.0	7.8	9.0	18.5	140.0	21.9	31.8	40
Annual Checks	4.0	30.0	40.0	87.5	199.0	62.6	50.6	39
Total Annualized Time	8.0	35.3	79.0	104.0	339.0	84.1	68.8	38
77331								
Initial Commissioning	0.4	4.2	7.2	13.2	24.0	9.3	6.7	38
Monthly Checks	12.0	24.0	45.0	72.0	300.0	60.8	56.9	38
Total Annualized Time	12.6	45.2	53.2	82.7	324.0	70.1	53.6	38
77332								
Total Commissioning Time	0.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	40.0	4.2	7.2	39
77333								
Total Commissioning Time	0.5	1.5	2.5	4.0	70.0	5.7	11.3	38
77334								
Initial Commissioning Time	0.2	0.7	1.4	3.2	18.0	2.6	3.4	38
Monthly Checks	0.0	12.0	36.0	48.0	240.0	54.0	65.2	39
Total Annualized Time	0.2	15.2	40.4	51.2	258.0	56.8	6'29	38
* All commissioning times are reported in hours per year.	er year.							

All commissioning times are reported in hours per year.

Table A.5.	Table A.5.2: Non-Procedural QMP Time Estimates per Surveyed Radiation Oncology Physics Service (in Hours)	eyed Radia	tion Oncology	Physics Ser	vice (in H	ours)			
CPT Code	Procedure Description	Minimum	Minimum First Quartile	Median	3rd	Maximum	Mean	Standard	Standard Number of
					Quartile			Deviation	Responses
77295	Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing	0.04	0.14	0.25	0.54	3.97	0.58	0.82	36
77300	Basic Dosimetry Plan	0.04	0.14	0.25	0.54	3.97	0.58	0.82	36
77301	IMRT Treatment Planning	0.04	0.14	0.25	0.54	3.97	0.58	0.82	36
77305	Simple Isodose Plan	0.04	0.14	0.25	0.54	3.97	0.58	0.82	36
77310	Intermediate Isodose Plan	0.04	0.14	0.25	0.54	3.97	0.58	0.82	36
77315	Complex Isodose Plan	0.04	0.14	0.25	0.54	3.97	0.58	0.82	36
77321	Special Teletherapy Port Plan	0.04	0.14	0.25	0.54	3.97	0.58	0.82	36
77326	Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0.07	0.48	06.0	1.81	26.67	2.34	4.76	33
77327	Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0.07	0.48	06.0	1.81	26.67	2.34	4.76	33
77328	Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0.07	0.48	06.0	1.81	26.67	2.34	4.76	33
77781	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions	0.07	0.48	06.0	1.81	26.67	2.34	4.76	33
77782	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions	0.07	0.48	06.0	1.81	26.67	2.34	4.76	33
77783	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions	0.07	0.48	06.0	1.81	26.67	2.34	4.76	33
77784	High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions	0.07	0.48	06.0	1.81	26.67	2.34	4.76	33
77331	Special Dosimetry	0.03	0.15	0.35	2.18	25.33	2.95	6.20	31
77332	Simple Treatment Device	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.08	1.50	0.10	0.26	34
77333	Intermediate Treatment Device	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.31	6.00	0.38	1.14	34
77334	Complex Treatment Device	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.09	3.20	0.15	0.54	34

CPT Code	Procedure Description	Minimum	First Quartile	Median	3rd	Maximum	Mean	Standard	Number of
					Quartile			Deviation	Responses
77336	Continuing Medical Physics Consultation	0.10	0.31	1.00	2.13	6.00	1.55	1.60	40
77300	Basic Dosimetry Plan	0.00	0.19	0.25	0.25	1.00	0.26	0.18	40
77305	Simple Isodose Plan	0.00	0.25	0.33	0.50	1.00	0.38	0.20	39
77310	Intermediate Isodose Plan	00.0	0.25	0.50	0.62	4.00	0.57	0.62	40
77315	Complex Isodose Plan	0.00	0.30	0.50	1.00	4.00	0.80	0.75	40
77321	Special Teletherapy Port Plan	0.00	0.32	0.60	1.25	8.00	1.05	5 1.33	39
77326	Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0.20	0.50	1.00	2.00	26.67	1.48	8 1.17	40
77327	Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0.20	0.71	1.75	3.00	6.00	1.94	1.40	40
77328	Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0.25	1.50	3.00	4.00	12.00	3.56	2.65	39
77331	Special Dosimetry	0.10	0.50	1.00	2.00	5.00	1.34	96.0	40
77332	Simple Treatment Device	0.00	00.0	00.00	0.20	2.50	0.16	0.40	40
77333	Intermediate Treatment Device	0.00	0.08	0.25	0.39	1.00	0.25	0.22	39
77334	Complex Treatment Device	0.00	00.0	0.17	0.50	1.00	0.27	0.31	40
77370	Special Medical Physics Consultation	0.25	2.00	3.43	5.16	16.00	4.01	3.00	39
77295	Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing	0.00	0.50	0.73	1.13	4.00	0.98	0.80	40
77301	IMRT Treatment Planning	1.25	3.00	4.00	6.00	11.00	4.91	2.81	39
77781	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions	0.50	1.00	1.50	2.25	4.50	1.80	1.06	37
77782	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions	0.50	1.50	2.50	4.00	8.00	2.74	1.67	36
77783	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions	0.50	2.00	3.25	4.88	9.00	3.70	2.19	36
77784	High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions	0.00	1.88	2.50	4.00	8.00	3.13	1.92	36

Table A.5.3A: Procedural QMP Time Estimates per Surveyed Radiation Oncology Physics Service (in Hours)

CPT Code	Procedure Description	Minimum	First Quartile	Median	3rd	Maximum	Mean	Standard	Standard Number of
					Quartile			Deviation	Responses
77300	Basic Dosimetry Plan	00.0	0.23	0.50	0.50	1.50	0.47	0.39	39
77305	Simple Isodose Plan	0.10	0.71	1.00	1.50	2.50	1.08	g 0.55	38
77310	Intermediate Isodose Plan	00.0	0.88	1.50	2.00	3.50	1.46	0.77	39
77315	Complex Isodose Plan	00.0	1.50	2.00	3.00	5.00	2.20	1.16	39
77321	Special Teletherapy Port Plan	00.0	1.25	2.00	2.50	5.00	2.07	1.15	39
77326	Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	00.00	0.05	1.00	2.00	3.00	1.11	06.0	39
77327	Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	00.0	00.00	1.67	2.25	3.00	1.40	1.14	39
77328	Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	00.00	00.00	2.00	4.00	6.00	1.98	8 1.85	39
77331	Special Dosimetry	00.00	00.00	0.63	1.00	6.00	0.86	1.11	38
77332	Simple Treatment Device	00.00	0.24	0.50	0.81	1.50	0.53	0.41	40
77333	Intermediate Treatment Device	00.0	0.48	0.50	1.00	3.00	0.83	0.71	40
77334	Complex Treatment Device	00.0	0.50	1.00	1.50	4.00	1.05	0.87	40
77295	Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing	0.30	1.50	2.75	4.00	8.00	2.88	3 1.71	38
77301	IMRT Treatment Planning	0.00	2.00	4.25	6.00	10.00	4.29	2.48	37
77781	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions	0.00	0.00	0.50	1.50	3.00	0.85	0.89	35
77782	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions	0.00		0.88	2.00	8.00	1.36		34
77783	High Intensity Brachytherany: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions	00.0	00.0	1 00	2 00	12.00	1 72	2 45	34
77784	High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions	0.00		1.13	2.75		1.58		34

Table A.5.3B: Procedural Support Staff Time Estimates per Surveyed Radiation Oncology Physics Service (in Hours)

CPT Code	Procedure Description	Minimum	Minimum First Quartile	Median	3rd	Maximum	Mean	Standard	Standard Number of
					Quartile			Deviation	Responses
77336	Continuing Medical Physics Consultation	0.10	0.37	1.00	2.00	6.00	1.50	1.57	39
77300	Basic Dosimetry Plan	0.14	0.37	0.55	0.93	4.17	0.84	0.85	36
77305	Simple Isodose Plan	0.28	0.48	0.69	1.08	4.17	1.00	0.85	34
77310	Intermediate Isodose Plan	0.32	0.53	0.78	1.32	4.27	1.16	1.00	36
77315	Complex Isodose Plan	0.32	0.56	0.98	1.78	4.79	1.39	1.10	36
77321	Special Teletherapy Port Plan	0.32	0.68	1.07	2.30	8.07	1.66	1.54	35
77326	Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0.57	1.69	2.52	3.82	26.67	3.83	4.76	33
77327	Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	1.01	2.23	2.70	4.48	27.27	4.20	4.72	33
77328	Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	1.06	2.97	4.78	7.57	27.67	5.96	5.00	32
77331	Special Dosimetry	0.15	06.0	2.06	3.23	25.57	3.57	4.95	31
77332	Simple Treatment Device	00.00	0.01	0.13	0.28	2.51	0.32	0.53	30
77333	Intermediate Treatment Device	00.00	0.10	0.34	0.54	6.38	0.62	1.23	25
77334	Complex Treatment Device	0.00	0.06	0.24	0.53	3.20	0.40	0.58	32
77370	Special Medical Physics Consultation	0.25	2.00	3.45	5.25	16.00	4.06	3.03	38
77295	Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing	0.15	09.0	1.18	2.33	4.67	1.54	1.13	35
77301	IMRT Treatment Planning	1.32	3.15	4.53	6.23	12.08	5.18	2.77	37
77781	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions	0.57	1.57	2.70	3.80	27.67	3.70	4.75	30
77782	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions	0.57	2.31	3.79	5.00	27.67	4.62	4.78	30
77783	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions	0.57	3.16	4.79	6.62	27.87	5.68	4.93	30
77784	High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions	0.57	2.60	3.43	6.05	27.87	5.13	4.98	30

Table A.5.4: Total QMP Time Estimates (Non-Procedural + Procedural) per Surveyed Radiation Oncology Physics Service (in Hours)

CPT Code	Procedure Description	Minimum	Minimum First Quartile	Median	3rd Quartile	Maximum	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Number of Deviation Responses
77332	Simple Treatment Device	0.10	0.50	0.70	1.00	4.00	0.85	0.72	40
77300	Basic Dosimetry Plan	0.10	0.48	1.00	1.00	3.00	0.88	0.57	40
77336	Continuing Medical Physics Consultation	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	41
77333	Intermediate Treatment Device	0.20	0.50	1.00	1.10	5.00	1.05	0.82	40
77305	Simple Isodose Plan	0.25	0.70	1.00	1.50	5.00	1.29	0.94	40
77334	Complex Treatment Device	0.10	0.86	1.00	1.70	6.00	1.41	1.12	40
77310	Intermediate Isodose Plan	0.50	1.00	1.28	1.50	8.00	1.65	1.31	40
77315	Complex Isodose Plan	0.20	1.08	1.50	2.00	16.00	2.13	2.41	40
77321	Special Teletherapy Port Plan	1.00	1.23	1.50	2.35	16.00	2.31	2.45	39
77331	Special Dosimetry	0.50	1.08	1.65	2.63	6.00	2.08	1.34	40
77295	Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing	0.50	1.38	2.00	3.00	12.00	2.28	1.85	40
77326	Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0.50	1.20	2.00	2.00	15.00	2.31	2.48	40
77781	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions	0.50	2.00	2.00	3.00	15.00	2.90	2.60	36
77327	Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	1.00	1.50	2.00	2.50	20.00	2.93	3.30	40
77782	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions	1.00	2.00	2.50	4.00	15.00	3.55	3.08	36
77783	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	18.00	4.06	3.58	36
77328	Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	30.00	4.12	4.93	40
77784	High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions	0.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	22.00	4.60	4.13	36
77370	Special Medical Physics Consultation	0.83	2.64	3.38	5.18	32.00	4.50	4.79	40
77301	IMRT Treatment Planning	1.50	4.50	6.00	8.00	36.00	7.68	6.30	40

Relative Intensity Estimates for Radiation Oncology Physics Services (Increasing Order of Median Intensity) Table A.5.5:

* CPT code 77336 was selected as the benchmark services for the survey and therefore has an intensity of 1.00. All other service intensities were measured relative to 77336.

_
Hours
in I
Procedures (
77370
s for
Estimate
Time
Procedural
: QMP P
ble A.5.6:
Tal

77370 Procedure	Minimum	First Quartile	Median	3rd Quartile	Maximum	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Number of Deviation Responses
Routine 77370 Procedure	0.50	1.00	2.00	3.00	8.00	2.35	1.75	23
Total Skin Electron Irradiation	1.00	6.50	8.50	9.75	10.00	7.33	3.14	6
Total Body Irradiation	1.00	7.00	9.50	10.00	10.00	7.50	3.77	8
Remote Afterloading Brachytherapy	1.00	1.50	2.00	4.50	8.00	3.09	2.01	19
Sterotactic Body Radiotherapy	2.00	2.50	4.00	4.00	12.00	4.90	3.64	5
Stereotactic Radiosurgery (Single Fraction)	1.00	4.00	6.00	8.00	10.00	6.00	2.85	19
Stereotactic Radiotherapy (Multiple Fractions)	1.00	2.00	3.50	5.00	10.00	3.97	2.64	15
Intraoperative Radiotherapy	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00	4.00	2.00	2
Prostate Seed Brachytherapy	0.30	2.50	4.00	5.00	8.00	3.85	2.16	23
Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy	0.50	2.00	4.00	5.75	10.00	4.31	2.46	22
Image Guided Radiation Therapy	0.10	1.00	1.00	2.50	12.00	2.98	3.72	13

Procedures	
for 77370 P	
Estimates 1	
ve Intensity	
hle A 5 7 · Relativ	TABLAT I LIGHT AT AT AT
[

Routine 77370 Procedure 0.50 2.00 3.00 6.00 2.68 1.31 Total Skin Electron Irradiation 2.00 2.00 3.00 9.00 9.83 Total Skin Electron Irradiation 2.00 2.25 5.00 9.25 30.00 9.00 9.83 Total Body Irradiation 2.00 3.00 3.00 3.43 1.18 Remote Afterloading Brachytherapy 1.50 3.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 9.25 1.09 Sterotactic Body Radiotherapy 1.50 3.00 4.00 5.00 9.26 1.75 Sterotactic Radiosurgery (Single Fraction) 3.00 4.00 5.00 6.00 4.00 5.06 Stereotactic Radiotherapy 3.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 4.00 5.26 1.75 Stereotactic Radiotherapy 3.00 3.00 4.00 5.70 0.80 1.44 Intraoperative Radiotherapy 1.50 3.00 4.50 6.00 3.43 1.44 Prostate Seed Brachyth	77370 Procedure	Minimum	First Quartile	Median	3rd Quartile	Maximum	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Number of Deviation Responses
2.00 2.25 5.00 9.25 30.00 9.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 3.50 6.00 3.43 1.50 3.00 3.00 4.00 3.50 5.00 3.29 1.50 3.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 5.00 3.29 1.50 3.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 5.00 3.29 10 2.00 3.00 5.00 6.00 3.43 10 2.00 3.00 5.00 4.00 3.56 10 3.00 4.00 5.75 7.00 4.32 10.00 2.00 3.075 4.50 6.00 4.13 11.00 2.00 3.00 4.60 3.45 4.55 11.50 3.00 4.50 6.00 8.00 4.55 0.50 2.00 4.50 6.00 8.00 4.55	Routine 77370 Procedure	0.50	2.00	2.00	3.00		2.68		19
2.00 2.25 5.00 9.25 30.00 9.00 2.00 3.00 3.00 3.50 6.00 3.43 1.50 3.00 3.00 3.50 5.00 3.43 1.50 3.00 4.00 3.60 3.43 2.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 3.50 3.00 4.00 5.00 4.00 3.50 3.00 4.00 5.00 6.00 10.00 5.26 ns) 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.75 7.00 4.32 3.00 3.00 3.00 5.75 7.00 4.32 3.00 3.00 5.75 7.00 4.32 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.60 5.43 1.100 2.00 3.00 4.60 3.43 1.50 3.00 4.50 6.00 3.43 0.50 2.00 2.00 8.00 4.55									
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$									
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Total Skin Electron Irradiation	2.00	2.25	5.00	9.25				9
1.50 3.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 3.29 2.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 3.60 3.60 3.00 4.00 5.00 6.00 10.00 5.26 3.00 3.00 4.00 5.75 7.00 4.13 3.00 3.00 4.00 5.75 7.00 4.13 3.00 3.00 4.00 5.75 7.00 4.13 3.00 3.38 3.75 4.50 6.00 4.13 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.50 6.00 3.43 1.50 3.00 4.50 6.00 8.00 4.55 0.50 2.00 6.00 8.00 4.55 4.17	Total Body Irradiation	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.50		3.43		2
2.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 3.60 3.60 3.00 4.00 5.00 6.00 10.00 5.26 3.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 5.75 7.00 4.32 3.00 3.03 3.75 4.50 6.00 4.13 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.63 6.00 4.13 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.63 6.00 3.43 1.50 3.00 4.50 6.00 8.00 4.55 0.50 2.00 4.50 6.00 8.00 4.55	Remote Afterloading Brachytherapy	1.50	3.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	3.29		17
3:00 4:00 5:00 6:00 10:00 5:26 ns) 2:00 3:00 4:00 5:75 7:00 4:32 3:00 3:38 3:75 4:50 6:00 4:13 1:00 2:00 3:00 4:50 6:00 3:43 1:50 3:00 4:50 6:00 8:00 4:55 0:50 2:00 4:50 6:00 8:00 4:55	Sterotactic Body Radiotherapy	2.00	4.00	4.00	4.00		3.60		5
Iultiple Fractions) 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.75 7.00 4.32 3.00 3.38 3.75 4.50 6.00 4.13 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.63 6.00 3.43 1.150 3.00 4.50 6.00 8.00 4.55 arapy 0.50 2.00 4.50 6.00 8.00 4.55	Stereotactic Radiosurgery (Single Fraction)	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00		5.26		17
3.00 3.38 3.75 4.50 6.00 4.13 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.63 6.00 3.43 n Therapy 1.50 3.00 4.50 6.00 3.43 rapy 0.50 2.00 4.50 6.00 8.00 4.55	Stereotactic Radiotherapy (Multiple Fractions)	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.75				14
1.00 2.00 3.00 4.63 6.00 3.43 on Therapy 1.50 3.00 4.50 6.00 8.00 4.55 rapy 0.50 2.00 4.50 6.00 8.00 4.17	Intraoperative Radiotherapy	3.00	3.38	3.75	4.50		4.13		4
erapy 1.50 3.00 4.50 6.00 8.00 4.55 0.50 2.00 4.50 6.00 8.00 4.17	Prostate Seed Brachytherapy	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.63		3.43		20
0.50 2.00 4.50 6.00 8.00 4.17	Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy	1.50	3.00	4.50	6.00	8.00	4.55		20
	Image Guided Radiation Therapy	0.50	2.00	4.50	6.00		4.17		12

Work Estimates
5
$\mathbf{\mathbf{X}}$
\frown
Δ
7
Ш
ם
Δ
۷

Table A.6.1: QMP Work Estimates for Radiation Oncology Physics Services

CPT Code	Procedure Description	Minimum	Minimum First Quartile	Median	3rd	Maximum	Mean	Standard Number of	Number of
					Quartile			Deviation	Responses
77336	Continuing Medical Physics Consultation	0.10	0.37	1.00	2.00	6.00	1.50	1.57	39
77300	Basic Dosimetry Plan	0.07	0.14	0.49	0.89	6.25	0.76	1.10	36
77305	Simple Isodose Plan	0.14	0.41	0.69	1.32	10.42	1.23	1.79	34
77310	Intermediate Isodose Plan	0.25	0.65	0.83	2.19	12.80	1.83	2.29	36
77315	Complex Isodose Plan	0.0	0.89	1.65	3.28	17.07	2.75	3.28	36
77321	Special Teletherapy Port Plan	0.33	1.00	1.64	3.60	17.07	3.31	3.97	35
77326	Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0.57	2.52	3.88	6.46	81.50	9.68	16.75	32
77327	Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	2.02	4.19	5.64	11.27	95.43	13.13	20.67	32
77328	Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	2.12	89.8	11.98	24.95	161.32	24.51	34.23	31
77331	Special Dosimetry	0.19	1.14	2.66	7.60	51.50	7.79	11.70	30
77332	Simple Treatment Device	0.02	0.05	0.12	0.34	2.51	0.35	0.56	22
77333	Intermediate Treatment Device	0.01	0.12	0.30	0.57	3.19	0.51	0.69	23
77334	Complex Treatment Device	0.01	60'0	0.45	0.86	9.60	0.85	1.77	28
77370	Special Medical Physics Consultation	0.21	6.75	13.94	23.60	256.00	22.01	40.53	37
77295	Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing	00.0	0.94	1.63	5.42	23.34	3.72	4.60	35
77301	IMRT Treatment Planning	2.63	18.14	28.66	47.92	161.90	39.68	33.60	37
77781	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions	0.57	3.07	5.72	10.45	83.00	11.04	16.52	30
77782	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions	1.14	4.72	10.34	17.34	96.83	15.94	19.30	30
77783	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions	1.72	8.67	14.67	21.31	97.53	21.21	22.17	30
77784	High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions	1.72	8.24	13.85	23.74	105.89	22.74	23.49	29

CPT Code	Procedure Description	Minimum	Minimum First Quartile	Median	3rd	Maximum	Mean	Standard	Number of
					Quartile			Deviation	Responses
77336	Continuing Medical Physics Consultation	0.10	0.37	1.00	2.00	6.00	1.50	1.57	39
77300	Basic Dosimetry Plan	0.07	0.14	0.49	0.89	6.25	0.76	1.10	36
77305	Simple Isodose Plan	0.14	0.41	0.69	1.32	10.42	1.23	1.79	34
77310	Intermediate Isodose Plan	0.25	0.65	0.83	2.19	12.80	1.83	2.29	36
77315	Complex Isodose Plan	60.0	0.89	1.65	3.28	17.07	2.75	3.28	36
77321	Special Teletherapy Port Plan	0.33	1.00	1.64	3.60	17.07	3.31	3.97	35
77326	Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0.57	2.52	3.88	6.46	81.50	9.68	16.75	32
77327	Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	2.02	4.19	5.64	11.27	95.43	13.13	20.67	32
77328	Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	2.12	8.68	11.98	24.95	161.32	24.51	34.23	31
77331	Special Dosimetry	0.19	1.14	2.66	7.60	51.50	7.79	11.70	30
77332	Simple Treatment Device	0.02	0.05	0.12	0.34	2.51	0.35	0.56	22
77333	Intermediate Treatment Device	0.01	0.12	0.30	0.57	3.19	0.51	0.69	23
77334	Complex Treatment Device	0.01	0.09	0.45	0.86	9.60	0.85	1.77	28
77370	Special Medical Physics Consultation	0.21	6.75	13.94	23.60	256.00	22.01	40.53	37
77295	Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing	0.00	0.94	1.63	5.42	23.34	3.72	4.60	35
77301	IMRT Treatment Planning	2.63	18.14	28.66	47.92	161.90	39.68	33.60	37
	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions	0.57		5.72					
77782	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions	1.14		10.34	17.34		15.94	19.30	30
77783	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions	1.72	8.67	14.67	21.31	97.53	21.21	22.17	30
77784	High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions	1.72	8.24	13.85	23.74	105.89	22.74	23.49	29

Table A.6.2 QMP Work Estimates for Radiation Oncology Physics Services (Relative to 77336 Median)

Abt Associates Inc.

Table A.6.3 QMP Work Estimates for Radiation Oncology Physics Services (Relative to 77336 Median): Weighted by Census Division Region(Based on 2000 AAPM Professional Information Survey)

Continuing Medical Physics Consultation 0.10 0.33 1.00 Basic Dosimetry Plan 0.07 0.14 0.50 Simple Isodose Plan 0.07 0.14 0.50 Intermediate Isodose Plan 0.25 0.65 0.85 Complex Isodose Plan 0.25 0.65 0.85 Intermediate Isodose Plan 0.23 0.75 1.64 Special Teletherapy Port Plan 0.33 0.97 1.64 Special Teletherapy Isodose Plan 0.33 0.97 1.64 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.32 2.56 4.20 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.37 2.56 4.20 Intermediate Drachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.07 0.11 0.11 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.12 8.64 11.98 Simple Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.11 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 $0.$	Minimum First Quartile	tile Median	3rd Quartile	Maximum	Mean	Standard Deviation	Number of Responses
Basic Dosimetry Plan 0.07 0.14 0.50 Simple Isodose Plan 0.14 0.47 0.73 Intermediate Isodose Plan 0.14 0.47 0.73 Complex Isodose Plan 0.09 0.75 1.64 Special Teletherapy Port Plan 0.09 0.75 1.64 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.33 0.97 1.64 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.57 2.56 4.20 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.09 0.75 1.64 Special Dosimetry 0.09 0.77 2.56 4.20 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.019 0.19 1.27 3.10 Simple Treatment Device 0.019 0.19 1.27 3.10 Simple Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.31			2.00	6.00	1.47	1.53	39
Simple Isodose Plan 0.14 0.47 0.73 Intermediate Isodose Plan 0.25 0.65 0.85 Complex Isodose Plan 0.23 0.97 1.64 Special Teletherapy Port Plan 0.33 0.97 1.64 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.57 2.56 4.20 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.57 2.56 4.20 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.19 1.64 5.63 Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.02 4.42 5.63 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 2.12 8.64 11.98 Special Dosimetry 0.19 0.19 1.27 3.10 Special Dosimetry 0.00 0.19 1.27 3.10 Special Dosimetry 0.01 0.19 1.27 3.10 Special Dosimetry 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.01 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01			0.95	6.25	0.87	1.68	36
Intermediate Isodose Plan 0.25 0.65 0.85 Complex Isodose Plan 0.09 0.75 1.64 Special Teletherapy Port Plan 0.33 0.97 1.64 Special Teletherapy Isodose Plan 0.57 2.56 4.20 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.19 1.27 3.10 Special Dosimetry 0.19 1.27 3.10 Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.19 1.27 3.10 Special Dosimetry 0.19 1.27 3.10 Complex Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Complex Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.21 6.75 13.94 Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing 0.00 0.93 1.47 Immoder Treatment Planning 2.63 18.14 30.31 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions 1.72 5.6 $1.43.34$ High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.72 5.6 $1.43.34$ High Intensity			3 1.40	10.42	1.37	2.14	34
Complex Isodose Plan 0.09 0.75 1.64 Special Teletherapy Port Plan 0.33 0.97 1.64 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.57 2.56 4.20 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.57 2.56 4.20 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.57 2.56 4.20 Special Dosimetry 0.19 1.27 3.10 Special Dosimetry 0.19 1.27 3.10 Special Dosimetry 0.19 1.27 3.10 Special Dosimetry 0.01 0.11 0.30 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Complex Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.21 6.75 13.94 Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing 0.00 0.93 1.47 Intermetity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions 1.14 3.78 10.37 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.72 6.03 14.34 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.72 6.03 14.34			5 2.15	12.80	1.98	2.69	36
Special Teletherapy Port Plan 0.33 0.97 1.64 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.57 2.56 4.20 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.57 2.65 4.20 Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 2.12 8.64 11.98 Special Dosimetry 0.19 1.27 3.10 Simple Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 0.30 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 0.31 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.31 4.75 Interapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aid			1 3.19	17.07	2.79	3.67	36
Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0.57 2.56 4.20 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 2.02 4.42 5.63 Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 2.12 8.64 11.98 Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 2.12 8.64 11.98 Special Dosimetry 0.19 1.27 3.10 Simple Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.01 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.01 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.01 Intermediate Treatment Planning 0.01 0.00 0.03 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>1 3.51</td><td>17.07</td><td>3.55</td><td>4.54</td><td>35</td></tr<>			1 3.51	17.07	3.55	4.54	35
Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 2.02 4.42 5.63 Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 2.12 8.64 11.98 Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 2.12 8.64 11.98 Special Dosimetry 0.19 1.27 3.10 Simple Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Complex Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Complex Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.01 0.01 0.11 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.01 0.01 0.11 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.01 0.01 0.11 Intermediate Treatment Planning 0.00 0.21 6.75 13.94 Image Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions 2.63 18.14 30.31 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions 1.72 5.76 14.34 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.72 6.03 14.34			6.78	81.50	10.38	17.74	32
Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 2.12 8.64 11.98 Special Dosimetry 0.19 1.27 3.10 Special Dosimetry 0.02 0.05 0.11 Simple Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 Complex Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.01 0.11 0.41 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.01 0.11 0.41 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.01 0.11 0.41 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.01 0.01 0.11 0.41 Intermediate Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing 0.00 0.93 1.47 Intersity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions 0.57 2.81 5.56 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions 1.14 3.78 10.37 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.72 6.03 14.34 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.72 6.03 14.34			3 12.13	95.43	14.25	22.59	32
Special Dosimetry 0.19 1.27 3.10 Simple Treatment Device 0.02 0.05 0.11 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Complex Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.01 0.11 0.41 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.21 6.75 13.94 Image treatment Device 0.00 0.93 1.47 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.21 6.75 13.94 Image treatment Planning 0.00 0.93 1.47 Image treatment Planning 2.63 18.14 30.31 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions 0.57 2.81 5.56 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.14 3.78 10.37 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.72 6.03 14.34			3 25.18	161.32	27.76	39.49	31
Simple Treatment Device 0.02 0.05 0.11 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Complex Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.21 6.75 13.94 Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing 0.00 0.93 1.47 IMRT Treatment Planning 2.63 18.14 30.31 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions 0.57 2.81 5.56 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.14 3.78 10.37 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.72 6.03 14.34			10.54	51.50	9.08	13.37	30
Intermediate Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Complex Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.30 Complex Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.41 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.01 0.11 0.41 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.21 6.75 13.94 Image treatment Planning 0.00 0.93 1.47 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions 0.57 2.81 5.56 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.14 3.78 10.37 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.72 6.03 14.34			0.32	2.51	0.33	0.56	22
Complex Treatment Device 0.01 0.11 0.41 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0.21 6.75 13.94 Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing 0.21 6.75 13.94 IMRT Treatment Planning 2.63 18.14 30.31 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions 0.57 2.81 5.56 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.14 3.78 10.37 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.72 6.03 14.34			0.58	3.19	0.47	0.55	23
Special Medical Physics Consultation0.216.7513.94Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing0.000.931.47IMRT Treatment Planning2.6318.1430.31High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions0.572.815.56High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions1.143.7810.37High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions1.726.0314.34			0.71	9.60	0.80	1.78	28
Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing0.000.931.47IMRT Treatment Planning2.6318.1430.31High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions0.572.815.56High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions1.143.7810.37High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions1.726.0314.34High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions1.726.0314.34			t 23.60	256.00	21.64	39.90	37
IMRT Treatment Planning2.6318.1430.31High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions0.572.815.56High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions1.143.7810.37High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions1.726.0314.34High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions1.726.0314.34	0.00		7 4.62	23.34	3.68	5.18	35
High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions0.572.815.56High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions1.143.7810.37High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions1.726.0314.34High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions1.726.0314.34	ļ		47.92	161.90	40.98	36.10	37
High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions1.143.7810.37High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions1.726.0314.34High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions1.726.0314.34	0.57		5 11.56	83.00	11.72	17.67	30
High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions 1.72 6.03 14.34 III 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	1.14		7 17.43	68.96	16.31	20.18	30
	1.72		t 21.41	97.53	21.20	22.70	30
CS.61 8C.0	1.72	6.58 13.85	5 23.74	105.89	22.27	24.21	29

APPENDIX VII: Caseload and Staffing Estimates

Table A.7.1: Patient Caseloads and Staffing Patterns of Institutions Where Medical Physicists Practice by Practice Setting: Overall

Patient Caseload o		2	Median	Jrd Quartile	Maximum	Mean	Standard Deviation	Responses
Number of new patients treated (teletherapy and	tion for	f Institution for the Most Recent Year for Which Data Were Available	nt Year for W	Vhich Data	Vere Availa	ble		
brachytherapy)	100	453	595	750	2,900	700	518	33
Total number of patients treated at institution								
(teletherapy and brachytherapy)	40	567	700	1,000	3,300	858	590	35
Percentage of total patients treated on most heavily								
utilized teletherapy unit	12%	33%	50%	80%	100%	56%	27%	36
Number of patients (teletherapy and brachytherapy) per								
qualified medical physicist	40.0	227.5	304.2	409.0	790.0	332.6	159.1	35
Number of patient treatments done on most heavily								
utilized teletherapy unit	240	656	6,240	7,629	12,744	5,624	3,702	33
Total number of teletherapy treatments	350	7,415	13,259	21,240	61,000	15,871	13,617	37
Number of FTE	oer of F		Staff Employed by Institution	ution				
Medical physicists	0.5	1.7	2.0	5.0	23.0	3.8	4.2	40
Radiation oncologists	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.6	19.0	4.1	3.7	40
Dosimetrists or junior medical physicists	0.0	2.0	3.0	3.1	12.0	3.2	2.5	40
Physics assistants	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	0.3	5.0	40
Brachytherapy technologists	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.1	0.4	40
Maintenance engineers	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	4.0	0.7	1.0	40
Radiation therapists	2.0	5.0	8.0	12.0	50.0	11.0	10.2	40
Radiation oncologist nurses	0.5	2.0	3.0	4.0	10.0	3.4	2.4	31

Table A.7.2: Patient Caseloads and Staffing Patterns of Institutions Where Medical Physicists Practice by Practice Setting: **Private/Community Hospitals**

Patient Caseload of Institution for the Most Recent Year for Which Data Were AvailableNumber of new patients treated (teleherapy and brathytherapy) 250 455 591 738 $1,332$ 630 281 1 Number of new patients treated at institution 20 455 591 738 $1,332$ 630 281 1 Number of patients treated at institution 40 511 660 898 $1,521$ 717 326 1 Percentage of total patients treated on most heavily 32% 39% 56% 78% 100% 61% 24% 1 Number of patients treated no most heavily 220 375.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 1 Number of patients (telebreapy unit 240 $1,419$ $6,451$ $8,500$ $12,744$ $5,973$ $4,077$ 1 Number of patients (telebreapy unit 240 $1,419$ $6,451$ $8,500$ $12,744$ $5,973$ $4,077$ 1 Number of patient sciences 0.5 $7,479$ $13,226$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ 2 Number of patient sciences 0.5 0.5 $1,419$ $6,451$ $8,500$ $12,744$ $5,973$ $4,077$ 1 Number of releherapy unit 240 $1,419$ $13,226$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ 2 Total number of releherapy unit 20 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 20 20 20	Caseload or Staffing Measure	Minimum	First Quartile	Median	3rd Quartile	Maximum	Mean	Standard Deviation	Number of Responses	
ated (teleftherapy and ated (teleftherapy and apy) 250 455 591 738 $1,332$ 630 281 ated at institution apy) 40 511 660 898 $1,521$ 717 326 ated at institution apy) 32% 39% 56% 78% 100% 61% 24% apy and brachytherapy) per trapt and brachytherapy) per 40.0 275.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 40.0 275.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 40.0 275.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 40.0 275.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 40.0 275.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 40.0 275.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 40.0 276.0 13.250 19.968 $27,807$ 13.127 $8,022$ 7 treatments 1.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 7 treatments 1.0 0.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 7 treatments 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.0 7.479 13.256 19.968 $27,807$ 13.127 $8,022$ 7 treatments 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.0 <td>Patient Caseload of</td> <td>Institution for</td> <td>the Most Rece</td> <td>nt Year for W</td> <td>/hich Data /</td> <td><u> Vere Availa</u></td> <td>ble</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Patient Caseload of	Institution for	the Most Rece	nt Year for W	/hich Data /	<u> Vere Availa</u>	ble			
250 455 591 738 $1,332$ 630 281 apy) at institution 40 511 660 898 $1,521$ 717 326 apy) 790 $56%$ $78%$ $100%$ $61%$ $24%$ rapy and brachytherapy) per 40.0 275.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 ray and brachytherapy) per 40.0 275.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 tradement state on most heavily 240 $1,419$ $6,451$ $8,500$ $12,744$ $5,973$ $4,077$ tradement state on most heavily 240 $1,419$ $6,451$ $8,500$ $12,744$ $5,973$ $4,077$ tradement state on most heavily 240 $1,419$ $6,451$ $8,500$ $12,744$ $5,973$ $4,077$ tradement state on most heavily 240 $13,250$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ 100	Number of new patients treated (teletherapy and									
ated at institution405116608981,521717326apy)apy)56%56%78%100%61%24%treated on most heavily32%39%56%78%100%61%24%rapy and brachytherapy) per40.0275.0368.0480.0790.0383.0174.4rapy and brachytherapy) per40.0275.0368.0480.0790.0383.0174.4rapy and brachytherapy)2401,4196,4518,50012,7445,9734,077ts done on most heavily3507,47913,25019,96827,80713,1278,022v treatments3507,47913,25019,96827,80713,1278,022v treatments0.00.00.00.00.00.00.0v treatments1.02.02.03.07.02.62.4%v treatments0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0v treatments0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0v treatments0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0v treatments0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0v treatments0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0v treatments0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0 <tr< td=""><td>brach ytherapy)</td><td>250</td><td>455</td><td>591</td><td>738</td><td>1,332</td><td>630</td><td></td><td>18</td></tr<>	brach ytherapy)	250	455	591	738	1,332	630		18	
apy)405116608981,521717326arrated on most heavily32%39%56%78%100%61%24%rapy and brachytherapy) per40.0275.0368.0480.0790.0383.0174.4rapy and brachytherapy) per40.0275.0368.0480.0790.0383.0174.4rapy and brachytherapy) per40.0275.0368.0480.0790.0383.0174.4rap and brachytherapy) per33.01,4196,4518,50012,7445,9734,077rat done on most heavily2307,47913,25019,96827,80713,1278,022rat done on most heavily0.51.02.02.02.02.01.52.0rat done on most heavily0.51.02.02.02.02.02.01.5rat done on most heavily0.00.00.00.00.02.02.01.5rat done on most heavily2.01.3,25019,96827,80713,1278,0222.1rat done on most heavily0.51.02.02.02.00.00.02.01.5rat done on most heavily0.62.02.02.02.02.02.01.52.0rat done on most heavily0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0rat done on 0.00.00.00.00.00.0<	Total number of patients treated at institution									
treated on most heavily 32% 39% 56% 78% 100% 61% 24% rapy and brachytherapy) per 40.0 275.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 trap and brachytherapy) per 40.0 275.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 ts done on most heavily 240 $1,419$ $6,451$ $8,500$ $12,744$ $5,973$ $4,077$ ts done on most heavily 350 $7,479$ $13,250$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ v treatments 350 $7,479$ $13,250$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ v treatments 30 7.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.1 2.0 v treatments 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 v treatments 3.0 7.0 2.7 3.0 7.0 2.6 2.1 v treatments 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 v treatments 3.0 7.0 2.6 2.1 2.6 2.1 v treatments 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 v treatments 3.0 7.0 2.6 2.1 2.6 2.1 v treatments 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 v treatments 3.0 7.0 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 v t	(teletherapy and brachytherapy)	40	511	660	868	1,521	717		19	
Tapy and brachytherapy) per rapy and brachytherapy) per 32% 39% 56% 7% 100% 61% 24% rapy and brachytherapy) per ts done on most heavily 40.0 275.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 ts done on most heavily 240 $1,419$ $6,451$ $8,500$ $12,744$ $5,973$ $4,077$ $\sqrt{\text{treatments}}$ 350 $7,479$ $13,250$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ $\sqrt{\text{treatments}}$ 0.5 1.0 0.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.1 $\sqrt{\text{treatments}}$ 0.5 3.0 7.0 2.6 2.1 2.1 $\sqrt{\text{treatments}}$ 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.6 2.1 $\sqrt{\text{treatments}}$ 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 $\sqrt{\text{treatments}}$ 3.0 7.0 2.6 2.1 2.6 2.1 $\sqrt{\text{treatments}}$ 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 $\sqrt{\text{treatments}}$ 3.0 7.0 2.6 2.1 2.6 2.1 $\sqrt{\text{treatments}}$ 0.0 <td>Percentage of total patients treated on most heavily</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Percentage of total patients treated on most heavily									
rapy and brachytherapy) per trapy and brachytherapy) per t done on most heavily40.0 275.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 ts done on most heavily 240 $1,419$ $6,451$ $8,500$ $12,744$ $5,973$ $4,077$ ts done on most heavily 230 $7,479$ $13,250$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ v treatments 350 $7,479$ $13,250$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ Number of FTE Staff Employed by Institution 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.1 cal physicists 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.6 9.0 2.6 2.1 ical physicists 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 is 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 <t< td=""><td>utilized teletherapy unit</td><td>32%</td><td>39%</td><td>56%</td><td>78%</td><td>100%</td><td>61%</td><td></td><td>18</td></t<>	utilized teletherapy unit	32%	39%	56%	78%	100%	61%		18	
40.0 275.0 368.0 480.0 790.0 383.0 174.4 ts done on most heavily 240 $1,419$ $6,451$ $8,500$ $12,744$ $5,973$ $4,077$ γ treatments 350 $7,479$ $13,250$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ Number of FTE Staff Employed by Institution 1.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.1 0.5 1.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.1 $8,022$ 0.5 1.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.1 $8,022$ 0.5 1.0 2.0 2.0 2.6 2.1 $8,022$ 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.6 2.1 $8,022$ 0.5 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.0 <td>Number of patients (teletherapy and brachytherapy) per</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Number of patients (teletherapy and brachytherapy) per									
nents done on most heavily 240 $1,419$ $6,451$ $8,500$ $12,744$ $5,973$ $4,077$ apy treatments 350 $7,479$ $13,250$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ apy treatments 3.0 $7,479$ $13,250$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ Number of FTE Staff Employed by InstitutionNumber of FTE Staff Employed by InstitutionNumber of FTE Staff Employed by $13,250$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ Number of FTE Staff Employed by InstitutionNumber of FTE Staff Employed by $103,20$ 2.06 9.00 2.06 2.01 Number of P 10 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 1.5 Staff Employed by $10,0$ 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 1.6 Staff Employed by $10,0$ 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Staff Employed by $10,0$ 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Staff Employed 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Staff Employed 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 2.0 Staff Employed 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 2.0 Staff Employed 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Staff Employed 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	qualified medical physicist	40.0	275.0	368.0	480.0	790.0	383.0		19	
apy treatments 240 $1,419$ $6,451$ $8,500$ $12,744$ $5,973$ $4,077$ apy treatments 350 $7,479$ $13,250$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ Number of FTE Staff Employed by InstitutionNumber of FTE Staff Employed by InstitutionNumber of FTE Staff Employed by Institution 0.5 1.0 2.0 2.6 9.0 2.6 2.1 Number of FTE Staff Employed by Institution 0.5 1.0 2.0 2.6 9.0 2.6 2.1 Number of FTE Staff Employed by Institution 0.5 3.0 7.0 2.6 2.1 Number of FTE Staff Employed by Institution 0.5 3.0 7.0 2.6 2.1 Number of FTE Staff Employed by Institution 0.5 3.0 7.0 2.6 2.1 Number of FTE Staff Employed by Institution 0.6 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.6 2.1 Number of FTE Staff Employed by 0.0 0.0 2.6 2.1 2.6 2.1 Staff Employed 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Staff Employed by 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 <td colspa<="" td=""><td>Number of patient treatments done on most heavily</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td>	<td>Number of patient treatments done on most heavily</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Number of patient treatments done on most heavily								
treatments 350 $7,479$ $13,250$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ Number of FTE Staff Employed by InstitutionNumber of FTE Staff Employed by Institution 0.5 1.0 2.0 2.0 2.6 9.0 2.6 2.1 0.6 2.0 3.0 7.0 2.6 2.1 1.5 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.6 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 2.0 3.0 7.0 2.8 1.6 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 2.0 3.0 7.0 2.8 1.6 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 3.10 3.1 2.1	utilized teletherapy unit	240	1,419		8,500	12,744	5,973		18	
treatments 350 $7,479$ $13,250$ $19,968$ $27,807$ $13,127$ $8,022$ Number of FTE Staff Employed by InstitutionNumber of FTE Staff Employed by Institution 0.5 1.0 2.0 2.6 9.0 2.6 2.1 0.5 1.0 2.0 2.6 9.0 2.6 2.1 0.6 0.6 2.0 3.0 7.0 2.9 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.0										
Number of FTE Staff Employed by Institution 1.0 2.0 2.6 2.1 0.5 1.0 2.6 2.1 2.0 2.6 2.1 2.1 2.0 2.0 2.6 2.6 2.1 0.6 0.0 0.2 3.0 7.0 2.6 2.1 0.0 0.0 0.2	Total number of teletherapy treatments	350	7,479	13,250		27,807	13,127		20	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Number of H	TE Staff Empl	oyed by Instit	ution					
1.0 2.0 2.5 3.0 7.0 2.9 1.5 1.0 0.6 2.0 3.0 3.0 7.0 2.8 1.6 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Medical physicists	0.5	1.0	2.0	2.6	9.0	2.6		21	
cal physicists 0.6 2.0 3.0 3.0 7.0 2.8 1.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.0 <	Radiation oncologists	1.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	7.0	2.9	1	21	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Dosimetrists or junior medical physicists	9.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	7.0		I	21	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Physics assistants	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.2		21	
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 3.0 0.4 0.8 3.0 6.0 7.0 9.5 31.0 8.8 5.8 1.0 2.0 2.5 4.0 10.0 3.1 2.1	Brachytherapy technologists	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		21	
3.0 6.0 7.0 9.5 31.0 8.8 5.8 1.0 2.0 2.5 4.0 10.0 3.1 2.1	Maintenance engineers	0.0	0.0	0.0		3.0	0.4		21	
1.0 2.0 2.5 4.0 10.0 3.1	Radiation therapists	3.0	6.0	7.0	9.5	31.0			21	
	Radiation oncologist nurses	1.0	2.0	2.5	4.0	10.0	3.1	2.1	17	

 Table A.7.3: Patient Caseloads and Staffing Patterns of Institutions Where Medical Physicists Practice by Practice Setting: Medical School/University Hospital

Patient Caseload of Institution for the Most Recent Year for Which Data Were Available Number of new patients treated (telebrerpy and brachytherapy) Number of patients treated at institution 500 732 999 1,311 2,900 1,378 750 10 Total number of patients treated at institution 700 798 1,111 1,729 3,300 1,378 750 11 Total number of patients treated on most heavily 12% 22% 33% 46% 100% 41% 26% 12 Number of patients treated on most heavily 123.4 167.4 220.0 339.3 338.5 245.4 88.8 11 Number of patient treatments done on most heavily 123.4 167.4 220.0 339.3 358.5 245.4 88.8 11 Valided medical physicits 2.0 10.000 5.350 3.293 12 Valide medical physicits 2.0 10.817 24.222 31.895 61.000 5.3245 88.8 12 Votal humber of teletherapy unit 2.0 2	Caseload or Staffing Measure	Minimum	First Quartile	Median	3rd Quartile	Maximum	Mean	Standard Deviation	Number of Responses
ated (teletherapy and ated at institution 500 732 999 1,311 2,900 1,185 674 ated at institution 700 798 1,111 1,729 3,300 1,378 750 apy) Tool 798 1,111 1,729 3,300 1,378 750 apy) Treated on most heavily 12% 22% 33% 46% 100% 41% 26% trapt and brachytherapy) per 123,4 167,4 220,0 339,3 358.5 24,54 88.8 tapy and brachytherapy) per 123,4 167,4 220,0 319,3 358.5 24,467 18,223 trapt and brachytherapy) per 249 2,900 7,250 10,000 5,350 3,293 trapt and brachytherapy) per 249 24,67 18,223 3,293 3,293 trapt and brachytherapy per 24,867 18,323 3,293 3,293 3,293 trapt and brachytherapy per 24,967 18,323 3,293 3,293 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Institution for</td><td>the Most Rece</td><td>nt Year for V</td><td>Vhich Data</td><td>Were Availa</td><td>ble</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Institution for	the Most Rece	nt Year for V	Vhich Data	Were Availa	ble		
state dat institution 500 732 999 1,311 2,900 1,185 674 apy) apy) 700 798 1,111 1,729 3,300 1,378 750 apy interated on most heavily 12% 22% 33% 46% 100% 41% 26% rapy and brachytherapy) per 123,4 167,4 220.0 339.3 358.5 245,4 88.8 rapy and brachytherapy) per 123,4 167,4 220.0 339.3 358.5 245,4 88.8 radoe on most heavily 249 2,962 6,300 7,250 10,000 5,350 3,293 vit catments 650 10,817 24,222 31,895 61,000 5,350 3,293 vit catments 650 10,817 24,222 31,895 61,000 5,350 3,293 vit catments 650 10,817 24,222 31,895 61,000 7,467 18,223 vit catments 0.0 10,817 2	Number of new patients treated (teletherapy and								
ated at institution7007981,1111,7293,3001,378750apy)treated on most heavily12%22%33%46%100%41%26%treated on most heavily12%22%33%46%100%41%26%rapy and brachytherapy) per123.4167.4220.0339.3358.5245.488.8rapy and brachytherapy) per123.4167.4220.0339.3358.5245.488.8rapy and brachytherapy)2492,9626,3007,25010,0005,3503,293to atom most heavily2492,9626,3007,25010,0005,3503,293to atom most heavily26910,81724,22231,89561,00024,46718,223treatments65010,81724,22231,89561,0007,55,03treatments65010,81724,22231,89561,0007,55,0treatments2.04.05.69.319,07,65,0treatments2.03.36.310,0007,55,06,4treatments2.00.00.00.00.07,56,0treatments2.09.310,0000.07,56,06,4treatments2.00.00.00.00.00.06,41.1teratments0.00.00.00.00.00.00.06,4 <td>brachytherapy)</td> <td>500</td> <td>732</td> <td>999</td> <td>1,311</td> <td>2,900</td> <td>1,185</td> <td></td> <td>10</td>	brachytherapy)	500	732	999	1,311	2,900	1,185		10
apy)7007981,1111,7293,3001,378750treated on most heavily 12% 2% 33% 46% 10% 41% 26% rapy and brachytherapy) per 123.4 167.4 220.0 339.3 358.5 245.4 88.8 rapy and brachytherapy) per 123.4 167.4 220.0 339.3 358.5 245.4 88.8 rapy and brachytherapy) per 123.4 167.4 230.0 $7,250$ 339.3 358.5 245.4 88.8 rap and brachytherapy) 249 $2,962$ $6,300$ $7,250$ $10,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ v treatments 650 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ v treatments 650 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $24,467$ $18,223$ v treatments 50 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $24,467$ $18,223$ v treatments 50 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $24,467$ $18,223$ v treatments 50 4.0 5.33 32.3 7.6 5.7 v treatments 10 $20,00$ 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.6 v treatments 10 10 10 0.7 5.0 3.4 v treatments 10 10 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.6 v treatments 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Total number of patients treated at institution								
treated on most heavily 12% 22% 33% 46% 10% 41% 26% rapy and brachytherapy) per 12% 167.4 220.0 339.3 358.5 245.4 88.8 rapy and brachytherapy) per 123.4 167.4 220.0 339.3 358.5 245.4 88.8 rap and brachytherapy) per 123.4 167.4 220.0 339.3 358.5 245.4 88.8 rap and brachytherapy) per 249 $2,962$ $6,300$ $7,250$ $10,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ rat done on most heavily 269 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ rat done on most heavily 260 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ rat done on most heavily 20 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ rat done on most heavily 20 4.0 $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ rat done on most heavily 20 4.0 $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $24,467$ $18,223$ 20 4.0 $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $5,350$ 3.4 20 4.0 5.7 8.3 23.0 7.6 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	(teletherapy and brachytherapy)	200	798	1,111	1,729		1,378		11
I2%22%33%46%10%41% 26% rapy and brachytherapy) per123.4167.4 220.0 339.3 358.5 24% 88.8 ts done on most heavily249 2.962 $6,300$ $7,250$ $10,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ ts done on most heavily249 2.962 $6,300$ $7,250$ $10,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ v treatments 650 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ v treatments 650 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $24,467$ $18,223$ v treatments 650 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $24,467$ $18,223$ v treatments 650 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $24,467$ $18,223$ v treatments 6.3 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ 7.5 5.7 s 2.0 4.0 5.6 9.3 19.0 7.5 5.0 s 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.5 5.0 s 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.5 5.0 s 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.6 s 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 s 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 s 0.0 </td <td>Percentage of total patients treated on most heavily</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Percentage of total patients treated on most heavily								
rapy and brachytherapy) per 123.4 123.4 167.4 220.0 339.3 358.5 245.4 88.8 ts done on most heavily 249 $2,962$ $6,300$ $7,250$ $10,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ ts done on most heavily 2650 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ treatments 650 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ Number of FTE Staff Employed by Institution $24,467$ $18,223$ $18,223$ teatments 1.0 2.0 4.0 5.6 9.3 19.0 7.6 5.7 teatments 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 10.0 7.6 5.7 $18,223$ teatments 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 10.0 7.6 5.7 $18,223$ teatments 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 10.0 7.6 5.7 5.7 teatments 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.6 5.7 5.7 teatments 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.6 5.7 5.7 teatments 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.6 0.6 teatments 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.6 teatments 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.6 teatment 0.0 <td>utilized teletherapy unit</td> <td>12%</td> <td></td> <td>33%</td> <td></td> <td>100%</td> <td>41%</td> <td></td> <td>12</td>	utilized teletherapy unit	12%		33%		100%	41%		12
123.4 167.4 220.0 339.3 358.5 245.4 88.8 its done on most heavily249 $2,962$ $6,300$ $7,250$ $10,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ γ treatments 650 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ γ treatments 650 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ Number of FTE Staff Employed by InstitutionNumber of FTE Staff Employed by InstitutionColspan="4">Colspan= 4.8 5.7 8.3 23.0 7.6 5.7 Number of FTE Staff Employed by InstitutionColspan= 2.0 4.0 5.7 8.3 23.0 7.6 5.7 Sign by Sicists 1.0 0.0 7.5 5.0 9.0 Colspan= 7.6 3.3 6.3 19.0 7.5 5.0 Colspan= 7.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.6 Colspan= 7.6 3.3 6.3 10.0 0.6 0.6 Colspan= 7.6 3.3 6.3 10.0 0.6 0.6 Colspan= 7.6 3.3 0.0 0.6 0.6 Colspan= 7.6 5.0 7.6 5.0 3.4 Colspan= 7.6 3.3 6.3 10.0 0.6 0.6 Colspan= 7.6 3.3 0.0 0.6 0.6 Colspan= 7.6	Number of patients (teletherapy and brachytherapy) per								
	qualified medical physicist	123.4	167.4	220.0			245.4		11
249 $2,962$ $6,300$ $7,250$ $10,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ $3,293$ treatments 650 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $5,350$ $3,293$ Number of FTE Staff Employed by Institution2.0 4.8 5.7 8.3 23.0 7.6 5.7 2.0 4.0 5.6 9.3 19.0 7.6 5.7 3.3 6.3 19.0 7.6 5.7 3.3 6.3 19.0 7.6 5.7 3.3 6.3 19.0 7.6 5.0 3.4 9.3 10.0 7.6 5.0 3.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.6 5.0 3.3 6.3 10.0 0.2 0.6 0.6 5.0 0.0 <t< td=""><td>Number of patient treatments done on most heavily</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Number of patient treatments done on most heavily								
treatments 650 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $24,467$ $18,223$ Number of FTE Staff Employed by InstitutionNumber of FTE Staff Employed by $1nstitution$ Sal physicists 1.0 2.0 4.0 5.6 9.3 19.0 7.6 5.7 sal physicists 1.0 2.0 4.0 5.6 9.3 19.0 7.5 5.0 sal physicists 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.6 sal physicists 1.0 2.6 3.3 6.3 12.0 7.5 5.0 sal physicists 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.4 sal physicists 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.4 sal physicists 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.4 sal physicists 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.6 0.6 0.4 sal physicists 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 sal physicists 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.4 sal physicists 1.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.4 sal physicists 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 sal physicists 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 sal physicists 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	utilized teletherapy unit	249	2,962	6,300			5,350		11
treatments 650 $10,817$ $24,222$ $31,895$ $61,000$ $24,467$ $18,223$ Number of FTE Staff Employed by Institution 2.0 4.8 5.7 8.3 23.0 7.6 5.7 2.0 4.8 5.7 8.3 23.0 7.6 5.7 2.0 4.0 5.6 9.3 19.0 7.5 5.0 2.0 4.0 5.6 9.3 19.0 7.5 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.6 1.1 3.3 6.3 12.0 7.5 5.0 3.4 1.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.6 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.4 1.0 1.6 1.2 8.1 13.6 1.1 2.0 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>									
Number of FTE Staff Employed by Institution 2.0 4.8 5.7 8.3 23.0 7.6 5.7 1 2.0 4.0 5.6 9.3 19.0 7.5 5.0 1 2.0 4.0 5.6 9.3 19.0 7.5 5.0 1 2.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 1.0 7.5 5.0 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 0.4 1.1 0.0 1.0 1.6 2.0 4.0 1.0 1.1 1.1 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.6 2.0 1.0 1.1 1.1 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.1 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.1	Total number of teletherapy treatments	650		24,222		61,000	24,467		12
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Number of I	TE Staff Empl	oyed by Instit	tution				
al physicists 2.0 4.0 5.6 9.3 19.0 7.5 5.0 1 cal physicists 1.0 2.6 3.3 6.3 12.0 7.5 5.0 1 s 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 1.0 5.0 3.4 1 s 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 0.5 0.6 1 s 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.2 0.4 1 s 6.4 10.3 14.0 1.6 2.0 1.6 1.1 1 s 6.4 10.3 14.0 19.3 50.0 18.8 13.6 1 2.0 2.0 3.3 4.1 7.3 9.0 4.9 2.4 1	Medical physicists	2.0	4.8	5.7	8.3	23.0	7.6	5.	12
cal physicists1.0 2.6 3.3 6.3 12.0 5.0 3.4 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 1.0 2.0 0.5 0.6 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 0.2 0.4 1 0.0 1.0 1.0 1.6 2.0 4.0 1.6 1.1 1 0.6 1.0 1.0 1.6 2.0 4.0 1.6 1.1 1 0.0 2.0 3.3 4.1 7.3 9.0 4.9 2.4 1	Radiation oncologists	2.0	4.0	5.6		19.0	7.5		12
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Dosimetrists or junior medical physicists	1.0	2.6	3.3	6.3	12.0	5.0	3.4	12
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Physics assistants	0.0	0.0	0.3	1.0	2.0	0.5		12
0.0 1.0 1.6 2.0 4.0 1.6 1.1 1 6.4 10.3 14.0 19.3 50.0 18.8 13.6 1 2.0 3.3 4.1 7.3 9.0 4.9 2.4 1	Brachytherapy technologists	0'0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.2	0.4	12
6.4 10.3 14.0 19.3 50.0 18.8 13.6 1 2.0 3.3 4.1 7.3 9.0 4.9 2.4 1	Maintenance engineers	0.0	1.0	1.6	2.0	4.0	1.6	1.1	12
2.0 3.3 4.1 7.3 9.0 4.9	Radiation therapists	6.4	10.3	14.0	19.3	50.0		13.6	12
	Radiation oncologist nurses	2.0	3.3	4.1	7.3	9.0	4.9		11

Consulting Group			-		•		D	•
Caseload or Staffing Measure	Minimum	First Quartile	Median	3rd	Maximum	Mean	Standard	Number of
				Quartile			Deviation	Responses
Patient Caseload of 1	Institution for	f Institution for the Most Recent Year for Which Data Were Available	nt Year for W	/hich Data V	Vere Availa	ble		
Number of new patients treated (teletherapy and								
brachytherapy)	150	266	382	497	613	382	232	0
Total number of patients treated at institution								
(teletherapy and brachytherapy)	475	524	572	621	699	572	67	2
Percentage of total patients treated on most heavily								
utilized teletherapy unit	50%	55%	60%	70%	80%	63%	12%	3
Number of patients (teletherapy and brachytherapy) per								
qualified medical physicist	334.5	399.3	464.1	528.9	593.8	464.1	129.6	2
Number of patient treatments done on most heavily								
utilized teletherapy unit	4,925	5,254	5,583	5,911	6,240	5,583	658	2
Total number of teletherapy treatments	8,250	10,365	12,480	12,870	13,259	11,330	2,201	3
	Number of FTE	TE Staff Emple	Staff Employed by Institution	ution				
Medical physicists	0.8	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	0.5	4
Radiation oncologists	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.5	4.0	2.4	6.0	4
Dosimetrists or junior medical physicists	1.5	1.9	2.3	2.6	3.0	2.3	9.0	4
Physics assistants	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	1.0	0.3	0.4	4
Brachytherapy technologists	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4
Maintenance engineers	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4
Radiation therapists	2.0	4.3	5.0	5.3	6.0	4.5	1.5	4
Radiation oncologist nurses	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.3	2

Table A.7.4: Patient Caseloads and Staffing Patterns of Institutions Where Medical Physicists Practice by Practice Setting: Medical Physics

								I
Caseload or Staffing Measure	Minimum	First Quartile	Median	3rd Ouartile	Maximum	Mean	Standard Deviation	Number of Responses
				, ,				2
Patient Caseload of I	Institution for	f Institution for the Most Recent Year for Which Data Were Available	nt Year for W	/hich Data V	Vere Availa	ble		
Number of new patients treated (teletherapy and								
brachytherapy)	200	233	415	590	600	408	186	4
Total number of patients treated at institution								
(teletherapy and brachytherapy)	200	233	422	623	690	434	214	4
Percentage of total patients treated on most heavily								
utilized teletherapy unit	30%	50%	78%	100%	100%	72%	30%	4
Number of patients (teletherapy and brachytherapy) per								
qualified medical physicist	200.0	233.0	260.0	282.0	300.0	255.0	37.5	4
Number of patient treatments done on most heavily								
utilized teletherapy unit	480	3,607	6,733	7,117	7,500	4,904	3,144	3
Total number of teletherapy treatments	480	1,490	2,500	7,215	11,930	4,970	4,990	3
	Number of FTE		Staff Employed by Institution	ution				
Medical physicists	1.0	1.0	1.5	2.1	2.5	1.6	0.6	4
Radiation oncologists	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.3	4.0	2.3	1.3	4
Dosimetrists or junior medical physicists	0.0	0.0	1.3	2.6	3.0	1.4	1.4	4
Physics assistants	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	4
Brachytherapy technologists	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	2.0	0.5	6.0	4
Maintenance engineers	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.6	2.0	0.5	0.0	4
Radiation therapists	2.0	3.5	4.5	5.8	8.0	4.8	2.2	4
Radiation oncologist nurses	1.0	2.1	3.2	3.6	4.0	2.7	1.3	3

Table A.7.5: Patient Caseloads and Staffing Patterns of Institutions Where Medical Physicists Practice by Practice Setting: Physician Group

Service Volumes
••
Ξ
$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
×
5
ш.
Ζ
Ш
Δ
Δ
Z

Table A.8.1: Service Volumes for Radiation Oncology Physics Services

T336 Continuing Medical Physics Consultation 90 1,950 2,495 4,021 12,882 3,39 77306 Basic Dosimetry Plan 0 1,911 3,014 4,461 16,350 3,93 77306 Basic Dosimetry Plan 0 1,911 3,014 4,461 16,350 3,93 77305 Simple Isodose Plan 0 1,911 3,014 4,461 16,350 3,93 77315 Complex Isodose Plan 0 1,911 3,014 4,461 16,350 3,93 77315 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 1 1 1 1 2 400 2 400 2 1 1 2 2 40 2 400 2 1 1 2 2 40 2 400 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1	CPT Code	Procedure Description	Minimum	Minimum First Quartile	Median	3rd	Maximum	Mean	Standard Number of	Number of
Continuing Medical Physics Consultation 90 1,950 2,495 4,021 12,882 Basic Dosimetry Plan 0 1,911 3,014 4,461 16,350 Simple Isodose Plan 0 1,911 3,014 4,461 16,350 Simple Isodose Plan 0 1 10 75 90 250 Complex Isodose Plan 0 184 299 381 1,502 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 1 45 88 246 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 1 45 88 246 Simple Treatment Device 0 0 1 45 88 246 Simple Treatment Device 0 0 1 45 88 246 Simple Treatment Device 0 31 57 205 1,000 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 57 205 1,000 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 50 372 1,712 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Quartile</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Deviation</th> <th>Responses</th>						Quartile			Deviation	Responses
Basic Dosimetry Plan 0 1,911 3,014 4,461 16,330 Simple Isodose Plan 0 6 25 90 250 Intermediate Isodose Plan 0 1 10 75 400 Complex Isodose Plan 0 184 299 381 1,502 Special Teletherapy Port Plan 0 0 184 299 381 1,502 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 184 299 381 1,502 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 184 295 315 127 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 11 45 88 246 Special Dosimetry 0 0 24 114 400 5,000 Simple Treatment Device 0 54 114 400 5,000 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 25 1,112 1,112 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 246	77336	Continuing Medical Physics Consultation	06		2,495	4,021	12,882	3,366	2,565	39
Simple Isodose Plan 0 6 25 90 250 Intermediate Isodose Plan 0 1 10 75 400 Complex Isodose Plan 0 184 299 381 1,502 Special Teletherapy Port Plan 0 0 184 239 335 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 73 134 335 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 11 45 127 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 11 45 127 Special Dosimetry Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 24 114 400 5,000 Special Dosimetry 0 0 11 45 88 246 Special Dosimetry 0 0 114 400 5,000 1,112 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 13 12 1,112 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 574 1,12 2,200 3,	77300	Basic Dosimetry Plan	0	1,911	3,014	4,461	16,350	3,903	3,491	39
Intermediate Isodose Plan 0 1 10 75 400 Complex Isodose Plan 0 184 299 381 1,502 Special Teletherapy Port Plan 0 0 184 299 381 1,502 Special Teletherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 5 15 127 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 2 17 87 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 11 45 88 246 Special Dosimetry 200 24 114 400 5,000 Simple Treatment Device 0 0 24 114 400 5,000 Simple Treatment Device 0 31 57 205 1,010 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 50 13 72 1,712 Complex Treatment Device 0 0 51 142 283 754 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 206 300 492	77305	Simple Isodose Plan	0	9	25	06	250	59	67	39
Complex Isodose Plan 0 184 299 381 1,502 Special Teletherapy Port Plan 0 0 73 134 335 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 5 15 127 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 2 17 87 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 11 45 88 246 Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 24 114 400 5,000 Special Dosimetry 0 24 114 400 5,000 Simple Treatment Device 0 0 13 57 205 1,712 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 504 2,200 3,528 16,270 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0 51 142 283 754 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 206 300 492 1,648 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 206 300	77310	Intermediate Isodose Plan	0	1	10	75	400	64	95	39
Special Teletherapy Port Plan 0 73 134 335 Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 5 15 127 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 5 15 127 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 11 45 88 246 Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 24 114 400 5,000 Special Dosimetry 0 0 31 57 205 1,000 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 31 57 205 1,712 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 51 127 253 16,270 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 51 142 283 754 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 51 142 283 754 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 206 1,620 1,712 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 <td< td=""><td>77315</td><td>Complex Isodose Plan</td><td>0</td><td>184</td><td>299</td><td>381</td><td>1,502</td><td>318</td><td>263</td><td>39</td></td<>	77315	Complex Isodose Plan	0	184	299	381	1,502	318	263	39
Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 5 15 127 Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 0 2 17 87 Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 11 45 88 246 Special Dosimetry 0 24 114 400 5,000 Special Dosimetry 0 31 57 205 1,000 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 31 57 205 1,712 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 504 2,200 3,528 16,270 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0 51 142 283 754 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 51 142 283 754 Intermediate Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing 0 206 1,040 500 1,548 Interspeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing 0 206 203 1,548 IMRT Treatment Planning Interspeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided	77321	Special Teletherapy Port Plan	0	0	73	134	335	95	96	39
	77326	Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0	0	5	15	127	13	24	39
Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan 0 11 45 88 246 Special Dosimetry 0 24 114 400 5,000 Simple Treatment Device 0 31 57 205 1,000 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 31 57 205 1,712 Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 504 2,220 3,528 16,270 Complex Treatment Device 0 51 142 283 754 Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing 0 70 142 283 754 IMRT Treatment Planning 0 70 142 283 754 Ingh Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions 0 70 1,648 1,648 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions 0 70 1,648 1,648 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 124 Dwell Positions 0 0 20 351 1,648 High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions 0 0	77327	Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0	0	7	17	87	12	19	39
Special Dosimetry0 24 114 400 $5,000$ Simple Treatment Device0 31 57 205 $1,000$ Intermediate Treatment Device0 31 57 205 $1,712$ Intermediate Treatment Device0 504 $2,200$ $3,528$ $16,270$ Complex Treatment Device0 504 $2,200$ $3,528$ $16,270$ Special Medical Physics Consultation0 51 142 283 754 Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing0 0 206 300 492 $1,648$ IMRT Treatment Planning0 70 150 250 $1,500$ 351 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions0 0 0 0 20 313 150 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions0 0 0 0 25 42 116 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 12 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 25 42 116 High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 53 78 150	77328	Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0	11	45	88	246	59	59	39
Simple Treatment Device0 31 57 205 $1,000$ Intermediate Treatment Device0013 72 $1,712$ Complex Treatment Device0 504 $2,200$ $3,528$ $16,270$ Special Medical Physics Consultation0 51 142 283 754 Special Medical Physics Consultation0 70 51 142 283 754 IMRT Treatment Planning0 70 170 764 754 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions0 70 150 250 $1,500$ High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions0 0 0 20 313 150 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 124 Dwell Positions0 0 25 42 116 High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 25 42 116 High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 53 758 150 High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 25 42 116	77331	Special Dosimetry	0	24	114	400	5,000	427	871	39
Intermediate Treatment Device 0 0 13 72 1,712 Complex Treatment Device 0 504 2,200 3,528 16,270 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0 51 142 283 754 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0 0 51 142 283 754 Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing 0 0 206 300 492 1,648 IMRT Treatment Planning 0 0 70 150 250 1,500 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 20 351 150 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 33 150 150 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 124 Dwell Positions 0 0 25 42 116 High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions 0 0 25 42 116	77332	Simple Treatment Device	0	31	57	205	1,000	143	197	39
Complex Treatment Device 0 504 2,200 3,528 16,270 Special Medical Physics Consultation 0 51 142 283 754 Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing 0 206 300 492 1,648 IMRT Treatment Planning 0 70 150 250 1,500 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 20 351 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 313 150 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 124 Dwell Positions 0 0 265 422 116 High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions 0 0 255 42 116	77333	Intermediate Treatment Device	0	0	13	72	1,712	101	280	39
Special Medical Physics Consultation 0 51 142 283 754 Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing 0 206 300 492 1,648 IMRT Treatment Planning 0 70 150 250 1,500 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 20 351 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 20 351 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions 0 0 2 42 150 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions 0 0 2 42 150 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 124 Dwell Positions 0 0 2 42 116 High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions 0 0 53 78 158	77334	Complex Treatment Device	0	504	2,200	3,528	16,270	2,846	3,119	39
Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing 0 206 300 492 1,648 IMRT Treatment Planning 0 70 150 250 1,500 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 20 351 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 20 351 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 124 Dwell Positions 0 0 25 42 116 High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions 0 0 53 78 150	77370	Special Medical Physics Consultation	0	51	142	283	754	223	229	39
IMRT Treatment Planning 0 70 150 250 1,500 2 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 0 20 351 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions 0 0 0 3 13 150 High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 124 Dwell Positions 0 0 2 42 116 High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions 0 0 53 78 158	77295	Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing	0	206	300	492	1,648	409	378	39
High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions00020351High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions00313150High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 124 Dwell Positions002542116High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions005378158	77301	IMRT Treatment Planning	0	02	150	250	1,500	241	271	39
High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions00313150High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 124 Dwell Positions0002542116High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions005378158	77781	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions	0	0	0	20	351	36	62	39
High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 124 Dwell Positions002542116High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions005378158	77782	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions	0	0	3	13	150	15	32	39
High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions 0 0 53 78 158	77783	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 124 Dwell Positions	0	0	25	42	116	36	36	39
	77784	High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions	0	0	53	78	158	57	30	39

CPT Code	Procedure Description	Minimum	First Quartile	Median	3rd	Maximum	Mean	Standard	Number of
					Quartile			Deviation	Responses
77336	Continuing Medical Physics Consultation	0.0	644.9	1,023.9	1,504.2	2,600.0	1,128.4	640.4	39
77300	Basic Dosimetry Plan	0.0	800.0	1,030.2	1,717.4	20,437.5	1,702.8	3,110.5	39
77305	Simple Isodose Plan	0.0	2.4	9.4	44.2	102.0	27.4	34.3	39
77310	Intermediate Isodose Plan	0.0	0.0	2.5	33.0	287.5	30.1	62.7	39
77315	Complex Isodose Plan	0.0	46.3	103.0	160.2	462.0	125.6	108.1	39
77321	Special Teletherapy Port Plan	0.0	0.0	23.0	40.6	250.0	33.1	46.4	39
77326	Simple Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0.0	0.0	1.1	5.6	73.0	5.4	12.4	39
77327	Intermediate Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0.0	0.0	0.5	7.5	33.5	4.5	7.1	39
77328	Complex Brachytherapy Isodose Plan	0.0	3.5	13.8	29.4	59.0	18.3	17.3	39
77331	Special Dosimetry	0.0	6.8	17.9	155.4	1,500.0	173.4	326.7	39
77332	Simple Treatment Device	0.0	6.8	23.6	74.0	500.0	56.4	8.78	39
77333	Intermediate Treatment Device	0.0	0.0	4.0	20.8	342.4	39.1	83.3	39
77334	Complex Treatment Device	0.0	196.7	809.7	1,541.4	3,000.0	926.5	166.6	39
77370	Special Medical Physics Consultation	0.0	28.4	50.1	82.3	250.0	66.3	61.5	39
77295	Therapeutic Radiology Simulation-Aided Field Testing	0.0	71.0	115.3	233.9	1,000.0	164.2	169.6	39
77301	IMRT Treatment Planning	0.0	37.8	61.4	90.7	500.0	76.4	82.3	39
77781	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 1 to 4 Dwell Positions	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.2	307.0	17.7	53.4	39
77782	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 5 to 8 Dwell Positions	0.0	0.0	0.1	3.5	66.0	4.4	11.4	39
77783	High Intensity Brachytherapy: 9 to 12 Dwell Positions	0.0	0'0	0.8	10.5	48.0	22.2	11.7	39
77784	High Intensity Brachytherapy: Over 12 Dwell Positions	0.0	0.0	1.7	21.1	68.0	30.7	15.8	39

Table A.8.2: Service Volumes per QMP for Radiation Oncology Physics Services